

Vague D
Campagne d'évaluation 2017 – 2018

Unité de recherche

Dossier d'autoévaluation

Informations générales

Nom de l'unité : Institut Jean-Nicod

Acronyme : IJN

Champ de recherche de rattachement :

Sciences cognitives, philosophie, linguistique

Nom du directeur pour le contrat en cours : Recanati

Nom du directeur pour le contrat à venir : Casati

Type de demande :

Renouvellement à l'identique

Restructuration

Création ex nihilo

Établissements et organismes de rattachement :

Liste des établissements et organismes tutelles de l'unité de recherche pour le contrat en cours et pour le prochain contrat (tutelles).

Contrat en cours :

- CNRS
- ENS
- EHESS

Prochain contrat :

- CNRS
- ENS
- EHESS

Choix de l'évaluation interdisciplinaire de l'unité de recherche ou de l'équipe interne :

Oui

Non

DOSSIER D'AUTOÉVALUATION

Although this document has been jointly written, the first part ('report 2012-2017') is the responsibility of the current director of IJN, François Recanati, while the second one ('project, 2019-2023') is due to Roberto Casati, who will be the new director when Recanati steps down (in January 2018).

I. Report, 2012-2017

Preamble

UMR 8129 (« Institut Jean-Nicod ») is an interdisciplinary research center in philosophy, linguistics and cognitive science created in January 2002 and jointly run by CNRS, ENS, and EHESS. It is hosted by ENS, where it is affiliated both with the Department of cognitive studies (DEC), of which it is a founding member, and the Department of philosophy. The general topic of investigation is the human mind, and in particular, the human capacity to represent the world – including the mind itself – in perception, thought, and speech. (Details about the more specific research themes are provided below.)

What is most distinctive of our research is the breadth of its coverage of that multi-faceted topic and, at the same time, the closeness of the links between the facets. The breadth corresponds to the wide spectrum of disciplines whose tools and perspectives have guided our investigations: analytic philosophy and theoretical linguistics (the dominant disciplines), but also psychology (cognitive, social, and developmental), logic, anthropology, psychiatry, sociology, economics, evolutionary biology and psychology, ethology, and cognitive neuroscience. With the help of tools from these disciplines, many distinct topics relevant to our field of study have been investigated in the reporting period. At the same time, because of the close links between these various investigations, it has not seemed possible to distribute the researchers into neatly delineated teams. We did create nine major 'équipes' within the lab, at the beginning of the reporting period, but had to allow for multiple memberships (most researchers actually belong to two teams). In addition, IJN members typically participate in the activities of several occasional research groups corresponding to grants, seminars, reading groups or research projects. So, not only is IJN a globally interdisciplinary research center, i.e. a research center involving cooperation between people from several interacting disciplines, but individual researchers at IJN tend themselves to be interdisciplinary in the sense of working within several disciplines and/or on topics relevant to distinct disciplines or research areas.

Evolution of membership over the reporting period

CNRS

Among the researchers, at the time of writing this report, we count 19 CNRS fellows in activity (13 DR and 6 CR, including 1 CR 'en détachement' in a US university), and 3 DR *emeriti*. Our researchers in activity belong to four distinct CNRS sections: section 26 (2 CR, 2 DR), section 34 (4 CR, 5 DR), section 35 (5 DR), and section 38 (1 DR). With two exceptions, all the CNRS researchers who were junior fellows (CR) at the beginning of the reporting period have since been promoted to DR.

Two of the founding members of IJN, Pierre Jacob (Director of IJN until 2009) and Joelle Proust (ERC project leader until the end of 2016), retired during the reporting period, and another one of our CNRS researchers, Claire Beyssade, left the lab to take up a professorship at University Paris 8. During the same period, we gained six new CNRS researchers: Uriah Kriegel (philosophy) hired through CID 45 in 2012, Vincent Homer (linguistics) and Brent Strickland (psychology) hired through section 34 in 2013 and 2016 respectively, Valerian Chambon (neuroscience) and Nicolas Baumard (cognitive science) hired through section 26 in 2014 and 2015, and Olivier Massin (philosophy) hopefully hired through section 35 in 2017 (subject to confirmation by 'jury d'admission'). That means that we were able to hire a top-level CNRS researcher every year, through four different sections of CNRS. Three other CNRS fellows from other labs have asked to join Institut Jean Nicod and will do so next academic year: Hugo Mercier (a cognitive scientist studying the psychology of reasoning), Jean-Baptiste André (a theoretical biologist studying the evolution of cognition), and Marta Abrusan (a linguist working on presuppositions.) All of them have already spent extended periods of time at IJN at some point or other in their career.

The CNRS administrative team has also been strengthened during the reporting period, through the transfer of Nathalie Evin (IE CNRS) from CADIS to IJN in 2014. The administrative team at IJN is now comprised of 3 CNRS ITAs in charge respectively of financial matters (Gaudefroy), communication

(Bilardello) and administration (Evin). Sophie Bilardello herself will move to IISMM in the summer of 2017 and be replaced by Tassnim Lesguillons (now at *Archives Husserl de Paris*)

EHESS

At the beginning of the reporting period we had two *directeurs d'études* (Dokic and Nef), and one *directeur d'études cumulant* (Recanati). Nef retired in 2016, but Casati (who will succeed Recanati as director of IJN in 2018) was elected *directeur d'études cumulant* in the same year, so the number of EHESS researchers remains constant. In addition to the three permanent *directeurs d'études*, we benefitted from a number of one-month positions of 'directeur d'étude associé'. The *directeurs d'étude associés* are elected every year by the EHESS 'Assemblée des enseignants'. Through that procedure, the following scholars were invited to spend a month and give a seminar at IJN during the reporting period: John Collins, John Kulwicki, Peter Hanks, Galen Strawson, Jerrold Levinson, Jeff King, Jason Stanley, Robert May, Olivier Massin, Aidan Gray, Robin Jeshion, Dean Zimmerman, and Stefano Predelli. We also benefitted from EHESS postdoctoral grants in 2015 and 2016.

ENS

The only ENS professor who was a member of IJN (Dominique Sportiche, Adjunct Professor of Linguistics in the Department of Cognitive Studies) left France at the end of 2014, but ENS hired an assistant professor of linguistics to replace him: Salvador Mascarenhas, who has been a member of IJN since he joined the ENS in 2016. ENS also created a new status, that of *professeur attaché*, for some of the CNRS researchers who devote a significant portion of their time to teaching duties at ENS. Three IJN members have acquired this status: Paul Egré is *professeur attaché* in the Philosophy Department, and Benjamin Spector and Nicolas Baumard in the Department of Cognitive Studies. Through the Department of Cognitive Studies, of which IJN is a founding member, we have been able to invite a number of visiting professors over the reporting period: Imogen Dickie, Paul Pietroski, Charles Siewert, Anastasia Giannakidou, Stacie Friend, Susanna Siegel, Manuel Garcia-Carpintero. We got also a few ENS postdocs, and a few other postdocs hired through the Labex IEC of which IJN is also a founding member.

Other Universities

A few IJN members are university professors whose institutions let them do their research in our lab, by special permission: that is the case for Alban Bouvier (about to retire from Université d'Aix-Marseille 1) and Jérôme Pelletier (Université de Bretagne Occidentale). Claudine Tiercelin also remains affiliated with our lab even though she is a Professor at Collège de France. Francis Corblin retired from his professorship at University Paris 4 during the reporting period (he is now *emeritus*), while Sacha Bourgeois-Gironde became associate member of IJN (rather than full member as he was previously) when he joined University Paris 2 as a professor of economics.

Figures: people, space, and resources

People

As indicated, Institut Jean Nicod comprises among its current members

- 22 CNRS researchers (including 3 DR *emeriti* and 1 CR *en détachement*)
- 8 enseignants-chercheurs (including 3 *emeriti*)
- 3 ITAs

In addition to these permanent members, the bulk of the lab is constituted by temporary members falling into several categories:

- 15 postdocs
- 60 PhD students (including 9 foreign visiting students)
- 15 M2 students during their internship in the lab
- 24 visitors
- 3 contracted lab technicians

Overall, the number of persons affiliated with IJN in the reporting year totals **150**. (That means that we doubled our personnel since January 2007.)

Space

Institut Jean-Nicod is hosted by Ecole normale supérieure at 29 rue d'Ulm, in the upper floor of Pavillon Jardin. Pavillon Jardin is a pre-fabricated building that was renovated in 2007 with financial help from the CNRS department of humanities and social science (SHS), in order to host Institut Jean-Nicod and other CNRS-SHS teams affiliated with ENS. (Until 2007, Institut Jean Nicod was hosted by the SHS Department of CNRS, in a place they had specially rented Avenue de Lowendal.) In addition to the space in Pavillon Jardin (around 250 m²), Institut Jean-Nicod benefits from (i) a 35m² room with mezzanine within the Department of Cognitive studies (DEC) at 29 rue d'Ulm, a room that provides office space for 22 PhD students or postdocs, and (ii) four 18 m² offices in the second floor of 29 rue d'Ulm for the use of our linguists. Around 120m² extra office space has been granted to Institut Jean-Nicod by DEC thanks to the decision of ENS to give DEC the use of the 4th floor of the main building at 29 rue d'Ulm. Institut Jean Nicod will not move to the 4th floor, but another lab (LSCP) will. LSCP is currently hosted in the ground floor of Pavillon Jardin, so when it moves to the 4th floor (after refurbishment, so in 2018, presumably) IJN will be able to use the totality of Pavillon Jardin. It will keep the vast PhD room at 29 rue d'Ulm but will retrocede the four 18m² offices in the second floor to another DEC lab, the Laboratoire des systèmes perceptifs. Overall, the space devoted to IJN at 29 rue d'Ulm will then reach around 450 m², to which 50m² will be added for the *Evolution and Social Cognition* team (N. Baumard).

Resources

IJN benefits from a 90k€ yearly grant (*dotation récurrente*), more than half of which comes from CNRS, the smaller half being split between ENS and EHESS. Our resources include a number of other (typically multi-year) grants, totaling several millions euros overall, corresponding to individual or collective research projects funded by various institutions, e.g. such as the European Research Council (ERC) or the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR). Details are provided below, under ‘achievements’.

Scientific missions

Institut Jean-Nicod has two main scientific missions. First, it is a leading European center in analytic philosophy, on a par with (say) the Arché research center in St Andrews or the Logos group in Barcelona. Since analytic philosophy is under-represented in France, where the so-called ‘continental’ style of philosophy largely predominates (both in universities and, though to a lesser extent, at CNRS), one of our basic missions is to foster that style of philosophy. To that effect we develop cooperations with those analytic philosophers in other countries of Europe who are in a similar predicament, through several networks or societies set up for that very purpose; we provide training and supervision in analytic philosophy for interested French students, and also for graduate students from all over Europe (and beyond); we host analytic philosophers from abroad when they visit France; we represent analytic philosophy in grant-giving or hiring committees; etc. Even though we specialize in areas such as philosophy of language, philosophical logic, philosophy of mind and philosophy of psychology, our commitment to analytic philosophy means that we are interested in all aspects of the subject, and we do research and hold seminars in other areas such as metaphysics, epistemology, ethics or aesthetics.

On top of being one of the principal centres of analytic philosophy in continental Europe, Institut Jean Nicod is also one of main European centers at the interface between the cognitive sciences and the humanities and social sciences (SHS). We collaborate with psychologists and cognitive neuroscientists in the ENS Department of cognitive studies, in the associated Labex IEC, in the Master of cognitive science (Cogmaster, ENS/EHESS/Paris 5), and in the Institut Carnot Cognition. Our mission here is to feed qualified input from SHS to the interdisciplinary enterprise of cognitive science. The SHS disciplines whose contribution is important to that endeavor and which are prominent at Institut Jean Nicod are:

- cognitive philosophy, also referred to as ‘philosophy of psychology’ or ‘philosophy of cognitive science’, a distinct trend in the philosophy of mind that is more empirically oriented than traditional philosophy of mind based on conceptual analysis. Its practitioners spend considerable time surveying and interpreting the findings of cognitive science (and relating them to philosophical issues), and they contribute to empirical research.
- theoretical linguistics, and especially formal semantics and pragmatics, disciplines which have gone experimental in recent years and now increasingly use the methods of cognitive psychology to test their theories, while also providing theoretical models that can be fruitfully applied in other areas of cognitive science (see e.g. Schlenker’s ambitious project of ‘super-semantics’)
- the social sciences, especially cognitive anthropology, evolutionary psychology applied to culture and society, cognitive sociology, behavioral economics, and social epistemology.

The two missions are related. Historically analytic philosophy can't be separated from certain scientific achievements to which it has crucially contributed (e.g. mathematical logic). Among them we find both the rise of formal semantics and of pragmatics in linguistics in the second half of the twentieth century, which would not have been possible without the input of analytic philosophers, and the rise of cognitive science at about the same time thanks in part to the functionalist framework for the study of the mind put forward by philosophers. All these developments hang together, and to a large extent the nexus of disciplines represented within our lab (philosophy of language, philosophy of mind, linguistics, cognitive science) recapitulates the history of the field. Current linguistic researches on meaning and communication such as those that are well represented in the lab owe much to the philosophy of language, which laid the foundations for both formal semantics and pragmatics. The end of the twentieth century has seen the philosophy of language and the philosophy of mind coalesce into a single discipline sometimes referred to as the 'theory of content' – the idea being that language, thought, action and perception are interconnected systems of representations that carry content and deserve study using an integrated set of tools at an appropriately abstract level. As was said above, the philosophy of mind itself inspired developments in cognitive science and evolved a sub-discipline, the philosophy of psychology, whose practitioners closely interact with psychologists and cognitive neuroscientists. At Institut Jean Nicod we find a strong group of philosophers of language and mind, a strong group of linguists specializing in formal semantics and pragmatics, and a strong group of cognitive philosophers who work with practicing cognitive scientists and do experimental work. Very noticeable is the fact that, in the reporting period, we recruited several new researchers who are not themselves either philosophers or linguists, but rather experimental scientists coming from psychology, biology or neuroscience. This is a new and significant step in the interdisciplinary development of the lab.

Themes and teams

During the reporting period our researchers have been distributed into nine major teams on a non-exclusive basis: it was allowed that a researcher might belong to (at most) two distinct teams, and indeed most researchers took advantage of that possibility. The teams are:

Agency (head: Pacherie)
 Perception (head: Casati and Dokic)
 Epistemic Norms (head: Proust)
 Philosophy of Language (head: Egré)
 Linguae (head: Schlenker)
 Semantics: Data and Models (head: Nicolas)
 Consciousness and the Self (head: Kriegel)
 Social Cognition (head: Jacob and Zalla)
 Metaphysics (head: Nef)

In parallel to these large teams, each involving several permanent researchers (up to 9), there existed a few *research groups* of smaller size, corresponding to the sort of PI-led team which scientists call a 'lab', involving one or two PIs together with the postdocs and grad students they supervise. For research purposes, the small scale PI-led team is the most relevant unit, and we consider privileging this form of organization in the future. For the purposes of providing a sweeping synthetic description of the work done at IJN, however, we find it useful to proceed in a coarse-grained manner, by grouping together the teams into even bigger divisions (clusters) corresponding to broad research areas. We distinguish three such areas or clusters:

1. *Language*. Three teams work on language and related issues: two in linguistics and one in philosophy of language. The linguists work mostly in formal semantics and pragmatics and study spoken languages, sign language, and animal communication, using logical tools. The philosophers focus on issues such as reference, context-sensitivity, vagueness, the expressive dimension of language, and the relation between language and thought.
2. *Action, Perception, and Self-Consciousness*. One team works on agency (including the sense of agency, and collective agency), another one on perception (including interoception and sensory feelings), and a third one on subjectivity and self-consciousness. We group them together because they all pertain to aspects of phenomenal experience, and because there are strong ties between the teams, which work on a set of tightly related issues.
3. *Social cognition*. One research group works on the development of mindreading in autism and normally developing children, another one on the evolution of morality and fairness, a third one on epistemic norms and their cultural diversity, and a fourth one on social epistemology.

Several of our most important research themes represent bridges between these clusters. Thus communication and pragmatics are of concern to both the language cluster and the social cognition cluster; indexicality and *de se* content are of interest to both the language teams and those which work on action, perception and self-consciousness; while issues such as collective agency, theory of mind or the mirror system are of concern to both the social cognition people and the action-perception-consciousness people.

Internal organization and governance

Institut Jean Nicod has a director (François Recanati) and a deputy director (Jérôme Dokic, also Directeur des enseignements et de la vie étudiante at EHESS and director of ED286). The lab's managing committee, which meets every other week, includes student representatives and is open to all the permanent members. It takes scientific decisions and allocates resources. The most important decisions are left to the General Assembly, which meets at least once a year.

Achievements

Production

During the reporting period we published **nearly 50 books**, and more than **500 articles or book chapters**. We also organized more than **80 international events** (workshops, conferences, summer schools...), and gave more than **800 communications** in colloquia, symposia, workshops and conferences in France and all over the world. Thanks to these activities which reflect the dynamism of our researchers, Institut Jean-Nicod is well-known worldwide in the relevant scientific communities.

Grants

- Our lab benefitted from **three Advanced Grants from the European Research Council** during the reporting period (2012-2017), for a total of more than 6 millions euros. Recanati's ERC project *Context, Content and Compositionality*, which had started in 2009, officially ended in December 2013, but funding remained available throughout the period to continue some of its activities (e.g. the *Context and Content lectures* which were delivered, during the reporting period, by Paul Pietroski, Kit Fine, and John Perry.) The project involved linguists and philosophers. Joelle Proust's project *Divided Metacognition*, which lasted from 2011 to 2016, involved philosophers, anthropologists, and neuroscientists. Philippe Schlenker's project, *New Frontiers of Formal Semantics*, started in 2013 and will end in 2018. It involves linguists and psychologists. Our *emeritus* colleague Dan Sperber became co-PI of a huge Synergy project funded by the ERC (SOMICS, 2014-2020) but the project is hosted by CEU-Budapest, where Sperber holds a part-time professorship since he retired from CNRS, so we do not count it among IJN's ERC grants.
- Other large European grants we benefited from enabled us to set up, in cooperation with colleagues from a dozen European universities, **two European Training Networks (ETN)** in philosophy, within the EC Marie Curie Programme: PETAF (2010-13) and DIAPHORA (2016-20). Both of them were coordinated by the University of Barcelona, and made it possible for us to hire early stage researchers to work on perspectival thought (PETAF) or self-knowledge (the workpackage specifically assigned to us within the DIAPHORA network) and to supervise the ESRs hired by other members of the network during their secondments at IJN.
- After the ERC, the most important grant provider as far as we are concerned is the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR). We benefitted from **twelve ANR grants** during the reporting period, half of which are over and four of which will continue until 2020. Funding also came from the institutions we are affiliated with: **Paris Sciences et Lettres (PSL)** provided **five grants**, **CNRS three** (through their 'défi' initiatives) and **ENS two** (through 'actions incitatives').
- The rest of the grants we obtained come from various institutions, such as the *Investissements d'Avenir* programme funded by the French government, the Partner University Fund, foreign universities, or foundations (Fondation de France, Fyssen Foundation, FondaMental, Templeton Foundation, Fondation Orange, FSU Research Foundation).
- Last but not least, we greatly, if indirectly, benefitted from the grants supporting the Labex Institut d'Etudes de la Cognition (IEC) of which we are a component part (grant agreements n° ANR-10-LABX-0087 IEC and ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL)

Distinctions

- Prizes, medals and awards

Recanati was awarded a CNRS médaille d'argent in 2014, and a honorary degree from Stockholm University, also in 2014. Vignemont received the Mind and Brain Junior Prize in 2015. Egré was awarded a CNRS médaille de bronze in 2016.

- Academies and learned societies

Recanati was elected a Foreign honorary member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences in 2012, Jacob a member of Academia Europaea in 2016, and Proust a full member of the International Academy of the Philosophy of Science, also in 2016.

- Named lectures

Jacob delivered the First Annual Marc Jeannerod Lecture (Antwerp) in 2012, Recanati the Frege lectures (Tartu) in 2014, Kriegel the Brentano lectures (Liège) also in 2014, and Proust the Hugues Leblanc lectures (UQAM) in 2016. Sperber delivered the Layton Lecture (Durham) in 2016 and the Karl Hempel Lectures (Princeton) in 2017.

- Professorial fellowships and invited professorships

Schlenker is a Global Distinguished Professor in Linguistics at NYU, and Sperber holds a part-time professorship at CEU-Budapest. Atran holds a recurrent Visiting Professorship in the Ford School of Public Policy (University of Michigan) as well as an Adjunct Professorship of psychology in the same university. Recanati held an Arché professorial fellowship in philosophy at the University of St Andrews until 2013 and a visiting professorship at the University of Modena & Reggio Emilia in 2014. Egré has been a visiting professor in the department of philosophy at UCLA in 2012, New York University in 2013, HUJI in 2015, and a SCAS professor at the University of Upsala in 2016. Spector has been a one-month visiting professor at the university of Vienna in 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2016 and has taught a course at UCLA in 2013. Pacherie has been a Senior Fellow at the Institute for Advanced Studies, Central European University, Budapest, in 2013. Vignemont held a visiting professorship in philosophy at City University of New York in 2013 and a Neuroscience and Philosophy fellowship at the Italian Academy of Columbia University, New York, in 2017. Mari has been a visiting professor at the University of Chicago in 2014 and Casati at Dartmouth College in 2013 and at the University of Turin in 2016. Bourgeois-Gironde held visiting professorships at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem in 2015 and 2016 and at the Università degli Studi di Pavia in 2017. Nicolas has been a Distinguished Visiting Fellow at the Institute of Advanced Studies of the University of Birmingham in 2017.

Other activities

Teaching

Pre-Master: We teach and tutor philosophy students of Ecole normale supérieure from the time they enter the school (typically at L3 level) and introduce them to cognitive science and the DEC. We also participate in the CPES programme of PSL.

Master: We have set up, or participated in the creation of, two Master curricula to which we actively contribute, both in term of teaching and of governance: CogMaster, jointly run by ENS, EHESS and Paris V-Sorbonne; and PhilMaster, jointly run by EHESS and ENS.

PhD: 30 of our PhD students have defended since the beginning of the reporting period (most of them in ED 158 or ED 286). We also train foreign PhD students who visit our lab for more or less extended periods. Finally, members organize Summer Schools (Ecoles thématiques) on a regular basis.

Editorial activities

IJN researchers edit one scientific journal (the *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*) and belong to the editorial/advisory board of 50 others. They also edit, or belong to the editorial board of, 10 book series with international publishers. They belong to many other scientific boards and committees in France and abroad.

International networks

We participate in a number of international networks such as: the DIAPHORA network (ITN) ; the PLM network ; the COST network on sign language; the Culture and Cognition Institute; the European Network for the Philosophy of Social Science; the Leverhulme network of excellence ‘What is so special about the *de se*?’; the European Society for Analytic Philosophy; the European network ‘Semantic Content and Context Dependence’; a transatlantic network on ‘the Senses’; the Cooperative Research Network in Analytic Philosophy (CRNAP) involving the Philosophy Department of Princeton University and the philosophers at the Australian National University, Oxford University, and Institut Jean-Nicod. A number of bi-lateral partnerships have also been established with philosophy departments in Europe and the US.

Scientific animation

Every year we organize a dozen of seminars that run on a weekly basis, and a dozen of international workshops/conferences. In addition we organize conference cycles for invited researchers (around four per year), including the *Linguae Lectures*, the *Jean-Nicod Lectures*, and the *Context and Content Lectures*.

II. Project, 2019-2023

1. Preamble

As there is a distinction between theoretical physics and experimental physics, there is a distinction between theoretical cognitive science and experimental cognitive science. IJN will continue to be hub to theoretical cognitive science, with a steadily increasing experimental trend, in some of its main domains: *Language; Perception action and consciousness; and Social cognition*. This theoretical stance is mirrored by the institutional rooting within DEC. *Openness to new research directions and paradigms and consolidation of emergent science in these domains will be the focus of the next years.*

IJN's next phase is, for demographic reasons, a phase of transition. The old guard, that contributed defining the identity of the Institute in the fifteen years since its foundation, retired or will have retired during the next five-year period. A number of retirements and emeritations, together with junior hirings, lowers mean age from 50 to 48 when measured in 2012 and 2017 respectively, and dramatically shift median age from 49 to 45. New prospective recruits should significantly further lower both mean and median age. The intermediate generation is internationally established or in a consolidation phase, and there is a generation of extremely promising new recruits on the rise. The main strategic task of the new direction for the next five year is then twofold:

- fully deploy the potential of mid-career researchers
- accompany the establishment of early-career researchers.

Although we shall consolidate the real estate situation in the medium run, regrouping most activities under the roof of Pavillon Jardin, in order to foster a research-conducive environment, with even more interaction between researchers and PhDs and postdocs, space is considered a long-term limiting factor that we need to address.

2. SWOT analysis

Following the template suggested for the self-assessment of the lab, we provide a SWOT analysis that factors in some of the elements of the report, putting them in the perspective of the next five-year period. Measures that we are taking or considering to take are introduced by the symbol '>'.

Strengths

Strongholds of IJN are:

- a significantly large group of dynamic and proactive world-class researchers, with strong and consistent publishing record
- the ability to create fruitful collaborations on the national and international scale
- commitment to and involvement in teaching
- the ability to innovate on cutting-edge research topics, and to respond to calls on both the national and international scene
- critical mass without personnel inflation (agility)
- friendly personal ties between researchers
- a relatively low mean and median age in the next five year term
- attractiveness for young and dynamic researchers (a number of formal high-profile requests of relocation to IJN, a consistently high number of first job affiliation requests, a large number of demands of PhD supervision.)
- competent, proactive and dedicated administrative staff

We would like to underline how this environment is conducive to the ability to create flexible structures for hosting high-potential, innovative research directions. As an example, an important ecosystem is created around the activities of the LINGUAE group, directed by Philippe Schlenker, that studies form and meaning in human languages and beyond, aimed at understanding by which rules speakers form sentences (syntax) and assign truth conditions to them (semantics); how these rules interact with reasoning (pragmatics); and how they are realized in the mind/brain (psycholinguistics); systematically constructing formal models of these phenomena so as to offer clear and predictive analyses; and striving for a systematic integration of

theoretical and experimental approaches. The group devotes special attention to the semantics/pragmatics interface and to the comparison between spoken and signed languages, has created an active section of sign language researchers (including several native signers); has developed a component of ‘superlinguistics’, including formal models of the meanings of primate alarm calls and some aspects of music semantics. This allowed the application of methods of formal linguistics *more broadly than is standard*, in order help compare various language-like systems in nature - which might *yield insights into the modular organization of these systems, and possibly about their evolution.*

Weaknesses

Gender representation: We consider gender representation not sufficient; there is room for improvement here. Women are less than 1/3 of the researcher population. This is reflected at the level of general attractiveness: we received 21 eligible requests of affiliation with IJN in the last round of CNRS hirings, only one of which was from a woman.

> There is little consensus on how to address this and related problems. Casati considers this as one of the priorities of his mandate. He will start by implementing the track-keeping method suggested by Virginia Valian in *Why so slow?* - the expected side effect of track-keeping of all gender sensitive policies (hirings, invited speakers, PhD population...) being raised attention and consciousness, triggered at decision-making points.

More space for vertical interaction: We designed some institutional spaces (e.g. café du vendredi, or the weekly student seminar ‘Doc’in Nicod’) but we sense increasing demand on the students’ part for student-researcher occasions of encounter. Also, we regret that, due to increasing specialization of sub-groups, the weekly colloquium of IJN gathers only a small number of its researchers, too busy with their own work and activities. We will do our best to remedy this situation in the future.

- > Renew with tradition of Masterclasses around invited speakers
- > Organize (more) retreats and summer schools
- > Restructure the IJN colloquium (see below)

Opportunities

Opportunities are related to the immediate and distant environments.

General scientific environment: Ours is the century of the brain. Interest in the complexities of the human mind and its underpinnings is not likely to fade away any time soon. More generally, the academic environment timeliness of our research is evident from the fact that we fall squarely in both ERC and panel SH4 *The human mind and its complexity.* (See <https://erc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/document/file/erc%20peer%20review%20evaluation%20panels.pdf>)

- > We will continue looking into institutional openings, in particular funding possibilities made available by these programs.

Proximal academic environments: We look with interest at the possibilities opened by PSL - we are de facto an example of a fully PSL research unit, as we are both a lab of ENS and a research center of EHESS.




Fig. 1. Left pane, copublication statistics of DEC; right pane, IJN singled out.

DEC is our immediate environment. IJN provides about one half of DEC's permanent members: we are the very heart and pillar of the Department. Our integration within DEC is a fact of the matter, as testified by the co-publication statistics (Fig. 1).

- > We will maintain and further reinforce collaborations within DEC (co-publications, thesis co-supervisions, project submissions, participation in directive organs, teaching)

Peculiarities of our position within ENS: The ENS is the physical host and will continue to be in the future. In 2014 the ENS has created a status of associate professor (*professeur attaché*) for CNRS researchers inside ENS. The status is a fixed-term contract position of 2 years, renewable twice for 4 years, so for a maximum period of 10 years (with an expected duration of 6 years). Three researchers from IJN have benefited from this measure. In 2014, Egré was elected to become an associate professor of logic within the Département de Philosophie de l'ENS. In 2015, Spector was elected to become an associate professor of linguistics within the DEC, and in 2016 Baumard was elected to become an associate professor of cognitive anthropology within the DEC. Those positions have enabled extending teaching or administrative positions held previously (e.g. by Spector as Directeur des Etudes for DEC), or to foster links between Departments (Egré is correspondant de la *direction des relations internationales* of l'ENS, in charge of student international exchanges at the philosophy department within ENS). Egré, Spector and Baumard teach courses meant to be core: Egré's introduction to logic is mandatory for philosophers at ENS and addresses a large audience (Cogmaster, Philmaster, PSL, ENS students), Spector's introduction to linguistics does the same, and similarly for Baumard's course. ENS also provides, on a yearly basis, one-month positions of Visiting Professor for foreign researchers (*Professeur.e.s invité.e.s*).

- > Fully deploying the potential of the existing ties.
- > Act towards consolidating the teaching positions.
- > Improve our presence in the department of philosophy
- > Continue providing high quality pool of foreign invited PA (1-2 person/month year)

Real estate: Prospective office occupation in the fully reconfigured Pavillon Jardin is considered satisfactory, although not yet ideal, due to the number of constraints of the Pavillon (small meeting rooms, non modulable spaces, significant nuisances generated by the helium compressor which makes it impossible to keep windows open in summer). Some limits of space allocation and of general aspect of Pavillon Jardin are evident even to the casual visitor.

- > We will look into marginal improvements of aspect and space distribution, trusting in the cumulative effect of small changes.
- > Some improvements are also possible in terms of availability of rooms for events. We use rooms at various other locations in Paris for EHESS seminars.

Peculiarities of our position within EHESS: Although geography mandates and makes it possible to develop a deep and fruitful integration with DEC/ENS, integration with EHESS is a key strategic priority. The student populations of ENS and EHESS are in many a way complementary and the complementarity allows us to pool excellent profiles on many risk-taking projects. EHESS has also an extremely large and variate group of researchers on topics that are of relevance to us, with whom we are establishing fruitful collaborative ties. Dokic, the current Deputy Director of IJN, is a member of the *Bureau* of EHESS. Casati, Dokic and Recanati are DE at EHESS (a third, Nef, is now emeritus), as are Dupoux and Sackur of LSCP (like us, both a Center of EHESS and a lab of DEC), and we have extremely good ties to some important labs (CRAL, CAMS). Several researchers run an EHESS denominated seminar.

Like ENS, EHESS also provides, on a yearly basis, one-month positions of Visiting Professor for foreign researchers (Directeurs/Directrices d'Etudes invitée.e.s). The coordinated action of DEs can lead to powerful concentrations on targeted themes (e.g. in the Spring 2018, Casati and Dokic are able to invite four leading figures in the study of iconic content: Catharine Abell (Manchester), Katerina Bantinaki (Crete), John Zeimbekis (Patras) and Achille Varzi (Columbia), joined by John Kulwicki, invited at IEC.)

- > Strengthen the links within EHESS. A hiring at EHESS would impulse this integration.
- > Organize seminars in common with EHESS researchers (on the template a co-run seminar by Casati and Haag (IRIS))
- > Jointly respond to calls.
- > Deliver teaching at EHESS locations
- > Continue the ties with Jean Nicod Lectures.
- > Provide high quality pool of candidates to foreign invited DE (4-6 person/month year)
- > Consider the possibility of developing a Pole Condorcet for a team, for instance in the case of the bestowing of a significant grant (ERC...).

CNRS. Our CNRS researchers depend upon different Sections and Institutes, and this is one of our main assets, given the highly interdisciplinary nature of the work at IJN. Our main, historical affiliation is with Section 35, as we are recognized as one of the leading centers for analytic philosophy on the Continent. If section 34 has a long record of having been very effective in shaping IJN's position on the international scene in linguistics, the investment by section 26 is recent and is clearly an endorsement of our work. We also host researchers from section 38 and, recently, section 29.

- > A junior recruitment in Section 35 would continue the tradition and fully justify the affiliation of the IJN with the section - something the future direction considers important for the defense of a certain view of philosophical work, both in line with international standards, and open to interactions with non-philosophical communities. IJN has striven to play an exemplary role in the last decade, and it is important that it measures up this exemplarity.
- > Permanent technical personnel - now typically paid from individual grants - becomes an important priority for the day-to-day running of the experimental part (data analysis.) We should work towards recruiting a lab technician (*ingénieur d'études*) for assisting data collection and analysis.

Teaching environment: As is evident from the Report, we assign the highest importance to teaching and training activities, at all the levels at which we intervene (typically M1 and M2 courses, on top, of course, of PhD supervision.) Almost all and each single researcher in IJN teaches in one MA (Philmaster, Cogmaster,) and our teachings can be validated in a number of neighboring MAs (Arts and Langages, etc.). Specific and integrated set of courses are devoted to both theoretical linguistics (in association with the master of cognitive science) and analytic philosophy (in association with the master *philosophie contemporaine*). There is no doubt that this will continue into the next decade (see above for details of ENS teaching landscape.) We refer to several doctoral schools (ED58, ED286, ED540) given our disciplinary spread.

In teaching, we align to the best practices (Kriegel, Casati, Egré, Baumard, Murez...: contractual syllabus, flipped classroom, fractioned evaluation, reflective use of TICE) and we are constantly experimenting and innovating. Those of us that are DE at EHESS run *séminaires*, whose main specificity is to show research in the making. Egré is planning to standardize his logics introductory course by using the online platform Didask (which is related to an e-Fran research project run by Ramus of LSCP and Casati). Baumard and Casati regularly use online platforms for their classes.

There is a large offer of linguistic courses across the different PSL institutions. In spite of their being very prestigious, the visibility of each of these offers separately is nonetheless sub-optimal because of their being encapsulated into a variety of masters, which do not always primarily target linguistic students. There is a

plan to assemble these offers into a new PSL master in linguistics. Although it is not clear at this point what the final constraints would be, the creation of an administrative framework would enhance the visibility of the available courses and allow the student to hold a properly linguistic master. (Mari is in charge of the exploratory study)

Finally, we are proactive in organizing summer schools in various disciplines.

- > Offer an international *parcours* in analytic philosophy within the new PSL MA in philosophy.
- > Set up a PSL MA in Linguistics
- > Improve the place of IJN in the Cogmaster
- > Rationalize the communication of the teaching offer (both at EHESS and ENS)
- > Develop and test innovative teaching practices.
- > Enhance relationships with Doctoral Schools.
- > Organize summer schools and masterclasses.

Job market: Our job placement is good (*incidentally, we are the only continental institution listed in the placement book of the APA-PhilPapers*). For the next five years we need to:

- > Collect and maintain statistics over longer temporal series.
- > As we attain critical mass, we are experimenting and will develop the internal consulting figure of *Job placement officer*, in the person of a senior researcher with both national and international experience, who advises students about the job market. It should be noted here that our important investment in international relations is also meant to give our students access to different job realities.

Outreach: There is a wide societal interest for transfer of lab knowledge to the real world.

We are proactively engaged on many fronts: Institutionally, we are founding members of the newly constituted Institut Carnot “Cognition”, whose mission is to accelerate the transfer of cognitive technologies (human-system interaction, or human-human interaction), mediated by technologies that engage or modify cognitive functions, to products and services in an increasingly adaptive context. In the framework of CogMaster, we created the GDP2 course, dealing with translational research in education, and we collaborate with associations and foundations such as SynLab and La Main à la pâte. Some of us actively work in close contact with special need communities, such as the Deaf community and the community of persons with autistic spectrum disorders. Others are established scientific popularizers, with world-wide recognition.

- > Explore all potentialities of Carnot “Cognition”,
- > Develop partnerships with associations and public actors
- > Reach out to special needs communities
- > Recruit graduate students from the Deaf community.
- > Develop partnerships with design schools

Publication strategy: Members do not need to be reminded of the importance of publishing articles in top international peer-refereed journals, and books with major academic publishers.

- > A peculiarity of IJN is its hosting of the *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, that over the last decade has established itself as one of the leading journals in the field. Egré is the principal editor, assisted by associate editors Nicod members Casati, Strickland, de Vignemont. We shall work towards maintaining the close association of RoPP with IJN.
- > The same holds for the *Jean Nicod Lecture Series* (MIT), and for the *Context and Content* series (Oxford), both under the direction of Recanati.
- > Open access forms of publication and self archiving will be explored and endorsed.

Involvement in high profile administrative positions: We shall continue a tradition that has seen and still sees some of our members sit in various evaluation committees and take up policy making positions (at CNRS, ENS, EHESS, MENRT, CNU, HCERES).

Threats:

Construction of PSL is still work in progress: There are inherent complexities in the PSL construction, and these can of course affect both the member institutions that are relevant to us (ENS and EHESS) and thereby hinder the potential for fully deploying the activities of IJN. A change in scientific policy may also impact the relevance of our activities for ERC and ANR. These are facts whose scale is largely beyond our control. We can however at least partially hedge some of these risks by

- > maintaining and developing existing international partnerships and establishing new ones,
- > proactively look into alternate sources of funding

Red tape: An important perceived threat of the recent years is the exponential increase in red tape. Multiple reporting and multiple data and document uploading, redundant providing of information about one's activity and indicators, inviting and refunding speakers proves prohibitively time consuming in some contexts. (For the sheer data part of this report, we had to commit two full person/months of our administrative staff.) However, some signs appear (eg. declarations of recently appointed *expert comptable* at ENS) of administrative simplification (eg. breaking the temporal constraints on budgeting, a good practice that should be propagated within PSL institutions). We already strive to limit administrative burdens for individual researchers.

> Casati is a member of the CUTICE user's committee of EHESS, where some of these issues are addressed. We should work more towards having and using unique access points to databases about researchers - and to have these unique points systematically used by institutions we depend upon. Within DEC, bibliographic instrument is being developed.

Summary of the SWOT analysis.

The SWOT analysis depicts a very favorable landscape, both internally and externally. Some major and more marginal issues have been located (space, administrative burden, recruitments, gender) and more than 40 specific measures have been proposed.

3. Governance

Lab management: An annual General Assembly, weekly direction-staff meetings and bi-weekly meetings of codo (Comité d'orientation, open to all members) will be kept for the next five years. However, most of day-to-day decisions (eg. on items such as minor expenditures - books, marginal travel expenses) will be moved to director-staff meetings.

- > Codo will be reserved to the discussion of more important requests and policy issues.
- > Study the gradual transfer of some responsibilities and competences to our lab manager.

Students: The growing size of the student population means that here too lab-wide governing bodies are required. In the next years, we shall continuously assess the role and effectiveness of students' delegates. Casati personally negotiated over one year a text enacting the role of students-faculty mediator (constrained by some of the defining legal texts of PhD schools: ED3C, ENS, EHESS, ED540). A mediation committee has been enacted, to intervene and mediate in case of conflict between members of different personnel categories.

Students are welcome to autonomously organize all kind of scientific and social activities (eg. Doc'in Nicod). We shall study the possibility of funding some of these activities. We marginally sponsor some students' associations (CogInnov). Students are in general well endowed when it comes to cover their scientific mobility (conferences, workshops.)

- > The new leadership will present a scheme for allocating financial support on a matching-grant basis.

4. Scientific project

Normal science vs paradigm change: Far from being a lab whose activity mirrors what is accomplished in labs of comparable situation, both nationally and internationally, IJN has always striven to be at the edge of research not only in terms of hypothesis testing and argument building, but in terms of *creating directions*, i.e. exploring new theoretical frameworks. *Super semantics, relevance theory, mental files theory*, to selectively name but a few, are some of the major theoretical breakthroughs that place us firmly on the international map. We are not just contributing to normal science, but to the establishment of new paradigms. The new generation of recruits is both attracted to this particular incubating environment and is actually already contributing along this paths (e.g. accounts of *social evolutionary cognition, new theories of bodily awareness, of epistemic vigilance, formal semantics of sign language, massive online experiments.*) The aspiration to contribute to « revolutionary » science cannot be severed from the ability to conduct « normal » science. A compilation we made of textbook, handbook and encyclopedia contributions by Nicod's members (about 50 items over the reporting period) reveals a wide authoritative coverage of the relevant fields of research.

A general point is in order here. What qualifies IJN, is its effort to leverage the full potential of the cognitive sciences in the broad study of the mind. The cognitive sciences have the unique capability of providing characterizations of human and animal behavior at a computational level, in the sense of the metatheory broadly canvassed by Chomsky, Fodor and Marr, the only known that is capable of interfacing both with a description of this very behavior (data collection in behavioral experiments), and with the postulation of deep biological mechanisms, in a way that allows to satisfy rich evolutionary and developmental constraints. The computational approach is characterized by the specification of *rules* that organize the functional decomposition of mental activities (see above the example from the theoretical span of the LINGUAE group.) In a context in which the trend is towards a more and more biology oriented study of the mind, under the thrust of powerful neuro-imaging techniques, it is important to protect a space for theoretical projects. E.g. in vision research most of the progress has been made in characterizing the neural correlates of measurements, but very little is known about inferences that reconstruct the visual scene from measurements. We can name parts of the process and functionally relate them in complex architectures, but we do not know how the processes are actually carried out in the brain.

From Themes to Teams: We can thus picture our endeavor as modeled on the distinction between theoretical physics and experimental physics: IJN is a hub to theoretical cognitive science, in some of its main domains: *Language; Perception action and consciousness; Social cognition*. This distribution requires a comment. In general, each PI runs his or her own team, with MA and PhD students and postdocs she or he supervises. PIs are defined as either HdR holders, theses' supervisors, or head of funded projects. This allows us to maintain a great and necessary flexibility in running research on a day-to-day and on a long term basis. On top of PI-based research, informal teams aggregate researchers on more specific projects. We are about critical mass for launching complex, long-term projects, but not so large as to require an administrative fragmentation of the lab. Informal teams appear and stabilize around projects and to incubate new research directions, as it happened for instance with the NASH group. EHESS séminaires act also as attractors for team-like activities. In the next phases, this agile structure will be kept.

Topic-wise, we are growing up to maturity, keeping our strong theoretical slant: If five or ten years ago we would have labeled our research directions “Theoretical linguistics”, or “Philosophy of perception/language/ cognition/the social sciences”, it now appears natural to consider theoretical research as part and parcel of a larger empirical endeavor. “Emerging science” could be our motto.

There is a vulgate of the distinction between “hard” and “soft” sciences. We prefer to talk, following Berliner, of the distinction between “straightforward to do” and “hard to do” sciences. Climate can be modeled using three relatively simple equations from physics. Nothing comparable exists for the sciences of the mind. The human brain is the most complex machine in the universe, and its reverse engineering requires ingenuity, flexibility, and a dedicated multidisciplinary approach - which means, in essence, the ability to listen to other disciplines.

The strength of the lab, as we said, is in the potential of individual researchers and the interaction between them. Appendix 1 is a booklet with narrative descriptions of each researcher's recent work and prospects for research in the next five-year time span. Here we provide a short overview and an infographics that can be used as a roadmap for the next term.

- > We shall continue aggregating our work around themes that may, in particular circumstances, determine the creation of structured teams.
- > Responsiveness to new, blue skies, targeted and applied research calls


In the following prospective summary researchers are presented in a continuum that shows some (but by no means all) the thematic overlaps. Emeriti and emeritae are bracketed.

In the thematic area of *Perception, action and consciousness*, Pacherie will study the understanding of self-control and work towards an account of the differences of sense of agency for individual actions and sense of agency for collective actions; **with applications to human-robot interactions**. Chambon will be developing a new theoretical and empirical **framework for understanding the dynamics of learning** in social situations. Dokic tackles the social significance of perception, developing the conceptual tool of **social affordances**. De Vignemont is starting an investigation of the perception and properties of peripersonal space - as opposed to far space; and of the implication for larger issues such as the relations between self and others. Casati will complete and apply a **framework for studying human interaction with cognitive artifacts**, with stress on navigation, in the framework of a criticism of “extended mind” metaphors; **with applications to design and education**. Pelletier, in the study of the emotional properties of cognitive artifacts, will test the hypothesis that cognitive distance explains a number of effects of our interactions with fictions. Strickland will investigate how early appearing core knowledge continues to operate into adulthood, and of the influence of fundamental biases in decision making, **with applications to policy making**. Kriegel continues his study of the **cognition-dependent structure** of core notions such as composition and part-whole and (Nef) the **cognitive ontology** of connections in the conceptual schemes of causality and temporality.

In the thematic area of *Language*, Recanati is working at an extension of **the mental files framework** to cover cases of recalcitrant use of direct reference computations in cases where the descriptive account appears more adequate. Schlenker develops his ‘**super semantics**’ to provide an account of the cognitive origins of meaning, with focus on iconic (for sign language), biological (for primate calls) or causal (for music) explanatory components, **with applications to sign language**. Mari brings the notions of *agent*, *perspective* and *interaction* into an analysis of moods (speaker’s attitudes towards a proposition: “John is obviously smart”). Stojanovic’s theme will be the understanding of the linguistic mechanisms underscoring evaluative discourse, **with applications to ethics and aesthetics**. Mascarenhas works towards a **general account of illusions of irrationality** in terms of difference in interpretive computations. Spector develops the semantics and pragmatics of plural expressions, and a **prospective account of the acquisition of semantics**, focusing on logical operators. Nicolas studies the semantics on plurals and mass, and that of counting and measuring, with data from different languages including Mandarin Chinese. Egré continues his development of a theory of vagueness and plans to further the understanding of philosophical and cognitive aspects of inferences, in particular by narrowing the gap between deductive and inductive inference. (Corblin) aims at deepening our understanding of the differences between indexicals and demonstratives. Geraci develops the study of the spatial properties of sign language, **with applications in Artificial Intelligence (automatic translation into SL) and remediation**.

In the Social cognition thematic area, Baumard builds up an **evolutionary approach to the modulation of individual preferences** by environmental conditions (shifting from present-oriented behavior to future-oriented behavior). Zalla purports to investigate the development of a **comprehensive model of information integration** subserving social reasoning and decision making, **with applications to the health of non typical populations (eg. autism)**, while (Jacob) will continue his work on an **alternate account for the study of human mindreading**, in particular in preverbal infants. Origgi will study motivations to action that are not reducible to interest, i.e. motivations involving symbolic rewards such as reputation, **with applications to the design of social situations**. (Proust) further develops her **theory of metacognition**, accounting for the interaction of conceptual and non-conceptual metacognition, in particular the role played by critical feelings that are willfully trained to enhance performance, **with applications to training**. Atran runs a social cognition study of political violence in the **framework of sacred-values theory**, **with applications to conflict management**. Bouvier will focus on the writing-up of a **treatise on argumentation**, and (Sperber) will develop **relevance and epistemic vigilance theories** at the population scale, to provide a naturalistic ontology of the social and an account of cognitive and ecological attractors in cultural evolution.

This description is 1-dimensional, and as we repeatedly said there is more than marginal overlap between the research topics. An infographic recap can be of some help here, as it gives some ideas about the proximity and overlap of these lines of research.



The spectrum of interests and directions of research may appear large, but a strong, coherent image emerges from lab members' research directions. We are all interested in *the full specification of the mental rules* that make it possible to apprehend various aspects of the human and animal environment - be it the linguistic, reasoning, social, action, object, event or artifactual environment. In order to adequately characterize these computations, *theoretical constraints* are systematically coupled with empirical constraints.

Over the next term we thus expect - and will foster - even more systematic interaction among lab members, on lines that are orthogonal to themes. Strategically, groups can be seen as contributing productive theoretical constraints to the research of the lab. For instance, the new group that coalesces around the work of Baumard, Mercier and André is focussed on evolutionary constraints; Zalla, Jacob, de Vignemont on developmental constraints; Kriegel, Dokic, and Casati on phenomenological constraints; Schlenker, Spector, Egré, on formal-computational constraints; Strickland on observational constraints, Geraci on constraints from special needs populations. In this mid-term vision, the working knowledge that is developed within each research area will inform work from other areas, so for instance there will be a constant request to make certain hypotheses about, say, the acquisition of semantics, compatible with known facts about evolution.

>Some good practices for cross-fertilization will be enacted, e.g., along the lines of what is done at ENS, the regular run of a Director's seminar with solicited contributions and targeted in-lab invitations, to replace the extant IJN Colloquium that is now oriented towards external invitees. (An institute that has doubled his members over the last five years and has now a population of 150 researchers means that it is harder to for anyone be on top of everyone's research. And in the Parisian landscape, proximal or distal, there is a certain redundancy of offer for external invited speakers. We prefer to reorient the Colloquium for presentation of internally developed research. This will also allow the reallocation of some resources.)

>A sub-area that will deserve particular attention is Perception. Other areas were able to emerge rather swiftly in the previous term, but Perception suffered from a number of non-hirings or departures. It is now stable with three senior researchers, but it will be necessary to improve it as it has many interfaces (sound

and speech perception, action perception, and social perception.) Collaboration within DEC was initiated (with Pascal Mamassian) and could be further developed.

> The advances made by the linguistics group on many fronts have been made possible by the autonomy it has been granted, joined with an impressive ability to attract significant funding. The Direction will preserve this autonomy.

> We recently joined the project of the GDR Mémoire, a transversal theme that is not yet consolidated within Nicod (Zalla, Dokic, Casati).

> A simple indicator of the integration policies will be the rate of co-publication within IJN.

Philosophical work will be considered central, as it was in the previous term. Philosophy is a form of conceptual negotiation that explores new descriptions of concepts used to characterize mental activities (e.g. the debate on access and phenomenal consciousness, the layers of metacognition, the redescription and generalization of sensory modalities as modules, the characterization of proper and actual domains of modules, the distinction between various referential strategies in language and thought, and so on.). The methods of philosophy consist in an assessment of intuitions related to the use of these concepts, and an evaluation of the argumentative consequences thereof. Some of this work is critical, as exemplified by deconstruction of the notions of extended and embodied mind, and of the role played by mirror neurons in social perception. In all events, there is a clear technical specificity to argumentative philosophy, and the work of “pure” philosophers has been and will continue to be one of the hallmarks of what is done at Nicod. Of course, interface with the empirical sciences is another signature that is mandated by the topics we work on. Finally, the rising field of experimental philosophy that some consider controversially as a form of psychological endeavor, has the great merit of challenging the evidential base of many philosophical tenets; and we will make sure that Nicod continues being one of the leading groups of x-phi research in Europe.

- > Carry on fundamental philosophical research at the interface of philosophy and the cognitive sciences.
- > Foster the development of the experimental philosophy platform

On the other side of the spectrum of our activities, many of us are interested or actively involved in reaching out to society by developing *applications* (in education, human-computer interfaces, remediation, institutional design), to *transfer knowledge*, and to *raise general public awareness* to research topics. Here too the new direction will be active in soliciting researchers' contributions to issues of general, extra-academic width and interest. Extant collaborations with third parties (Carnot, SynLab) will be pursued and new partnerships will be explored, as well as relationships to students' associations.

Concluding remarks:

The next five years, in an exciting societal context that is clearly geared towards the study of the complexity of the human mind, will prove extremely important for the consolidation and rise of new research avenues within Institut Nicod, in particular around the recently hired young researchers. The Direction will work towards making the emergence of the new avenues a reality. We shall insist upon paying due respect to the complexity of our object of study, the mind, and will be open to all possible content and methodological breakthroughs, keeping firmly in view our mainly theoretical orientation. We shall explore new modes to feed back the relevant results of our research into society, through teaching and intervention.

ANNEXES


Annexe 1 : Lettre de mission contractuelle

N/A

Annexe 2 : Équipements, plateformes

N/A

Annexe 3 : Organigramme fonctionnel



Vague D
Campagne d'évaluation 2017 – 2018

Unité de recherche

Sélection des produits et des activités de recherche

Annexe 4

Nom de l'unité / de l'équipe : Institut Jean-Nicod

Acronyme : IJN

Nom du directeur pour le contrat en cours : François Recanati

Nom du directeur pour le contrat à venir : Roberto Casati

I. PRODUITS DE LA RECHERCHE

1. Journaux / revues

Articles scientifiques

Produits phares

1. Baumard, N., André, J.-B., and Sperber, D. (2013) A mutualistic approach to morality. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 36(1), pp. 59-122.
2. Baumard, N., Hyafil, A., Morris, I., and Boyer, P. (2015). Increased affluence explains the emergence of ascetic wisdoms and moralizing religions. *Current Biology*, 25(1), pp. 10-15.
3. Casati, R. (2016). Size from Shadow: Some Informational Paths Less Traveled. *Ecological Psychology*, 28, 1, pp. 1-9.
4. Dokic, J. (2012). Pictures in the Flesh: Presence and Appearance in Pictorial Experience. *British Journal of Aesthetics* 52:4, pp. 391-405.
5. Dokic, J. (2016). Aesthetic Experience as a Metacognitive Feeling? A Dual-Aspect View. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 116(1), pp. 69-88.
6. Dokic, J. and Lemaire, S. (2013). Are Emotions Perceptions of Value? *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 43 (2): pp. 227-247.
7. Egré, P. (2016). Vague judgment: a probabilistic account. *Synthese* (doi: 10.1007/s11229-016-1092-2)
8. Egré, P., Cobreros P., Ripley D., and van Rooij, R. (2012). Tolerant, Classical, Strict. *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 41 (2), pp. 347-385.
9. Egré, P., Cobreros P., Ripley D., and van Rooij, R. (2013). Reaching Transparent Truth. *Mind*, 122 (488): pp. 841-866.

10. Egré, P. and Spector, B. (2015). Embedded Questions Revisited: An Answer, not necessarily The Answer. *Synthese* 192 (6): pp. 1729-1784.
11. Florio, S., and Nicolas, D. (2015). Plural logic and sensitivity to order. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*. 93(3): pp. 444-464.
12. Kriegel, U. (2015). Thought and Thing: Brentano's Reism as Truthmaker Nominalism. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 91: pp. 153-180.
13. Mari, A. (2014). Each other, asymmetry and reasonable futures. *Journal of Semantics*, 31(2): pp. 209-261.
14. Martin, J.-R. and Pacherie, E. (2013). Out of nowhere: thought insertion, ownership and context-integration. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 22: pp. 111-122.
15. Pacherie, E. (2013). Intentional joint agency: shared intention lite. *Synthese*, 190, 10: pp. 1817-1839.
16. Pacherie, E. (2013). How does it feel to act together? *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*. DOI: 10.1007/s11097-013-9329-8.
17. Proust, J. (2016). The evolution of communication and metacommunication in primates. *Mind and Language*, 31, 2, pp. 177-203.
18. Recanati, F. (2016). Force Cancellation. *Synthese*, p. 1-22.
19. Schlenker, P. (2012). Maximize Presupposition and Gricean reasoning. *Natural Language Semantics* 20, 4: pp. 391-429
20. Schlenker, P., Lamberton, J. and Santoro, M. (2013). Iconic Variables. *Linguistics & Philosophy* 36, 2, pp. 91-149
21. Schlenker, P., Chemla, E., Arnold, K., Lemasson, A., Ouattara, K., Keenan, S., Stephan, C., Ryder, R., Zuberbühler, K. (2014). Monkey Semantics: Two 'Dialects' of Campbell's Monkey Alarm Calls. *Linguistics & Philosophy* 37, 6: pp. 439-501.
22. Schlenker, P., Chemla, E., Zuberbühler, K. (2016). What do Monkey Calls Mean? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 20, 12, pp. 894-904.
23. Stojanovic, I. (2012). The Problem of De Se Assertion. *Erkenntnis* 76: pp. 49-58.
24. Strickland, B. (2016). Language reflects core cognition: A new theory on the origin of cross-linguistic regularities. *Cognitive Science* 41(1): pp. 70-101.
25. Vignemont de, F. (2014). A multimodal conception of bodily awareness. *Mind*. 123 (492): pp. 989-1020.
26. Vignemont de, F. and Jacob, P. (2012), What it's like to feel another's pain. *Philosophy of Science*, 79, 2, 295-316.

Autres produits (sélection)

1. Arcangeli, M. (2014). Against cognitivism about supposition. *Philosophia*, 42/3, pp. 607-624.
2. Arcangeli, M. (2017). Interacting with Emotions: Imagination and Supposition. *Philosophical Quarterly*.
3. Atran, S. (2016). The Devoted Actor: Unconditional Cooperation and Intractable Conflict Across Cultures. *Current Anthropology*, S13: pp. 192-203.
4. Atran, S. and Ginges, J. (2012). Religious and sacred imperatives in human conflict. *Science* 366: pp. 855-857.
5. Atran, S., Sheikh, H., and Gómez Á (2014) For cause and comrade: Devoted actors and willingness to fight. *Cliodynamics* 5: pp. 23-40.
6. Atran, S., Sheikh, H., and Gómez Á. (2014) Devoted actors fight for close comrades and sacred cause. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 111: pp. 17702-17703.
7. Atran, S., Axelrod, R., Davis, R. & Fischhoff, B. (2017). Challenges to researching terrorism from the field. *Science* 355(6323): pp. 352-354.
8. Baumard, N., and Boyer, P. (2015). Empirical problems with the notion of "Big Gods" and of prosociality in large societies. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 5(4), pp. 279-283.

9. Baumard, N., and Chevallier, C. (2015). The nature and dynamics of world religions: a life-history approach. *Proc. R. Soc. B*, Vol. 282, No. 1818, p. 20151593.
10. Baumard, N., Hyafil, A., and Boyer, P. (2015). What changed during the axial age: Cognitive styles or reward systems? *Communicative & Integrative Biology*, 8(5), e1046657.
11. Berns, G., Bell, E., Capra, C.M., Prietula, M., Moore, S., Anderson, B., Ginges, J. and Atran, S. (2012). The price of your soul: Neural evidence for the non-utilitarian representation of sacred values. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society-B* 367: pp. 754-762.
12. Beyssade, C. (2012). Le statut sémantique des incises et des incidents du français, *Langages*, vol. 186, no.2, pp. 115-130.
13. Beyssade, C. (2014). Back to uniqueness presupposition. *Recherches Linguistique de Vincennes* 42, pp. 123-137.
14. Beyssade, C., Portes, C., Michelas, A., Marandin, J.M., Champagne-Lavau, M. (2014). The Dialogical Dimension of Intonational Meaning: Evidence from French. *Journal of Pragmatics*, Vol. 74, pp. 15-29.
15. Bourgeois-Gironde, S., (2013). Is a cultural cortical recycling hypothesis likely in relation to economic artifacts? *Trans. Neur.* 4, 4, pp. 504-512.
16. Bourgeois-Gironde, S. (2014). An Allais paradox without mental time travel. *Hippocampus*, 24(11), pp. 1375-1380.
17. Branchini, C., Cardinaletti, A., Cecchetto, C., Donati, C. and Geraci, C. (2013). Wh-duplication in Italian sign language (LIS), *Sign language and Linguistics* 16(2), pp. 157-188.
18. Buccola, B., and Spector, B. Modified Numerals and Maximality. *Linguistics & Philosophy* 38:151.
19. Casati, R. (2012). Some varieties of shadow illusions: split shadows, occluded shadows, stolen shadows, and shadows of shadows. *Perception*, 41, pp. 357-360.
20. Casati, R., Di Bona, E., Dokic, J. (2013). The Okhamization of the event sources of sounds. *Analysis*, 73, 3, pp. 1-5.
21. Casati, R. and Sorensen, R. (2013). Non-physical visual objects generated by color spreading are expected to cast shadows. *Perception*, 41, pp. 1275-1276.
22. Cepollaro, B. and Stojanovic, I. (2016). Hybrid Evaluatives. *Grazer Philosophiesche Studien* 93: pp. 458-488.
23. Chambon V., Wenke D., Fleming S.M., Prinz W., and Haggard P. (2013). An online neural substrate for sense of agency, *Cerebral Cortex*, 23(5): pp. 1031-7
24. Chambon V., Farrer C., Pacherie E., Jacquet P.O., Leboyer M. and Zalla T. (2017). Reduced sensitivity to social priors during action prediction in adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Cognition*, 160, pp. 17-26.
25. Chambon V. and Haggard P. (2012). Sense of control depends on fluency of action selection, not motor performance. *Cognition*, 125(3): pp. 441-51.
26. Chambon V., Moore J.W., and Haggard P. (2015) TMS stimulation over the inferior parietal cortex disrupts prospective sense of agency. *Brain Structure and Function*, 220, 3627-39
27. Cobreros, P., Egré, P., Ripley, D. and van Rooij, R. (2015). Pragmatic Interpretations of Vague Expressions: Strongest Meaning and Nonmonotonic Consequence. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 44 (4): 375-393.
28. Contesi, F. (2015). Korsmeyer on Fiction and Disgust. *British Journal of Aesthetics*, 55, pp. 109–16
29. Contesi, F. (2016). Disgust's Transparency. *British Journal of Aesthetics*, 56, pp. 347–54
30. Corblin, F. (2013). Weak definites as bound relational definites, *Recherches Linguistiques Vincennes* (43), pp. 91-122.
31. Cova, F., Dupoux, E. and Jacob, P. (2012) On doing things intentionally. *Mind and Language*, 27, 4, pp. 378-409.
32. Dokic, J. (2014). Feeling the Past: A Two-Tiered Account of Episodic Memory. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, 5(3), pp. 413-426.
33. Dokic, J. (2015). Knowledge, Perception, and the Art of Camouflage. *Synthese*, 194: 1531
34. Dokic, J. (2016). Toward a Unified Account of Hallucinations. *Journal of Consciousness Studies* 23(7-8), pp. 82-99.

35. Dokic, J. and Martin, J.-R. (2015). Felt Reality and the Opacity of Perception, *Topoi*, 36: 299.
36. Dokic, J. and Lemaire, S. (2015). Are Emotions Evaluative Modes? *Dialectica* 69(3), pp. 271-292.
37. Douven, I., Decock, L., Dietz, L., and Egré, P. (2013). Vagueness: a conceptual spaces approach. *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 42 (1), pp. 137-160.
38. Egré, P. (2015). Vagueness: Why Do We Believe in Tolerance? *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, volume 43 (DOI: 10.1007/s10992-015-9352-z).
39. Egré, P. (2015). Borderline Cases, Incompatibilism, and Plurivaluationism. Symposium on Diana Raffman's Unruly Words. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 90 (2): pp. 457-466.
40. Egré, P. (2016). Knowledge as de re true belief? *Synthese* (doi: 10.1007/s11229-016-1115-z)
41. Egré, P., de Gardelle, V., and Ripley, D. (2013). Vagueness and Order Effects in Color Categorization. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information* 22(4): pp. 391-420
42. Egré, P., and Barberousse, A. (2014). Borel on the Heap. *Erkenntnis*. Vol. 79, Issue 5 Supplement, pp. 1043-1079
43. Egré, P., Cobreros P., Ripley D., and van Rooij, R. (2012). Tolerance and Mixed Consequence in the s'valuationist setting. *Studia Logica* 100 (4): pp. 855-77.
44. Egré, P., Cobreros P., Ripley D., and van Rooij, R. (2013). Identity, Leibniz's Law and Non-transitive reasoning, *Metaphysica*, 14 (2): pp. 253-264.
45. Egré, P., Chemla, E., and Schlenker, P. (2015). Predicting Moral Judgments from Causal Judgments. *Philosophical Psychology* 28 (1): pp. 21-48.
46. Egré, P., and Cova, F. (2015). Moral asymmetries and the semantics of many. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 8 (13): pp. 1-45.
47. Folegatti, A., Farnè, A., Vignemont de, F. (2012). The Rubber Hand Illusion: Two's a company but three's a crowd. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 21, pp. 799-812.
48. Fox, D. and Spector, B. (2017). Economy and Embedded Exhaustification. *Natural Language Semantics*.
49. Gasparri, L. (2014). Minimal semantics and word sense disambiguation. *Disputatio* 39: pp. 147-171.
50. Gasparri, L. (2016). Mental files and the lexicon. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology* 7: pp. 463-472.
51. Gasparri, L. (2016). Originalism about word types. *Thought: A Journal of Philosophy* 5: pp. 126-133.
52. Geraci, C. (2012). Language Policy and Planning: The Case of Italian Sign Language. *Sign Language Studies*, 12(4), pp. 494-518
53. Geraci, C., Bayley, R., Cardinaletti, A., Cecchetto, C., and Donati, C. (2015). Variation in Italian Sign Language (LIS): The Case of Wh-signs. *Linguistics*, Vol. 53 Issue 1, p125-151. 27p. DOI: 10.1515/ling-2014-0031.
54. Giannakidou, A. and Mari, A. (2017). A unified analysis of the future as epistemic modality. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* doi: 10.1007/s11049-017-9366-z
55. Giustina, A. (2017). "Conscious Unity from the Top Down: A Brentanian Approach", *The Monist*. 100: 15-36.
56. Helming, K.A., Strickland, B. and Jacob, P. (2014). Making sense of early false-belief understanding. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 18, 4, pp. 167-170.
57. Helming, K. A., Strickland, B., and Jacob, P. (2016). Solving the puzzle about early belief ascription. *Mind & Language*, 4, 31, pp. 438-469.
58. Jacob, P. (2012). Embodying the mind by extending it. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, 3, pp. 33-51
59. Jacob, P. (2012). Sharing and ascribing goals. *Mind and Language*, 27, 2, pp. 200-227.
60. Jacob, P. (2013) How from action-mirroring to intention-ascription? *Consciousness and Cognition*, 22, 3, pp. 1132-1141.
61. Jacob, P. (2014) The legacy of Marc Jeannerod. *Neuropsychologia*, 55, pp. 3-5
62. Kriegel, U. (2012). Précis of Subjective Consciousness: A Self- \neg -Representational Theory. *Philosophical Studies* 159: pp. 443-445.

63. Kriegel, U. (2012). Moral Motivation, Moral Phenomenology, and the Alief/Belief Distinction. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 90: 469-486.
64. Kriegel, U. (2012). In Defense of Self- \neg -Representationalism: Reply to Critics. *Philosophical Studies* 159: pp. 475-484.
65. Kriegel, U. (2012). Kantian Monism. *Philosophical Papers* 41: pp. 23-56.
66. Kriegel, U. (2013). The Epistemological Challenge of Revisionary Metaphysics. *Philosophers' Imprint* 13: pp. 1-30
67. Kriegel, U. (2013). Understanding Conative Phenomenology: Lessons from Ricœur. *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences* 12: pp. 537-558.
68. Kriegel, U. (2013). A Hesitant Defense of Introspection. *Philosophical Studies* 165: pp. 1165-1176.
69. Kriegel, U. (2013). Entertaining as a Propositional Attitude: A Non-Reductive Characterization. *American Philosophical Quarterly* 50: 1-22.
70. Kriegel, U. (2014). Towards a New Feeling Theory of Emotion. *European Journal of Philosophy* 22: pp. 420-442
71. Kriegel, U. (2015). Existence, Fundamentality, and the Scope of Ontology. *Argumenta* 1: pp. 97-109.
72. Kriegel, U. (2015). Experiencing the Present. *Analysis* 75: pp. 407-413.
73. Kriegel, U. (2016). Brentano's Mature Theory of Intentionality. *Journal for the History of Analytical Philosophy* 4 (2): pp. 1-15.
74. Kriegel, U. (2016). Brentano's Latter-day Monism. *Brentano Studien* 14: pp. 69-77.
75. Kriegel, U. (2016). Cognitivism about Emotion and the Alleged Opacity of Emotional Content. *Philosophical Studies* 173: pp. 315-320.
76. Kriegel, U. (2016). Philosophy as Total Axiomatics. *Journal of the American Philosophical Association* 2 (2016): pp. 272-290.
77. Mari, A. (2012). A note on generic quantification and the semantics of twins and bikinis. *Recherches Linguistiques de Vincennes* 41: pp. 147-162.
78. Mari, A. (2013). (C)overt modality in generic sentences. *Cahiers Chronos* 10(2): pp. 272-296
79. Mari, A. (2015). French future: Exploring the future ratification hypothesis. *Journal of French Language Studies*, Vol. 26(3): pp. 353-378.
80. Mari, A. and Retoré, C. (2016). Conditions d'assertion de chaque et de tout et règles de déduction du quantificateur universel. *Travaux de linguistique*. 72: pp. 89-106.
81. Martin, J.-R. and Dokic, J. (2013). Seeing Absence or Absence of Seeing? *Thought: A philosophical Journal*. 2(2): pp. 85-177
82. Mercier, H., Politzer, G. and Sperber, D. (2017). What causes failure to apply the Pigeonhole Principle in simple reasoning problems? *Thinking & Reasoning* Vol. 23 (2), pp. 184-189.
83. Michael, J. and Pacherie, E. (2015). On Commitments and Other Uncertainty Reduction Tools in Joint Action. *Journal of Social Ontology*, 1(1): pp. 89-120.
84. Mylopoulos, M. and Pacherie, E. (2016). Intentions and motor representations: the interface challenge. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, 8:317.
85. Nicolas, D. (2016). Interprétons-nous de la même manière les expressions 'deux pommes' et 'deux pommes et demie'? *Travaux de Linguistique* 72, pp. 107-119.
86. Origgi, G. (2012). A Social Epistemology of Reputation. *Social Epistemology*, 26, (3-4) pp. 399-418
87. Origgi, G. (2012). Epistemic Injustice and Epistemic Trust. *Social Epistemology*, (2), pp. 221- 235.
88. Origgi, G. (2013). Un certain regard. Pour une épistémologie de la réputation. *Communications*, 93, pp. 101-121.
89. Origgi, G. (2014). Fear of Principles? A Cautionary Defense of the Precautionary Principle. *Mind & Society*, 13, 2, pp. 215-225.
90. Origgi, G. (2015). What is an Expert that a Person May Trust Her? *Humana Mente - Journal of Philosophical Studies*, 28, pp. 159-168. 2015

91. Origgi, G. and Gambetta, D. (2012). The LL-Game. The curious preference of low quality and its norms. *Politics, Philosophy and Economics*, pp. 1-21.
92. Pacherie, E. (2014). Can conscious agency be saved? *Topoi*, 33: pp. 33-45.
93. Pacherie, E., Dewey, J. A. and Knoblich, G. (2014). The phenomenology of controlling a moving object with another person. *Cognition*, 132, 3: pp. 383-397
94. Pelletier, J., Ticini, L.F., Rachman, L., J., Dubal, S. (2014). Enhancing aesthetic appreciation by priming canvases with actions that match the artist's painting style. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 8:391. EScholarID: 226011 | DOI:10.3389/fnhum.2014.00391
95. Pieron M., Seassau M., Gras D., Leboyer M, Zalla T. (2015). Accelerated Time-Course of Saccadic Inhibition of Return in Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorders, *Experimental Brain Research*, 233(3): pp. 767-75.
96. Proust, J. (2014). Précis of Philosophy of Metacognition. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 89, 3: pp. 703-709.
97. Proust, J. (2014). Epistemic action, extended knowledge and metacognition. *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 24, No. 1.
98. Proust, J., Bernard, S., and Clément, F. (2014). The medium helps the message: Early sensitivity to auditory fluency in children's endorsement of statements. *Frontiers in Psychology*, Vol. 5 | Article 1412.
99. Recanati, F. (2013). Mental Files: Replies to My Critics. *Disputatio* Vol. V/36, pp. 207-42.
100. Recanati, F. (2013). Perceptual concepts and mental files. *Synthese* 190, pp. 1841-55.
101. Recanati, F. (2013). Précis of Truth-Conditional Pragmatics. *Teorema* Vol. XXXII/2, pp. 57-63.
102. Recanati, F. (2015). Replies. *Inquiry* 58/4 (Special Issue: Recanati on Mental Files), pp. 408-37
103. Recanati, F. (2015). Local Pragmatics: Reply to Mandy Simons. *Inquiry. An Interdisciplinary Journal of Philosophy*.
104. Recanati, F. (2017). Fictitious Anchors. *Theoretical Linguistics*. Article-DOI: 10.1515/tl-2017-0006
105. Schlenker, P. (2013). Temporal and Modal Anaphora in Sign Language (ASL). *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 31, 1: pp. 207-234
106. Schlenker, P. (2014). Iconic Features. *Natural Language Semantics* 22(4): pp. 299-356.
107. Schlenker, P. (2016). Featural variables. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory*, 34(3), pp. 1067-1088.
108. Schlenker, P., Aristodemo, V., Ducasse, L. Lamberton, J., and Santoro, M. (2016). The Unity of Focus: Evidence from Sign Language. *Linguistic Inquiry* 47, 2: pp. 363-381
109. Schlenker, P.; Chemla, E., Schel, A., Fuller, J., Gautier, J.-P., Kuhn, J., Veselinovic, D., Arnold, K., Cäsar, C., Keenan, S., Lemasson, A., Ouattara, K., Ryder, R., Zuberbühler, K. (2016). Formal Monkey Linguistics: the Debate. (Replies to commentaries). *Theoretical Linguistics* 42, 1-2: pp. 173-201
110. Schlenker, P., Chemla, E., Arnold, K., Zuberbühler, K. (2016). Pyow-Hack Revisited: Two Analyses of Putty-nosed Monkey Alarm Calls. *Lingua* 171: pp. 1-23
111. Schlenker, P., Chemla, E.; Cäsar, C., Ryder, R., Zuberbühler, K. (2016). Titi Semantics: Context and Meaning in Titi Monkey Call Sequences. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory*, 35(1), pp. 271-298.
112. Sheikh, H., Ginges, J. and Atran, S. (2013). Sacred values in intergroup conflict: Resistance to social influence, temporal discounting, and exit strategies. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1299: pp. 11-24.
113. Sheikh, H., Gómez Á. and Atran, S. (2016). Empirical evidence for the devoted actor model. *Current Anthropology* 57 (supplement 13).
114. Sheikh, H., Ginges, J., Coman, A. and Atran, S. (2012). Religion, group threat, and sacred values. *Judgment and Decision Making* 7: pp. 110-118.
115. Sheskin, M. and Baumard, N. (2016). Switching Away from Utilitarianism: The limited role of utility calculations in moral judgment. *PloS one*, 11(8), e0160084.
116. Spector, B. (2014) Global Positive Polarity Items and Obligatory Exhaustivity, *Semantics and Pragmatics* 7:11, pp. 1-61.
117. Spector, B. (2015). Multivalent semantics for vagueness and presupposition. *Topoi*; p. 1-11.

118. Spector, B. (2016). Comparing Exhaustivity Operators. *Semantics and Pragmatics*, 9:11.
119. Spector, B. and Sudo, Y. (2017). Presupposed ignorance and exhaustivity: how scalar implicatures and presuppositions interact. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, pp. 1-45.
120. Sperber, D. and Wilson, D. (2015). Beyond Speaker's Meaning. *Croatian journal of Philosophy*. 44, pp. 117-169.
121. Sperber, D. and Baumard, N. (2012). Moral reputation: an evolutionary and cognitive perspective. *Mind and Language*. 27 (5), pp. 485-518
122. Sperber, D. (2013). Speakers are honest because hearers are vigilant. *Episteme*, 10, 1, pp. 61-71
123. Sperduti, M., Pieron M., Leboyer M., Zalla T. (2014) Altered Pre-reflective Sense of Agency in Autism Spectrum Disorders as Revealed by Reduced Intentional Binding. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 44(2): pp. 343-52.
124. Sperduti ,M., Makowski D, Arcangeli M, Wantzen P, Zalla T, Lemaire S, Dokic J, Pelletier J., and Piolino P. (2016). The distinctive role of executive functions in implicit emotion regulation. *Acta Psychologica* 173: pp. 13-20.10.
125. Sperduti, M., Arcangeli, M.; Makowski, D.; Wantzen, P. ; Zalla, T. ; Lemaire, S. ; Dokic, J. ; Pelletier, J., and Piolino, P. (2016). The paradox of Fiction: Emotional Response Toward Fiction and the Modulatory Role of Self-relevance. *Acta Psychologica*, 165, pp. 53-59.
126. Stojanovic, I. (2012). Emotional Disagreement. *Dialogue* 51/1 (2012): pp. 99-117.
127. Stojanovic, I. (2012). On Value-Attributions: Semantics and Beyond. *The Southern Journal of Philosophy* 50/4: pp. 621-38.
128. Stojanovic, I. (2016). Expressing Aesthetic Judgments in Context. *Inquiry* 59: pp. 663-685.
129. Strickland, B., Geraci, C., and Chemla, E. and Schlenker, P., Kelepir, M. and Pfau, R. (2015). Event representations constrain the structure of language: Sign language as a window into universally accessible linguistic biases. *PNAS*, 112 (19) pp. 5968-5973.
130. Strickland, B., and Scholl, B. J. (2015). Visual perception involves 'event type' representations: The case of containment vs. occlusion. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 144(3), pp. 570-580.
131. Strickland, B., Silver, I., and Keil, F. (2016). The texture of causes and effects. Domain specific biases in causal reasoning. *Memory & Cognition* 45(3), pp. 442-455.
132. Vignemont de, F. (2013). The mark of bodily ownership. *Analysis*. 73, 4.
133. Vignemont de, F. (2015). Pain and bodily care: Whose body matters? *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 93(3), pp. 542-560.
134. Vignemont de, F. and Iannetti, G. (2015). How many peripersonal spaces? *Neuropsychologia*. 70: pp. 327-34.
135. Vignemont de, F. and Jacob, P. (2012). What it's like to feel another's pain. *Philosophy of Science*, 79, 2, pp. 295-316.
136. Vignemont de, F. and Jacob, P. (2016). Beyond empathy for pain. *Philosophy of Science* 83:3, pp. 434-445.
137. Zalla T. (2014). Amygdala, Oxytocin and Social Cognition in Autism Spectrum Disorders, *Biological Psychiatry*, 1; 76 (5): pp. 356-7.
138. Zalla T. (2017) Event perception as a building block of social cognition. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. Vol 6(2): pp. 150-152.
139. Zalla T., Amsellem F, Chaste P., M, Ervas F, Leboyer M, Champagne-Lavau. (2014). Individuals with autism spectrum disorders do not use social stereotypes in irony comprehension. *PlosOne*, Apr 18; 9(4).
140. Zalla T., Fernandez LG, Pieron M., Seassau M, Leboyer M., (2016). Reduced saccadic inhibition of return to moving eyes in autism spectrum disorders, *Vision Research*. 127: pp. 115-21.
141. Zalla T., Miele D., Leboyer M., Metcalfe J. (2015). Metacognition of Agency and Theory of Mind in Adults with High Functioning Autism. *Consciousness & Cognition*, 4; 31: pp. 126-138.
142. Zalla T., Seassau M, Cazalis F., Gras D., Leboyer M. (2016). Saccadic eye movements in adults with high functioning autism spectrum disorder, *Autism*.
143. Zalla T., Sirigu A., Robic S., Chaste P. Leboyer M., Coricelli G. (2014). Feelings of Regret and Disappointment in Adults with High-Functioning Autism, *Cortex*; 58: pp. 112-22.

144. Zalla T., Sperduti M. (2015) The Sense of Agency in Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Dissociation between Prospective and Retrospective Mechanisms? *Frontiers in Psychology* 6: 1278.
145. Zalla, T., Barbalat G., Leboyer M. (2014) A specific impairment in cognitive control in adults high-functioning autism disorders, *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 58: pp. 26-35.

Articles de synthèse / revues bibliographiques

Produits phares

1. Carvalho, F., Murez, M. & Recanati, F. (2014) Singular thought. *Oxford Bibliographies Online*.
2. Egré, P. (2015). Explanation in Linguistics. *Philosophy Compass* 10 (7): pp. 451-462.
3. Haggard P., Chambon V. (2012). Sense of Agency [Review]. *Current Biology*, 22(10): 390-392.
4. Kriegel, U. (2013). Phenomenal Intentionality Past and Present: Introductory. *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences* 12: pp. 437-444
5. Recanati, F. (2013) Content, Mood, and Force. *Philosophy Compass* 8, pp. 622-32.
6. Spector, B. (2013) Bare numerals and scalar implicatures. *Language and Linguistics Compass*. 7(5): pp. 273-294.
7. Chokron S., Pieron M., Zalla T. (2014) Troubles du Spectre de l'autisme et troubles de la fonction visuelle: revue critique, implications théoriques et cliniques, *Information Psychiatrique*. Vol. 90, 10, pp. 819-26.

Autres produits (sélection)

1. Arcangeli, M. (2014) Review of Anežka Kuzmičová. Mental Imagery in the Experience of Literary Narrative. Views from Embodied Cognition. *Estetika*, 51/1, pp. 149-154
2. Bouvier, A. (2014) Quelle est la nature du lien social? La philosophie sociale selon Vincent Descombes, *Igitur*, vol 6, n°1, pp.1-17
3. Bouvier, A. (2015) Etude critique de Brian Epstein, The Ant Trap. Rebuilding the Foundations of the Social Sciences, Oxford, O.U.P., in *Revue philosophique de la France et de l'étranger*, 4. Tome 140, pp. 572-4.
4. Contesi, Filippo (2012), Review of Carolyn Korsmeyer, Savoring Disgust, *British Journal of Aesthetics*, 52, 113-6
5. Jacob, P. (2016) Review of Chomsky, N. What Kinds of Creature Are We? *Notre Dame Philosophical Review* <http://ndpr.nd.edu/news/66489-what-kind-of-creatures-are-we/>
6. Kriegel, U. (2012) Review of D.M. Armstrong, Sketch of a Systematic Metaphysics." *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 90. pp. 189-192.
7. Mari, A. (2016). Review of Carrara et al. eds. Unity and plurality: Logic, Philosophy and Linguistics. *Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews*.
8. Nicolas, D. (2014). Review of Oliver & Smiley (2013) Plural Logic. *Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews* 2014.02.36.
9. Stojanovic, I. (2014) Review of Goldstein, Laurence (Ed.) Brevity (OUP 2013). *Journal of Linguistics* 50/3: pp. 743-4.
10. Stojanovic, I. (2014) Review of Richard, Mark, Context and the Attitudes (OUP 2013). *Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews*: 2014.01.15
11. Stojanovic, I. (2015) "Mental Files, Blown Up by Indexed Files", with Neftalí Villanueva. *Inquiry* 58/4: pp. 393-407.

Autres articles (articles publiés dans des revues professionnelles ou techniques, etc.)

N/A

2. Ouvrages

Monographies et ouvrages scientifiques, éditions critiques, traductions

Produits phares

1. Kriegel, U. (2015). *The Varieties of Consciousness*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press, 2015
2. Mercier, H. and Sperber, D. (2017) *The Enigma of Reason*. Harvard UP and Penguin.
3. Proust, J. (2013). *The Philosophy of Metacognition: mental agency and self-awareness*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
4. Recanati, F. (2012) *Mental Files*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Autres produits (sélection)

1. Atran, S. (2016). *L'état islamique est une révolution*. Paris: Les liens qui libèrent.
2. Baumard, N. (2016) *The origins of fairness: How Evolution Explains Our Moral Nature*, Oxford University Press.
3. Casati, R., Varzi, A.C., (2014) *L'incertezza elettorale. Che cos'è un voto? Come si contano i voti? E i voti contano davvero?* Roma: Aracne Editrice.
4. Dobrovie-Sorin, C.; Beyssade, C. (2012) *Redefining Indefinites*. Studies in Natural Language and Linguistic Theory, vol 85, 260 p., Springer
5. Nef, F. (2017) *L'Anti-Hume*. Vrin, Paris.
6. Origgi, G. (2015) *La Réputation*, PUF, Paris.
7. Recanati, F. (2016) *Mental Files in Flux*. Oxford University Press.
8. Wilson, D. & Sperber, D. (2012) *Relevance and meaning*. Cambridge University Press

Direction / édition scientifique

Produits phares

1. Alsmith, A. & Vignemont de, F. (eds) (2012). *The body represented/Embodied representation*. Review of Philosophy and Psychology, Special issue, 3 (1).
2. Beran M., Brandl, J., Perner, J. & Proust, J. (eds.), (2012). *The Foundations of Metacognition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
3. Berns, G. & Atran, S. (eds.) (2012) *Biology of Cultural Conflict*. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society-B.
4. Egré P., Cobreros P, Ripley D, van Rooij R, (eds.) (2014) *Three-valued Logics and their Applications*, Journal of Applied Non-Classical Logics, 24 (1-2).
5. Kriegel, U. (ed.) (2013) *Current Controversies in Philosophy of Mind*. London and New York: Routledge.
6. Kriegel, U. (ed.) (2013) *Phenomenal Intentionality*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
7. Murez, M., & Recanati, F. (eds.) (2016) *Mental Files*. Review of Philosophy and Psychology 7.

8. Prosser, S. & Recanati, F. (eds.) (2012) *Immunity to Error Through Misidentification: New Essays*. Cambridge University Press.

Autres produits (sélection)

1. Beyssade, C.; Pires de Oliveira, R., (2013). *Weak definites across languages*. Recherches Linguistique de Vincennes 42.
2. Bouvier, A. & R. Künstler (dir) (2016) *Croire ou accepter? Analyses conceptuelles et études de cas*, Paris, Herman.
3. Corblin, F. & Nicolas, D. (eds). (2016). *Déterminants et inférences*. Special issue of Travaux de Linguistique 72.
4. Kriegel, U. (2013) *Phenomenal Intentionality Past and Present*. Special Issue of Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences 12.
5. Mari, A. (2012). *New Perspectives on Genericity at the Interfaces*. Recherches Linguistiques de Vincennes
6. Nef, F. (2017) *Méta physique contemporaine* T II, Ontologie, Vrin.
7. Origgi, G. (2013) *La Réputation*. Communications numéro 93.
8. Origgi, G., Ramello, G. (2015) *Current Dynamics of Scholarly Publishing*, numéro spécial de Evaluation Review, 39.

Chapitres d'ouvrage

Produits phares

1. Baumard, N., Sperber, D. (2012). Evolutionary and cognitive issues in the anthropology of morality. In D. Fassin (Ed.) *A Companion to Moral Anthropology*, 611-627. Wiley-Blackwell.
2. Chierchia, G., Fox, D. and Spector, B. (2012). Scalar Implicature as a Grammatical Phenomenon. In P. Portner, C. Maienborn et K. von Heusinger (Eds.), *An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning Semantics*, vol 3, Mouton de Gruyter.
3. Casati, R. (2014). Object Perception. In Matthen, M. (Ed.) *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception*. pp. 393-404. Oxford University Press.
4. Dokic, J. (2012). Seeds of Knowledge: Noetic Feelings and Metacognition. In M. Beran, J. L. Brandl, J. Perner and J. Proust (Eds.). *Foundations of Metacognition*, pp.302-320. Oxford, Oxford University Press.
5. Egré, P., Cobreros, P., Ripley D. and van Rooij, Robert. (2015). Vagueness, truth and permissive consequence. In D. Achourioti, H. Galinon and J. Martinez (Eds.) *Unifying the Philosophy of Truth*, pp. 409-430. Springer.
6. Jacob, P. et al. (2016). Action-oriented understanding of consciousness and the structure of experience. In A.K. Engel, K.J. Friston & D. Krägic (Eds.) *The Pragmatic Turn, Towards Action-Oriented Views in Cognitive Science*, pp. 261-284. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
7. Kriegel, U. (2013). Brentano's Most Striking Thesis: No Representation without Self-Representation. In D. Fisette and G. Fréchette (Eds.), *Themes from Brentano*, pp. 23-40. Amsterdam: Brill.
8. Kriegel, U. (2015). The Character of Cognitive Phenomenology. In T. Breyer and C. Gutland (Eds.), *Phenomenology of Thinking*, pp. 25-43. London and New York: Routledge.
9. Pacherie, E. and Bayne, T. (2014). Consciousness and agency. In Jens Clausen and Neil Levy (Eds.), *Springer Handbook of Neuroethics*, pp. 211-230. Dordrecht: Springer.
10. Proust, J. (2013). Mental acts as Natural Kinds. In T. Vierkant, A. Clark, J. Kieverstein (Eds.), *Decomposing the Will*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
11. Recanati, F. (2012). Immunity to Error Through Misidentification: What It Is and Where It Comes From. In S. Prosser and F. Recanati (Eds.), *Immunity to Error Through Misidentification: New Essays*, pp. 180-201. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

12. Recanati, F. (2015) Indexical Thought: The Communication Problem. In M. Garcia-Carpintero and S. Torre (Ed.) *About Oneself*, pp. 141-78. Oxford University Press.
13. Stojanovic, I. and McNally, L. (2017). Aesthetic Adjectives. In Young, James (Ed.), *The Semantics of Aesthetic Judgment*, pp. 17-37. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
14. Vignemont de, F. (2015). Bodily awareness. In Zalta, E. (Ed.) Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Summer 2016 Edition).

Autres produits (sélection)

1. Atran, S. (2012) Psychological origins and cultural evolution of religion. In R. Sun (Ed.) *Grounding Social Sciences in Cognitive Science*. pp. 209-238. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
2. Atran, S. (2017). Conceptual and Empirical Challenges in Researching Terrorism. In S. Kitayama and D. Cohen (Eds.), *Handbook of Cultural Psychology*, Guilford Press.
3. Atran, S. and Sheikh, H. (2015). Dangerous terrorists as devoted actors. In V. Zeigler-Hill, L. Welling and T. Shackelford (Eds.), *Evolutionary Perspectives on Social Psychology*. pp. 401-416. New York: Springer.
4. Atran, S., and Ginges, J. (2015) Devoted actors and the moral foundations of intractable inter-group conflict. In J. Decety & T. Wheatley (Eds.), *The moral brain*, pp. 69-86. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
5. Baumard, N. and Sheskin, M. (2015). Partner Choice and the Evolution of a Contractualist Morality, in Decety, J. and Wheatley, T. (Eds.), *The Moral Brain: A Multidisciplinary Perspective*, pp. 35-48. M.I.T. Press.
6. Bouvier, A. (2012) Pareto, Mill and the explanation of collective beliefs. In Femia, J. and Marshall, A. (Eds.) *Vilfredo Pareto: Beyond Disciplinary Boundaries*, pp.131-151. Ashgate Publishing.
7. Boyer, P. and Baumard, N. (2017). Cognitive attractors in the evolution and diffusion of religious representations. In Luther Martin and Don Wiebe (Eds.), *Religion Explained: The Cognitive Science of Religion Twenty-Five Years On*. Bloomsbury.
8. Casati, R. (2016). The Structure of Standard Music Notation. In Zaibert, L. (Ed.), *The Theory and Practice of Ontology*, pp. 187-201. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
9. Chambon V., Filevitch E., Haggard, P. (2014). What is the human sense of agency, and is it metacognitive? In Fleming and Frith (Eds.), *The Cognitive Neuroscience of Metacognition*. Springer
10. Corblin, F. (2013). The roots of genericity: indefinite singulars Vs definite plurals. In Mari, A., Beyssade, C. and Del Prete, F. *Genericity*, pp. 352-371. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
11. Dokic, J. and J.-R. Martin. (2015). Looks the Same but Feels Different. A Metacognitive Approach to Cognitive Penetrability, in J. Zeimbekis & A. Raftopoulos (Eds.), *The Cognitive Penetrability of Perception. New Philosophical Perspectives*, pp. 241-267. Oxford, Oxford University Press.
12. Dokic, J. and M. Arcangeli. (2016). The Heterogeneity of Experiential Imagination. In T. Metzinger and J. Windt (Eds.), *Open MIND*, pp. 431-450. Cambridge, MIT Press.
13. Egré, P. (2014). Intentional action and the semantics of gradable expressions (on the Knobe Effect). In B. Copley and F. Martin (Eds.) *Causation in Grammatical Structures*, pp. 176-205, Oxford University Press.
14. Egré, P. and Bonnay, D. (2012). Metacognitive perspectives on unawareness and uncertainty. In M. Beran, J. Brandl, J. Perner and J. Proust (Eds.) *Foundations of Metacognition*, pp. 321-342, Oxford University Press
15. Geraci, C. and Quer, J. (2014). Determining argument structure in sign languages. In Roy, I. and Bachrach, A. (Eds.) *Structuring the Argument*, pp. 45-60. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Co.
16. Geraci, C. and Aristodemo, V. (2016). An in-depth tour into sentential complementation in Italian Sign Language. In Annika Herrmann, Roland Pfau and Markus Steinbach (Eds.) *Complex Matters: Subordination in Sign Languages*, pp. 95-150. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
17. Gergely, G. and Jacob, P. (2013). Reasoning about instrumental and communicative agency in infancy. In J. Benson (Serial Ed.), F. Xu and T. Kushnir (Vol. Eds.) *Rational Constructivism in Cognitive Development*, pp. 59-94. Elsevier, Academic Press.
18. Giannakidou, A. and Mari, A (2016). Future and universal epistemic modals: reasoning with non-veridicality and partial knowledge. In Blaszack, J. et al (Eds.) *Tense, Mood, and Modality: New Perspectives on Old Questions*, pp. 75-124. University of Chicago Press.

19. Ginges, J. and Atran, S. (2013). Sacred values and cultural conflict. In Gelfand, M. J., Chiu, C. Y., and Hong, Y. Y. (Eds.) *Advances in Culture and Psychology* (Vol. 4), pp. 273-301. New York: Oxford University Press.
20. Jacob, P. (2015). Action-based accounts. In M. Matthen (Ed.) *The Oxford Handbook of the Philosophy of Perception*, pp. 217-236. Oxford University Press.
21. Jacob, P. (2016). Assessing radical embodiment. In Y. Coello and M.H. Fischer (Eds.) *Perceptual and Emotional Embodiment*, vol. 1, pp. 38-58. London: Routledge.
22. Jacob, P. et al. (2016). Action-oriented understanding of consciousness and the structure of experience. In A.K. Engel, K.J. Friston & D. Kragic (Eds.) *The Pragmatic Turn, Towards Action-Oriented Views in Cognitive Science*, pp. 261-284. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
23. Jacob, P. and Vignemont de, F. (2017). Vicarious experiences: perception, mirroring or imagination? In Kiverstein, Julian (Ed.) *Routledge handbook of Philosophy of the Social Mind*, pp. 498-514. London: Routledge.
24. Kriegel, U. (2013) Two Notions of Mental Representation. In U. Kriegel (Ed.), *Current Controversies in Philosophy of Mind*, pp. 161-179. London and New York: Routledge.
25. Kriegel, U and Zahavi, D. (2015). For-me-ness: What it is and what it is not. In D. Dahlstrom, A. Elpidorou, and W. Hopp (Eds.) *Philosophy of Mind and Phenomenology*, pp. 36-53. London and New York: Routledge.
26. Nicolas, D. (2013). The Logic of Mass Expressions. In Edward N. Zalta (Ed.) *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Winter 2016 Edition)
27. Origgi, G. (2017). Reputation as a motivation for action and as a justification for belief. In F. Giardini and R. Wittek (Eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Gossip and Reputation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
28. Origgi, G. (2017). Trust and Reputation. In J. Simon (Ed.) *The Routledge Handbook of Philosophy of Trust*. London and New York: Routledge.
29. Pacherie, E. (2012). The Phenomenology of Joint Action: Self-Agency vs. Joint-Agency. In Axel Seemann (Ed.), *Joint Attention: New Developments*, pp. 343-389. Cambridge MA: MIT Press.
30. Pacherie, E. (2013). Sense of Agency: Many facets, multiple sources. In H. S. Terrace and J. Metcalfe (Eds.), *Agency and joint attention*, pp. 321-345. New York: Oxford University Press.
31. Pacherie, E. (2015). Time to act: the dynamics of agentive experiences. In Patrick Haggard and Baruch Eitam (Eds.), *The sense of agency*, pp. 3-24. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
32. Proust, J. (2012). Metacognition and mindreading: one or two functions? In M. Beran, J. Brandl, J. Perner and J. Proust (Eds.), *The Foundations of Metacognition*, pp. 234-251. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
33. Proust, J. (2014). On believing without a language. In Frauchiger, M. (Ed.), *Modalities, Identity, and Moral Dilemmas: Themes from Barcan Marcus*, Lauener Library of Analytical Philosophy, vol. 3, Berlin, Boston, Peking: Walter de Gruyter
34. Recanati, F. (2012). Compositionality, Flexibility and Context-Dependence. In W. Hinzen, E. Machery and M. Werning (Dir.), *Handbook of Compositionality*, pp. 175-91. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
35. Recanati, F. (2014). Empty Singular Terms in the Mental-File Framework. In M. Garcia-Carpintero, G. Martí et al. (Eds.) *Empty Representations*, pp. 162-185. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
36. Schlenker, P (2016). The Semantics/Pragmatics Interface. In Aloni, Maria and Dekker, Paul (Eds), *The Cambridge Handbook of Formal Semantics*. Cambridge University Press.
37. Sperber, D. and Mercier, H. (2012). Reasoning as a Social Competence. In H. Landemore and J. Elster (Eds.) *Collective Wisdom: Principles and Mechanisms*, pp. 368-392. Cambridge University Press.
38. Stojanovic, I. (2015). Speaking about Oneself. In García-Carpintero, Manuel and Torre, Stephan (Eds.), *About Oneself: De Se Thought and Communication*, pp. 200-219. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
39. Vignemont de, F. (2012). Bodily immunity to error. In F. Recanati and S. Prosser (Eds.), *Immunity to error through misidentification*. Cambridge University Press.
40. Vignemont de, F. (2014). Acting for bodily awareness. In L. Shapiro (Ed.), *Routledge handbook of embodied cognition*, pp. 287-295. Routledge.
41. Vignemont de, F. (2014). Multimodal unity and multimodal binding. In. Hill and D. Bennett (Eds.), *Sensory integration and the unity of consciousness*, pp. 125-150. MIT Press.
42. Vignemont de, F. (2016). Bodily experiences and bodily affordances. In Y. Coello and M.H. Fisher (Ed.) *Foundations of embodied cognition*. Psychology Press.

43. Vignemont de, F. (2017). Mirror-tactile synesthesia: intermodal or intersubjective fusion? In Deroy, O (Ed.) *Sensory blending: new essays on synesthesia*. Oxford University Press.
44. Vignemont de, F. and Massin, O. (2015). Touch. In M. Matthen (Ed.), *Oxford Handbook of philosophy of perception*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
45. Vignemont de, F. and Mercier, H. (2016). Under influence: is altercentric bias compatible with Simulation theory? In H. Kornblith & B. McLaughlin (Eds.) *Alvin Goldman and his Critics*. McLaughlin, Blackwell.
46. Zalla, T. and Machery E. (2014) The structure of the Intentional Action in Asperger Syndrome. In G. Lombrozo, S. Nichols, J. Knobe (Eds.) *Oxford Studies in Experimental Philosophy*.

Thèses publiées / éditées

Produits phares

Nogueira de Carvalho, F. *Demonstrative Thought : A Pragmatic View*. Berlin : De Gruyter, 2016.

Autres produits (selection)

Lastic, de, A. (Octobre 2015) *Qu'est-ce que l'entreprise?* Vrin.

3. Colloques / congrès, séminaires de recherche

Éditions d'actes de colloques / congrès

Produits phares

1. Mari, A.; Beyssade, C.; del Prete, F., 2012. *Genericity*. Oxford University Press.
2. Schäfer, F., Alexiadou, A., Borer, H. (2014) (eds.). *The Syntax of Roots and the Roots of Syntax*. Oxford University Press.

Autres produits

1. Bouvier, A. with J. Zahle, B. Kaldis, Th. Uebel, & J. Zamora, special issue of *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* (Paris Roundtable), n° 43, September, 2013.
2. Bouvier, A., with J. Bohman, , M. Risjord, P. Roth, S. Turner & A. Wylie, special issue of *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* (Paris Roundtable), n° 42 March 2012.
3. Bouvier, A., Zahle, J., Kaldis, B., Zamora, J. (eds) *Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, Vol. 14, n° 5
4. Henning, C. and Stojanovic, I. (Eds.) *Modeling and Using Context*. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence 9405, Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 2015. ISBN 978331925590.
5. Wylie, A., Bohman, J., Bouvier, A., Kaldis, B., Montuschi, E., Risjord, M., Roth, P., Turner, St., Wylie, A., Zahle, J., Zamora, Guest editors, special issue of *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* (2015 Seattle Roundtable/ENPOSS Joint Conference), March 2016
6. Zamora, J., Bouvier, A., Kaldis, B., Uebel, Th., Zahle, J., Guest editors, special issue of *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* (2014 Madrid ENPOSS Conference), September 2015

Articles publiés dans des actes de colloques / congrès

Produits phares

1. Egré P. and Politzer G. (2013). On the Negation of Indicative Conditionals. In Maria Aloni, Michael Franke & Floris Roelofsen (Eds.) *Proceedings of the 19th Amsterdam Colloquium* (pp. 10-18)
2. Mari, A. (2016). Assertability conditions of epistemic (and fictional) attitudes and mood variation. *Proceedings of Salt 26*, (pp. 61-81).
3. Schlenker, P. (2013). Supplements within a unidimensional semantics II: Epistemic status and projection. In S. Kan, C. Moore-Cantwell & R. Staubs (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society, Vol. 2.* (pp. 167-182) GLSA. Amherst, MA.
4. Schlenker, P. (2015). Donkey Anaphora in Sign Language II: The Presuppositions of Pronouns. In P. Grosz, P. Patel-Grosz and I. Yanovich (Eds.), *NELS 40: Proceedings of the Semantics Workshop on Pronouns*, GLSA.
5. Sudo, Y. and Spector, B. (2015) Presupposed Ignorance and Exhaustification. In Eva Csipak & Hedde Zeijlstra (Ed.) *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 19*. Linguistics in Göttingen.
6. Stojanovic, I. (2013). Quantifier Domain Restriction and Cross-contextual Assessments of Truth Value, *Proceedings of the Tenth Tbilisi Symposium on Language, Logic and Computation*, Tbilisi: Centre for Logic, Language and Speech.

Autres produits (sélection)

1. Egré P., Marty P and Renne B. (2015). Knowledge, Justification and Reason-Based Belief. In Thomas Brochhagen, Floris Roelofsen and Nadine Theilerpp (Eds.) *Proceedings of the 20th Amsterdam Colloquium* (pp. 100-108)
2. Geraci C. and Mantovan, L. (2014). Addressing the Cardinals Puzzle: New Insights from Non-Manual Markers in Italian Sign Language. In Onno Crasborn, Eleni Efthimiou, Evita Fotinea, Thomas Hanke, Jette Kristoffersen & Johanna Mesch (Eds.) *6th Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Beyond the Manual Channel Language* (pp. 113-116)
3. Geraci, C and Mazzei, A. (2014). Last train to “Rebaudengo Fossano”: the case of some names in avatar translation. In Onno Crasborn, Eleni Efthimiou, Evita Fotinea, Thomas Hanke, Jette Kristoffersen & Johanna Mesch (Eds.) *6th Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Beyond the Manual Channel Language* (pp. 63-66).
4. Giannakidou, A. and Mari, A. (2013). The future of Greek and Italian: an evidential analysis. *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 17*, (pp. 255-270)
5. Giannakidou, A. and Mari, A. (2016). Emotive predicates and the subjunctive: a flexible mood OT account based on (non)veridicality. In *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 20*, (pp. 288-305)
6. Linzen, T., Dupoux, E. and Spector, B. (2016). Quantificational features in distributional word representations. In *Proceedings of the 5th Joint Conference on Lexical and Computational Semantics*.
7. Mari, A., Giannakidou, A. (2013). A two dimensional analysis of the future: modal adverbs and speaker's bias... *Proceeding of the Amsterdam Colloquium 2013*.
8. Schlenker, P. (2013). Anaphora: Insights from Sign Language (Summary). In S. R. Anderson, J. Moeschler and F. Reboul (Eds.) *L'Interface langage-cognition The Language-cognition Interface. Actes du 19e Congrès International des Linguistes* (pp. 22-27). Genève.
9. Schlenker, P and Lamberton, J. (2012). Formal Indices and Iconicity in ASL. In Aloni, M, Kimmelman, V, Roelofsen, F, Galit Weidman Sassoon, Schulz, K and Westera, M (Eds.), *Logic, Language and Meaning: 18th Amsterdam Colloquium, Springer. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 7218* (pp 1-11).
10. Stojanovic, I. (2012). Generalized Quantifiers and Ontological Commitments. In Provorov, Oleg et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of PhML-2012 (Philosophy, Mathematics, Linguistics: Aspects of Interaction)*, Sankt Petersburg: EIMI Academic Publications.

11. Stojanovic, I (2015) Evaluative Adjectives and Evaluative Uses of Ordinary Adjectives. In Bekki, D. and McCready, E. (Eds.) *Proceedings of LENLS-12: Logic and Engineering of Natural Language Semantics*, Tokyo.

Autres produits présentés dans des colloques / congrès et des séminaires de recherche

N/A

4. Développements instrumentaux et méthodologiques

Prototypes et démonstrateurs

La liste de ces produits de la recherche n'a pas à être exhaustive. On mettra en évidence les réalisations les plus significatives.

Plateformes et observatoires

N/A

5. Produits et outils informatiques

Logiciels

Casati, R. Lomax, G. Astrini, logiciel libre et open source pour l'apprentissage de l'astronomie élémentaire.
<http://91.121.141.56/astrini/>.

Bases de données / cohortes

N/A

Corpus

N/A

Outils présentés dans le cadre de compétitions de solveurs

N/A

Outils d'aide à la décision

N/A

6. Brevets, licences et déclarations d'invention

N/A

7. Rapports d'expertises techniques, produits des instances de normalisation

N/A

8. Produits des activités didactiques

Ouvrages

Corblin, F. (2013) *Cours de sémantique*. Introduction. Armand Colin. Collections Cursus.

Casati, R., 2013, *Dov'è il sole di notte?* Milano: Cortina

Vignemont de, F. & Sackur, J. (à paraître). *La conscience. Manuel des sciences cognitives.*

E-learning, moocs, cours multimedia, etc.

N/A

9. Produits destinés au grand public

Émissions radio, TV, presse écrite

Atran, S. (2016). ISIS: The durability of chaos. New York Review of Books;
<http://www.nybooks.com/daily/2016/07/16/nice-attack-isis-durability-of-chaos/>

Atran, S., “Tackling social ills will do more than bombs to defeat ISIS and its gospel of hate”, by S. Atran, The Guardian, 28 July 2016; <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2016/jul/28/isis-hate-jihadis-recruits>

Baumard, N. (2016) Why are religions so judgemental? Ask evolution The New Scientist, 27 April 2016

Baumard, N. « La tête au carré », France Inter, 5/11/2014

Kriegel, U. (2013) Comment étudier objectivement la subjectivité? La Recherche .83, n° 478, août 2013

Proust, J. 2014. Redéfinir l'humain: Les sciences de l'homme face à l'interdisciplinarité, Le Débat, numéro spécial sur l'Anthropologie Philosophique.

Vignemont de, F. (2012), L'homme sous influence. Cerveau et psycho, 50, 48-53. Reedited in 2014 in L'essentiel Cerveau & Psycho, 20.

Zalla T. (2012) Autismes: de la théorie de l'esprit au concept de cognition sociale, La lettre du psychiatre, Vol. VIII, n.3-4, pp. 102-106.

Autres produits (sélection)

Atran, S. Etat islamique : l'illusion du sublime. Cerveau & Psycho, décembre 2014.

Atran, S. & Hamid, N. Paris: The war ISIS wants. New York Review of Books, 2015; available at <https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=Atran+nyrb>

Atran, S., Telerama (France, “Comment devient-on djihadiste?” (1/2), by S. Atran & N. Hamid, 8 April 2016); <http://www.telerama.fr/monde/comment-devient-on-djihadiste-1-2-par-scott-atran-anthropologue,140496.php>

Atran, S., Libération (France, “L'Etat islamique gagne en puissance quel que soit le carnage de Nice”, by S. Atran, 19 July 2016); http://www.liberation.fr/debats/2016/07/19/l-etat-islamique-gagne-en-puissancequelle-que-soit-la-cause-du-carnage-de-nice_1467191

Atran, S., Psychology Today (“Researching terrorism from the field”, by S. Atran, 31 January 2017); <https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/in-gods-we-trust/201701/researching-terrorism-the-field>

Atran, S., Télérama (France, “Comment devient-on djihadiste?” (2/2), by S. Atran & N. Hamid, 9 April 2016); <http://www.telerama.fr/idees/comment-devient-on-djihadiste-2-2-par-scott-atran-et-nafees-hamid-chercheurs,140793.php>

Atran, S., Ulyces (France, “Sur le front irakien : qui se bat contre qui et pourquoi (2)”, by S. Atran, June 2016); <http://www.ulyses.co/scott-atran/sur-le-front-irakien-qui-se-bat-contre-qui-et-pourquoi-2/>

Baumard, N. (2013). Faut-il de la morale à l'école ? Cerveau & Psycho, July-August 2013.

- Baumard, N. (2014). La fin des idées reçues sur la morale?, introduction to the special issue "La Morale", Cerveau & Psycho, July-August 2014
- Baumard, N (2015). Évasion fiscale : un désastre pour nos cerveaux, Cerveau & Psycho, January-February 2015.
- Baumard, N. and Chevallier, C. (2017). Les sciences du comportement au service des politiques publiques, Cerveau & Psycho, N° 87 - avril 2017
- Contesi, F. Holly Else, "The Postdoc Experience", Times Higher Education, 2210, 2nd–8th July
- Kriegel, U. "Worldmodels." Montreal Review (February 2012).
- Kriegel, U. (2016). The Nietzschean Dream of Barcelona." The Blizzard: The Football Quarterly 18 (2015): 75-78. [traduction japonaise : in フットボール批評/Futtabōru hihyō 9 (2016).]
- Millet I., Zalla T. (2017) Reconnaissance émotionnelle chez les sujets atteints de troubles du spectre autistiques et leur apparentés. Psychologues & Psychologies, 248.
- Oraggi, G. (2015) "La verità nient'altro che la verità? Piccola storia filosofica della menzogna" Micromega, 02/2015.
- Oraggi, G.;Sperber, D. (2015) "Addio a Jack Goody, tra antropologia e cognizione" Il Sole 24 Ore, 13 août. 2015
- Oraggi, G. (2015) "Confessioni della donna che trema. Intervista con Siri Hustvedt" Micromega, 08/2015.
- Oraggi, G. (2015) "L'apocalisse islamista e l'autunno dell'Europa. Intervista a Boualem Sansal" Micromega, 08/2015.
- Oraggi, G. (2016) "Chi ha paura del costruttivismo?" Micromega, 7.
- Oraggi, G. (2017) "Verità e Democrazia" Micromega, 2.
- Pelletier, J. (2012) : "Les émotions sont-elles sensibles au contraste entre le réel et l'imaginaire?" ÉPISTÉMOCRITIQUE - Volume XI - Automne 2012 - Neurosciences, arts et littérature.
- Recanati, F. (2014) « Analüütiline ja kontinentaalne filosoofia pole sama distsipliin. » Sirp, n° 28, 2014, pp. 8 - 9.
- Vignemont de, F. (2012), Marc Jeannerod: un homme d'action. Cerveau et psycho, 54, 75-81.
- Vignemont de, F. (2012), Culpabilité et sens moral. Cerveau et psycho, 53, 28-29.
- Vignemont de, F. (2012), Dans l'intimité de l'esprit. Cerveau et psycho, 51, 76-77
- Vignemont de, F. (2012), L'espace du corps propre, Cerveau et psycho, 52, 71-77
- Vignemont de, F. (2013), Le laboratoire de l'esprit. Cerveau et psycho, 59, 70-74
- Vignemont, de F. (2014), Le rôle de l'empathie pour le sens moral. Les Forums Lundbeck, 70-83

Produits de vulgarisation : articles, interviews, éditions, vidéos, etc.

Arcangeli, M. (2016): Interview ('Imagination supposition, imagine') pour le magazine en ligne 3:AM Magazine (<http://www.3ammagazine.com/3am/imagination-supposition-imagine/>)

Arcangeli, M. (2017): "Expérience de pensée", dans L'Encyclopédie Philosophique (article grand public <http://encyclo-philo.fr/experiences-de-pensee-gp/> et article académique <http://encyclo-philo.fr/experiences-de-pensee-a/>)

Baumard, N. (2014) Morality Is in Our Brain, in Our Genes, and Even in the Structure of the Universe, Contribution to the debate "Mind and morality: where do they meet?" organized by the Center for Humans and Nature

Baumard, N. (2017). Phenotypic Plasticity, Contribution to Edge Annual Question "WHAT SCIENTIFIC TERM OR CONCEPT OUGHT TO BE MORE WIDELY KNOWN" (2017)

Contesi, F. (2015) "The Meanings of Disgusting Art" [reprint], Arts & Opinion, available at: http://www.artsandopinion.com/2016_v15_n5/contesi.htm

Contesi, F. (2014) "100 Philosophers 100 Artworks 100 Words #4", Aesthetics for Birds, available at: <http://www.aestheticsforbirds.com/2014/06/100philosophers-100-artworks-100-words.html>

Proust, J. (2013). Posts et discussions, 20-28 Juin 2016, sur le blog de philosophie The Brainsblog, <http://philosophyofbrains.com/author/proustj>

Proust, J. (2015) Interview par Richard Marshall pour le magazine 3AM
<http://www.3ammagazine.com/3am/metacognition>

Recanati, F. (2014) « Conversation with François Recanati » (D. Belleri, M. Palmira). APhEx, Portale Italiano di Filosofia Analytica, n° 10, 2014

Schlenker, P. (2013) Interview pour Les Echos sur le centenaire de la mort de Saussure (pour l'article de Yann Verdo 'Le langage est-il le propre de l'homme?', 18 février 2013).

Schlenker, P. (2014) La Semantica tra Logica e Cognizione' (avec Luigi Rizzi and Andrea Moro), Festival delle Scienze 2014, Rome, January 23, 2014. Version audio en ligne à http://www.auditorium.com/download_file/podcast/5669398/la_scienza_del_linguaggio.mp3

Schlenker, P (2015) Interview pour Le Monde sur la sémantique primate pour l'article de Nathaniel Herzberg's 'Krak ou hok: décrypter la langue des singes', January 20, 2015.http://www.lemonde.fr/sciences/article/2015/01/20/krak-ou-hok-decrypter-la-langue-des-singes_4559515_1650684.html?xtmc=schlenker&xtcr=1

Schlenker, P. (2015) Interview pour Scientific American Monde sur la sémantique primate pour l'article de Joshua Krish's 'Monkey See, Monkey Speak, publié online le 19 Decembre, 2015.http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/monkey-see-monkey-speak-video/?utm_source=twitterfeed&utm_medium=twitter ; corrections appear online at<https://sites.google.com/site/philippeschlenkerresearch/home/monkey-semantics-l-p>

Schlenker, P. (2017). Whatmough Lecture at Harvard University: Meaning in Speech, in Sign and in Gestures. (May 1). Available online: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o0bbgbHbLIQ>

Schlenker, P. (2017). 'Musiksemantik: Was Musik Bedeutet', Barenboim-Said Akademie, Berlin, 12 juin 2017.

Produits de médiation scientifique

Casati, R., Varzi. A.C., 2017, *Semplicemente diaboliche. 100 nuove storie filosofiche* [Simply Diabolical. 100 New Philosophical Stories], Rome, Laterza Editore (I Robinson / Letture), vi + 224 pp.

Débats science et société

Casati, R. (2013) *Contre le Colonialisme Numérique*. Albin Michel.

Pacherie, E. (2016 June 3) Parcours du chercheur. Participation à la Nuit des Lettres et Sciences de l'ENS

Schlenker, P. (2014) Le cas des langues signées: le Sens visible', ENS, Nuit des Sciences, June 6, 2014.

Schlenker, P. (2014) 'Vers une linguistique pour les primates?', ENS, Nuit des Sciences, June 6, 2014.

Schlenker, P. (2014) 'Linguistique chez les Primates'. Forum des Sciences Cognitives, Paris, 22 mars, 2014.

Schlenker, P. (2016). 'Linguistique primate', ENS, Nuit des Sciences, June 3, 2016.

10. Autres produits propres à une discipline

Organisation de cycles de conférences

Produits phares

- *Conférences Jean-Nicod de philosophie cognitive* (annuelles, avec le soutien de la Fondation Meyer pour le développement culturel et artistique et du CNRS qui financent le Prix Jean-Nicod remis au conférencier) : Ned Block (2013), Uta et Chris Frith (2014), David Chalmers (2015), Patrick Haggard (2016), John Campbell (2017).
- *Linguae Lectures* (annuelles) : Fred Lerdahl (2012), Karen Emmorey (2013), Susan Goldwin-Meadow (2014), Didier Demolin (2015), Marie Coppola (2016), Philip Tetlock (2017).
- *Context and Content Lectures* : Paul Pietroski (2013), Kit Fine (2013), Imogen Dickie (2014), John Perry (2016), Josef Perner (2017).

NB : le texte des Conférences Jean-Nicod paraît dans la collection Jean-Nicod chez MIT Press, et le texte des Context and Content Lectures dans la collection Context and Content chez Oxford University Press.

Autres cycles de conférences organisés par le laboratoire (sélection)

- [Asher KORIAT](#)
University of Haïfa / Septembre - Octobre 2012
- [Mathieu MARION](#)
UQAM / Octobre- Novembre 2012
- [John COLLINS](#)
University of East Anglia - Directeur d'études associé à l'EHESS / Janvier - Février 2013
- [Anastasia GIANNAKIDOU](#)
University of Chicago - Professeur invitée à l'ENS / Avril 2013
- [John HYMAN](#)
Oxford University / Novembre 2013
- [Robert MAY](#)
UC Davis - Directeur d'études associé à l'EHESS - Professeur invité à l'ENS / Mars-Avril 2014
- [Jeffrey KING](#)
Rutgers University - Directeur d'études invité à l'EHESS / Mai-Juin 2014
- [Jason STANLEY](#)
Yale University - Professeur invité à l'EHESS / Janvier 2015
- [Charles SIEWERT](#)
(Rice University, Professeur invité à l'ENS) / Octobre 2015
- [Olivier MASSIN](#)
(Université de Genève, maître de conférences associé à l'EHESS) / Janvier 2016
- [Stefano PREDELLI](#)
(Université de Nottingham, directeur d'études associé à l'EHESS) / Mars-Avril 20016
- [José Luis BERMUDEZ](#)
(Texas A&M University) / Avril 2016
- [Dean ZIMMERMAN](#)
(Rutgers University, Directeur d'études associé à l'EHESS) / Mai-Juin 2016
- [Manuel GARCIA-CARPINTERO](#) - Avril 2017
(Universitat de Barcelona, Professeur invité à l'ENS)
- [Stacie FRIEND](#)
(Birkbeck University of London, Professeure invitée à l'ENS) / Mai 2017
- [Aidan GRAY](#)
(University of Illinois at Chicago, maître de conférences invité à l'EHESS) / Mai - Juin 2017
- [Susanna SIEGEL](#)
(Harvard University, Professeure invitée à l'ENS) / Juin 2017
- [Robin JESHION](#)
(University of Southern California, Directeur d'études invitée à l'EHESS) / Juin 2017

Séminaires de recherche ouverts organisés par le laboratoire

2012-2013

- [Colloquium IJN](#)
- [CPR](#)
- [Doc'in Nicod](#)
- [FILE](#)

- [Groupe de lecture Sémantique](#)
- [Groupe de lecture Végétarisme](#)
- [Groupe PaCS](#)
- [Groupe Perception](#)
- [Naturalizing Epistemic Norms](#)
- [Primate Linguistics](#)
- [Time in Mind and Language](#)
- [CogSoc](#)

2013-2014

- [Colloquium de l'Institut](#)
- [Co-Colloquium](#)
- [Philosophie Expérimentale](#)
- [CogSoc](#)
- [Jean Nicod Emerging Ideas](#)
- [Evolution & Cognition Sociale](#)
- [Naturalisation des Normes Epistémiques](#)
- [FILE](#)
- [PaCS](#)
- [Perception](#)
- [Seminaire KCL-IJN](#)

2014-2015

- [CogSoc](#)
- [Colloquium](#)
- [Conscience de soi](#)
- [Education & Cognition](#)
- [Doc'in Nicod](#)
- [Evolution & Cognition Sociale](#)
- [Mind & Language](#)
- [Naturalisation des Normes Epistémiques](#)
- [PaCS](#)
- [Perception](#)
- [Philosophie Expérimentale](#)
- [Réalité & Représentation](#)
- [Séminaires EHESS](#)

2015-2016

- [Aesthetics \(ÆCS\)](#)
- [Colloquium](#)
- [Doc'in Nicod](#)
- [Education & Cognition](#)
- [Mind & Language](#)
- [Naturalisation des Normes Epistémiques](#)
- [PaCS](#)
- [Perception](#)
- [Philosophie Expérimentale](#)
- [Réalité & Représentation](#)
- [Séminaires EHESS](#)

2016-2017

- [Colloquium](#)
- [Doc'in Nicod](#)
- [Essences, natures et propriétés](#)
- [ÆCS](#)
- [Métaéthique](#)
- [Naturalisation des Normes Epistémiques](#)
- [Norms, beliefs and truth](#)
- [PaCS](#)

- [Philosophie Expérimentale](#)
- [Réalité & Représentation](#)

II. ACTIVITÉS DE RECHERCHE ET INDICES DE RECONNAISSANCE

1. Activités éditoriales

Participation à des comités éditoriaux (revues, collections)

Les membres du laboratoire participent ou ont participé aux comités éditoriaux de très nombreuses revues scientifiques, dont :

Journal of Philosophical Logic
Thinking and Reasoning
Metaphor and Symbol
Journal of Cognition & Culture
Social Neuroscience
Cognitive Science
The Monist
European Journal of Analytic Philosophy
Journal of Semantics
Dialectica
Disputatio
Topoi
Natural Language Semantics
Linguistics and Philosophy
Semantics & Pragmatics
Mind and Language
Language and Linguistics Compass
Philosophy Compass
Theoria
Balkan Journal of Philosophy
Journal of Social Ontology
Journal of Ethnobiology
Journal of Philosophy of Emotion
Studia Logica
Dynamics of Asymmetric Warfare
Cognition
Journal of philosophy of emotion
Neuroscience and Consciousness
Pragmatics and Cognition
Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews
Biology and Philosophy
Biological Theory
Philosophia Scientiae
Thought
Abstracta
Al Muktabat
Lingua
Igitur
Revue Linguistique de Vincennes
Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences
Mind and Society
Modeling and Using Context

Ils participent également aux comités éditoriaux de collections scientifiques comme :

Studies in the Philosophy of Sociality, Springer
Perspectives on Behavioral Economics and the Economics of Behavior, Elsevier
Philosophical Studies Series, Springer
Mouton Series in Pragmatics, Mouton - De Gruyter
Studies in Meaning and Communication, Davies Group
The Empirical Foundations of Theoretical Pragmatics, Brill
Logic, Argumentation and Reasoning, Springer

Direction de revues, de collections et de séries

Les membres du laboratoire (co-)dirigent plusieurs revues :

- *Review of Philosophy and Psychology* (Springer) : Paul Egré est rédacteur en chef, Frédérique de Vignemont, Roberto Casati et Brent Strickland sont *executive editors*.
- *Journal of Semantics*: Philippe Schlenker a été *managing editor* jusqu'en 2012, et est *head of the advisory board* depuis 2013 (d'autres membres du laboratoire appartiennent au comité éditorial)
- *Neuroscience of Consciousness* : Frédérique de Vignemont est *associate editor* depuis 2015.

Par ailleur, le directeur du laboratoire, François Recanati, dirige deux collections scientifiques :

- La collection *Jean-Nicod* chez MIT Press
- La collection *Context and Content* chez Oxford University Press.

2. Activités d'évaluation

Responsabilités au sein d'instances d'évaluation

Plusieurs chercheurs du laboratoire ont été ou sont membres du Comité National du CNRS dans les sections 26 (Pacherie), 34 (Beyssade, Spector) ou 35 (Egré).

Plusieurs chercheurs ont siégé dans des jurys de recrutement internationaux en philosophie (notamment : Universités de Genève et de l'Iéna : Joëlle Proust ; King's College London : F. Recanati)

Elisabeth Pacherie est membre du Comité Scientifique des Chaires internationales de recherche Blaise Pascal, Etat et Région d'Ile de France ainsi que du Comité de suivi du Programme SHS-Neurosciences de l'Institut d'Etudes Avancées de Paris et du Panel SH4 Advanced Grants "The Human Mind and its complexity: cognition, psychology, linguistics, philosophy, education" du European Research Council ; Joëlle Proust a fait partie du Jury Séniior de l'Institut de France ; Philippe Schlenker est membre du comité exécutif de IAST (Toulouse Institute of Advanced Studies), a été vice-président du comité de recrutement d'un professeur de linguistique à l'ENS en 2013-2014, et Président du *search committee* correspondant en 2014-2015 ; François Recanati, Philippe Schlenker et Benjamin Spector sont membres du conseil exécutif du Labex IEC.

Évaluation d'articles et d'ouvrages scientifiques

Le laboratoire a produit plusieurs centaines d'évaluations d'articles et ouvrages scientifiques au profit d'un grand nombre de revues ou de maisons d'édition, dont :

Revues: *Biology Letters*, *Current Biology*, *PlosOne*, *Psychological Bulletin*, *Psychological Science*, *Proceedings of The Royal Society B*, *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *Cognition*, *Cognitive Science*, *Developmental Psychology*, *Child Development*, *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *Journal of Cognition and Culture*, *Philosophy and Biology*, *Consciousness and Cognition*, *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry*, *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *Dialectica*, *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, *Journal of Philosophy*, *Journal of Social Ontology*, *Intellectica*, *Mind*, *Mind and Language*, *Neuropsychologia*, *Nous*, *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, *Philosophical Psychology*, *Philosophical Studies*, *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, *Synthese*, *Thought*, *Theoria*, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, *Emotion*, *Pain*, *Philosophiques*, *Philosophy and Phenomenological research*, *Philosophical Quarterly*, *Philosophical Review*, *Ratio*, *Synthese*, *Journal of Semantics*, *Semantics and Pragmatics*, *Linguistics and Philosophy*, *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*, *Lingua*, *Glossa*, *Language*, *Erkenntnis*, *Analysis*, *European Journal of Philosophy*.

Editeurs: Oxford University Press, Cambridge University Press, MIT Press, Springer, Palgrave MacMillan, Routledge, Elsevier, Blackwell, Brill.

Évaluation de laboratoires (type Hceres)

Elisabeth Pacherie a été membre ou présidente de 3 comités de visite pour l'HCERES (2014-2016) ; François Recanati a participé à l'évaluation de laboratoires ou d'universités en Italie et en Espagne.

Évaluation de projets de recherche

Les membres du laboratoire ont effectué des expertises pour (notamment) : l'ANR et l'IMERA (France); European Research Council (ERC, EU) ; The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO, Pays-Bas) ; The Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC, Canada); Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO, Belgique) ; le Fond National Suisse (FNS, Suisse) ; Goldsmith College, University of London ; Participation à la sélection des boursiers Consolidator grants, Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), session 2015.

3. Activités d'expertise scientifique

Activités de consultant

Nicolas Baumard a travaillé pour le Ministère de la Défense (Projet Casimir sur les bases cognitives de la violence), et la Banque Mondiale (Annual Report on Behavioral Economics and Public Policies, 2013 - 2014) ; Sacha Bourgeois-Gironde pour France-Stratégie (audition du 18 mai 2017 sur la nature de la monnaie et les techniques du blockchain).

Participation à des instances d'expertises (type Anses) ou de normalisation

N/A

Expertise juridique

N/A

4. Organisation de colloques / congrès

Colloques organisés par le laboratoire.

2012-2013

"What is self-ascription ?"

Semaine Sperber

Perception Tactile et Cognition Spatiale

How do we know what happens ?"

7th Latin Meeting in Analytic Philosophy

Colloque Psychiatrie et Psychologie fondées sur des preuves

Minds in Common

Representations in Perception

2013-2014

Anthropologie et Ontologie

Phenomenal Concepts

Journée Méta-Ethique

Primate Linguistics

Early Social Cognition

Mediated Emotions I

Philosophie du Langage

Thinking of Oneself

Atelier "Hallucinations"

Journée Métaphysique

Psychologie Morale

Journée du Répertoire : F. Récanati

Deference and Shared Concepts

Suffering and Cognition

Rationality Models and the Economic Crisis

Art Visuel et Emotion

Modality

Journée Epistémologie

Ned Block and his critics

2014-2015

Anthropologie et Ontologie

Phenomenal Concepts

Journée Méta-Ethique

Primate Linguistics

Early Social Cognition

Mediated Emotions I

Philosophie du Langage

Thinking of Oneself

Atelier "Hallucinations"

Journée Métaphysique

Psychologie Morale
Journée du Répertoire : F. Récanati
Deference and Shared Concepts
Suffering and Cognition
Rationality Models and the Economic Crisis
Art Visuel et Emotion
Modality
Journée Epistémologie
Ned Block and his critics

2015-2016

Méta-Ethique
Disagreement and Social Epistemology
Dividnorm
European Epistemology Network Meeting
Genre et Intelligence Artificielle
Monism
Self-Knowledge
Terrorisme
Political Economy Workshop
La cognition du maître
Quasi-emotion
Les concepts d'hallucination

2016-2017

Perceptual Awareness
The Philosophy of Moods
Morality, Language, and Thought
Consciousness, Self, and Value
Drawing challenges
Fiction
Formal Pragmatics
Phenomenal Intentionality
En l'honneur de Sylvain Bromberger
Les barrières électorales
Quel visage pour une théorie du design ?
Skilled Action
Bodily sensations and bodily awareness
Contextual indefiniteness
Les états modifiés de Conscience
New Ideas in Semantics and Modeling

Autres colloques organisés ou co-organisés par les membres du laboratoire :

N. Baumard

-2017 Workshop « Cultural Attraction : Empirical Issues » Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, April 2017
-2017 Conference Evolution and Human Behavior European Association Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, April
-2014 Summer School (sole organiser) “The evolution of morality: Evolutionary Origins and Cognitive Mechanism”, European Central University, Budapest, Hungary (with P. Bloom, L. Cosmides, J. Tooby, K. Wynn, F. Cushman, G. Csibra, etc.)
-2013 International Conference « Morality: Cognition and Culture » (co-organiser with Monica Heinz, Olivier Morin and Isabelle Rivoal), September 2013, Centre International de Cerisy.

S. Bourgeois-Gironde

-2008-2017 Co Organisateur depuis 2008 du congrès annuel : Symposium on the Biology of Decision-Making (SBDM, Paris). Colloque à dimension internationale (250 participants par an), réunissant les meilleurs chercheurs mondiaux à l'interface des neurosciences et des sciences de la décision.

A. Mari

Organization and scientific committee: NISM, Edition 2016, ENS/EHESS. <http://nism2016.sciencesconf.org/>

E. Pacherie

-2013 Co-organisation du Workshop International "Collective Intentionality", Zentrum Für Interdisziplinäre Forschung, Bielefeld, avril 2013, avec H. Rakoczy.

- 2013 Membre du Comité Scientifique, Third Conference of the European Network on Social Ontology, Helsinki, octobre 2013.
- 2014 Membre du Comité Scientifique, 9th Collective Intentionality Conference, Bloomington, USA, septembre 2014.
- 2014 Co-organisation du Workshop "Towards a framework for joint action", RO-MAN Conference, Edinburgh, août 2014, avec R. Alami, C. Clodic & C. Vesper;
- 2015 Co-organisation de 19th International Conference of the Association for the Scientific Study of Consciousness, Paris, 2015, avec S. Kouider et C. Tallon-Baudry, 500 participants.
- 2016 Membre du Comité Scientifique, 10th Collective Intentionality Conference, La Hague, Pays-Bas, août-septembre 2016.
- 2017 Co-organisation du Workshop International "Executive and automatic control of skilled action: how they interface and interact", Paris, mai 2017, avec Myrto Mylopoulos.

J. Proust

- 2012 Participation au comité scientifique du colloque ASSC XVI, 2-6 Juillet 2012, Brighton.
- 2012 Avec Anne Coubray, 9-10 Mai 2012: *Expressivism and Epistemic Normativity*, Centre des Cordeliers, Paris.
- 2014 Avec Martin Fortier, 24-26 Septembre 2014: *Metacognitive diversity: an interdisciplinary approach*. ENS, Paris

F. Recanati

Co-organisation du colloque annuel du réseau européen PLM (*Philosophy of language and mind*) ; membre du comité de programme de *Context 2017*.

P. Schlenker

- 2014 Member of the program committee for the semantics conference SALT 24 (Semantics and Linguistic Theory) at NYU, May 30-June 1 2014.
- 2014 Organizer of 'Formal Semantics Beyond Spoken Language': 1-day workshop, NYU, May 29, 2014 (<https://sites.google.com/site/semanticsbeyondspokenlanguage>)
- 2015 Organizer of the Workshop on Sign Language Meaning and Cognition, May 27, 2015, NYU (<https://sites.google.com/site/signlanguagemeaningcognition/>)
- 2015 Organizer of the Workshop on the Music/Language Connection, May 21, 2015, NYU (<https://sites.google.com/site/themusiclanguageconnection/>)
- 2016 Organizer of the Workshop on Music and Movement, May 23, 2016, NYU (<https://sites.google.com/site/nyuworkshopmusicandmovement/home>)

5. Post-doctorants et chercheurs accueillis

Une quarantaine de post doctorants ont été accueillis pendant la période de référence, dont un tiers étaient financés sur les contrats du laboratoire, un autre tiers financés grâce aux tutelles du laboratoire (ENS, EHESS, PSL) ou au Labex IEC dont l'IJN est membre (notamment via le Programme européen Cofund, qui a permis le co-financement par le Labex de six post doctorants), et un dernier tiers par les gouvernements d'origine ou par divers programmes (post doctorats de la Fondation Fyssen, programme Research in Paris, etc.)

Ont également été accueillis : une quarantaine de doctorants étrangers venus faire des stages au sein du laboratoire (de quelques semaines à un an) dans le cadre d'accord avec leurs universités d'origine ou dans le cadre de réseaux de recherche auxquels le laboratoire appartient ; et une centaine de chercheurs étrangers venus soit à titre de professeurs invités (à l'ENS ou à l'EHESS le plus souvent), soit au titre d'un séjour sabbatique, soit afin de participer au projet scientifique d'un de nos chercheurs.

6. Interactions avec les acteurs socio-économiques

Contrats de R&D avec des industriels

N/A

Bourses Cifre

Adélaïde de Lastic. Qu'est-ce qu'une entreprise ? La création de valeur d'un agent collectif. Une approche ontologique et éthique. EHESS, directrice : Gloria Origgi.

Créations de laboratoires communs avec une / des entreprise(s)

L'Institut Jean-Nicod est membre fondateur de l'Institut Carnot Cognition.

Création de réseaux ou d'unités mixtes technologiques

N/A

Créations d'entreprises, de start-up

N/A

7. Contrats de recherche financés par des institutions publiques ou caritatives

Contrats européens (ERC, H2020, etc.) et internationaux (NSF, JSPS, NIH, Banque mondiale, FAO , etc.)

The SIGN-HUB: Preserving, researching and fostering the linguistic, historical and cultural heritage of European Deaf signing communities with an integral resource. Horizon 2020, Research & Innovation: Sign-Hub. Consortium, **C. Geraci**, 2016-2020. Site web

DIAPHORA H2020 European Training Network, **F. Recanati**, 2016-2020. Site web

PETAF: Perspectival Thoughts and Facts, FP7 Marie-Curie Initial Training Network, 2010-2013. Site web

Presupposition: A Formal Pragmatic Approach, Euryi Grant from the European Science Foundation, Philippe Schlenker, 2007-2013.

CCC: Context, Content and Compositionality, ERC advanced Grant, **F. Recanati**, 2009-2013. Site web

TELCAS: Theoretical/Experimental Linguistic Cognition Advanced Studies (Grant from the Partner University Fund, Emmanuel Dupoux, Dominique Sportiche, 2009-2012.

DIVIDNORM: Divided metacognition, when epistemic norms conflict, ERC advanced Grant, **J. Proust**, 2011-2016, Site web

FRONTSEM: New Frontiers of Formal Semantics, ERC advanced Grant, **Philippe Schlenker**, 2013-2018. Site Web

Essence, Nature and Properties: From the constitution of finite things to the structure of God, University of Innsbruk / Fundation John Templeton, **F. Nef, A. Perez**, 2016-2018.

"Executive and Motivational Self-Control: How They Interface and Interact", Philosophy and Science of Self-Control Funding Programme, FSU Research Foundation, Templeton Research Foundation, USA. \$ 15 770. 2016-2017 **E. Pacherie**

Contrats nationaux (ANR, PHRC, FUI, INCA, etc.)

JointAction4HRI: *Joint action for human-robot interaction*, ANR PRC, Rachid Alami (LAAS, Toulouse, Michèle Guidetti (CLLE, Toulouse) & **Elisabeth Pacherie** (IJN), 2016-2020.

BE-AGENT: *Etre agent dans un monde incertain : une approche neuro-computationnelle*, ANR Jeune Chercheur, **Valerian Chambon**, 2016-2020. [Site web](#)

Parcours connectés Investissement d'avenir E-Fran, **Roberto Casati** (IJN) et Franck Ramus (LSCP), 2016-2019.

AGENT, Research grant awarded by Paris Research University (PSL), **Valerian Chambon**, 2016-2018. Site web

Design Création, cognition et société, IRIS PSL, **Roberto Casati**, 2016-2017.

DIAL (PSL Lettres, sciences humaines et sociales, économie, finances et gestion), **Roberto Casati**, 2016-2017.

"Improving Prediction for a Better World", PSL Grant, **Brent Strickland**, co-recipients Dennis Bonnay (DEC/ENS; Paris 10), Miriam Teschl (EHESS), Stephane Luchini (CNRS), 2016-2018.

SAJA: *Sense of Agency in Joint Action*, ANR PRC, **Elisabeth Pacherie**, coll. **V. Chambon**, **F. de Vignemont**, **S. Bourgeois-Gironde**, **J. Dokic**, 2016-2019.

Macaque40: *Implication of a minimal information and limited computational abilities on money emergence: An experimental approach with humans, macaques and artificial agents*. Projet ANR, **S. Bourgeois-Gironde**, 2016-2019.

DEV TOOLS: *Developmental trajectories of sensorimotor control of mechanical tools*, ANR A. Farné /**R. Casati**, **F. de Vignemont**, 2016-2019.

TriLogMean : *logiques trivalentes et signification dans les langues naturelles*. ANR. **Benjamin Spector** (PI) and **Paul Egré**, 2014-2018.

EVOLFAIR: *The Evolution of Fairness by Partner-choice: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. Chaire d'Excellence Junior PSL, **Nicolas Baumard**, 2013- 2015.

FICTION: *Fiction in Emotion*, ANR Grant, **Jérôme Pelletier** 2012-2014, [Site web](#)

NESSHI: *The Neural Turn in European Social Sciences*,) Programme ORA-ANR, **Sacha Bourgeois-Gironde**, 2011-2014. [Site web](#)

MINDPROGES: *Développement humain et cognition, langage et communication*, ANR Grant, **Claire Beyssade**, 2011-2014.

AVE: *Visual Art and Emotion*, ANR Grant, **Jérôme Pelletier**, 2011-2013.

SOCODEV: *Normal and pathological development of social cognition*, ANR Grant, **Pierre Jacob**, Emmanuel Dupoux, 2009-2013.

KNOWJUST: *Knowledge, Metacognition, and modes of justification* (ANR Grant, 2009-2012, Claudine Tiercelin, Joëlle Proust) [Site web](#)

Social learning: When, Why and How? Institut d'Etude de la Cognition, **Valerian Chambon** & Coralie Chevallier, 2015-2017.

How does it feel to act together?, Actions incitatives (Ecole Normale Supérieure), **Valerian Chambon**, 2015-2016.

Project GENIA, Défi GENRE (Genre et Intelligence Artificielle), **Gloria Origgi**, 2015.

DEFI-GENRE: Quel genre pour l'intelligence artificielle? SHS, **Gloria Origgi**, 2013.

DEFI-SENS: Optimisation des dispositifs de substitution sensorielle par l'exploitation des correspondances intermodales SHS **Malika Auvray**, 2013.

2016-2020 Research grant from the National Agency for Research (ANR) on tool use and bodily plasticity (PI: Alessandro Farnè), **Frédérique de Vignemont**

2016-2018 Research grant Auton on sensory and motor substitution (PI: Nathanaël Jarassé) **Frédérique de Vignemont**

2014-2015 Research grant Defi-sens on the embodiment of prosthesis in amputees (PI: Jozina de Graaf). **Frédérique de Vignemont**

2012-2015 Research grant from the National Agency for Research (ANR) on spatial representations (PI: Malika Auvray) **Frédérique de Vignemont**

Contrats avec les collectivités territoriales

N/A

Contrats financés dans le cadre du PIA

N/A

Contrats financés par des associations caritatives et des fondations (ARC, FMR, FRM, etc.)

Action et Perception Sociale dans l'Autisme (Fondation Orange) **Tiziana Zalla**, 2013-2014.

Sense of Agency, Research grant (Fondation Fyssen), **Valerian Chambon**, 2015-2016

Vision et Emotion dans l'Autisme (Fondation de France) **Tiziana Zalla**, 2014-2016.

InFOR-Autism (Fondation FondaMental) **Tiziana Zalla**, 2013-2016.

8. Indices de reconnaissance

Prix

Nicolas Baumard: Nomination pour le Prix du meilleur jeune économiste Français 2017
Frédérique de Vignemont: Young Mind & Brain Prize 2015

Distinctions

Médailles : François Recanati a obtenu la médaille d'argent du CNRS en 2014, et Paul Egré la médaille de bronze en 2016.

Académies : François Recanati a été élu Foreign Honorary Member de l'American Academy of Arts and Sciences en 2012, Pierre Jacob de l'Academia Europaea en 2016, et Joëlle Proust de l'International Academy of the Philosophy of Science, également en 2016.

Doctorats honoris causa: François Recanati a reçu un doctorat honoris causa de l'Université de Stockholm en 2014.

Responsabilités dans des sociétés savantes

Les membres du laboratoire appartiennent à (et assument des responsabilités dans) de nombreuses sociétés savantes dans leurs domaines de spécialité.

Invitations à des colloques / congrès à l'étranger, séjours dans des laboratoires étrangers

Les membres du laboratoire ont été invités à donner des centaines de communication dans des colloques ou congrès à l'étranger, très souvent à titre de keynote speakers. On mentionnera seulement ici les invitations à prononcer des *named lectures* :

- First Annual Marc Jeannerod Lecture (Anvers, 2012): **Pierre Jacob**
- Gottlob Frege Lectures (Tartu, 2014): **François Recanati**
- Brentano Lectures (Liège, 2014): **Uriah Kriegel**

- Hugues Leblanc lectures (Montréal, 2016): **Joëlle Proust**
- Layton Lecture (Durham, 2016): **Dan Sperber**
- Karl Hempel Lectures (Princeton, 2017): **Dan Sperber**
- Whatmough Lecture (Harvard, 2017): **Philippe Schlenker**

Les membres du laboratoire ont également effectué de nombreux séjours dans des universités étrangères. David Nicolas a été Distinguished Visiting Fellow à l’Institute of Advanced Studies de l’Université de Birmingham de février à avril 2017 ; Frédérique de Vignemont a été Visiting Professor à CUNY en 2013 et Neuroscience and Philosophy Fellow à l’Italian Academy de Columbia University en 2017 ; Pacherie a été Senior Fellow à l’Institute for Advanced Studies, Central European University, Budapest, en 2013; Bourgeois-Gironde a été Visiting Professor à l’Université Hébraïque de Jérusalem en 2015 et 2016, et à l’Università degli Studi di Pavia en 2017; Mari a été Professeure invitée à l’Université de Chicago de 2014 à 2016 avec une bourse de SMI ; Casati a été Visiting scholar à Dartmouth College en 2013 et a enseigné à l’Université de Turin en 2016; Schlenker a le titre de Global Distinguished Professor à New York University, où il passe deux mois par an, et Sperber est professeur à temps partiel à CEU-Budapest, où il passe trois mois par an; Recanati était jusqu’en 2013 Arché professorial fellow à l’Université de St Andrews, où il passait cinq semaines par an, et il a été visiting professorship à l’Université de Modène en 2014; Egré a été professeur invité à UCLA en 2012, à NYU en 2013, à HUJI en 2015, et il a été SCAS professor à l’Université d’Upsala en 2016; Spector a été professeur invité pour un mois à l’Université de Vienne en 2012, 2013, 2014 et 2016, et il a enseigné à UCLA en 2013.

Vague D :
campagne d'évaluation 2017 - 2018
Dossier d'évaluation des unités de recherche

Données du contrat en cours

1 – Informations administratives sur l'unité au 30 juin 2017

Intitulé complet de l'unité de recherche intitulé en français : ...Institut Jean-Nicod..... intitulé en anglais : ...Jean-Nicod Institute.....				
Responsable M. / Mme <input type="checkbox"/> Nom <input type="checkbox"/> Prénom <input type="checkbox"/> corps <input type="checkbox"/> établissement d'enseignement supérieur d'affectation ou organisme d'appartenance ...M. <input type="checkbox"/> ...Recanati..... <input type="checkbox"/> Francois <input type="checkbox"/> DREX <input type="checkbox"/>CNRS..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> J'autorise la diffusion de mon nom sur internet (annuaire des unités de recherche)				
Label(s) et n° ...UMR 8129.....				
Établissement(s) et organisme(s)de rattachement de l'unité (tutelles) Tout dossier déposé doit être préalablement validé par l'ensemble des tutelles de l'unité.				
établissement(s) d'enseignement supérieur et de recherche <small>(sélectionner l'établissement souhaité dans les menus déroulants)</small> établissement : <input type="checkbox"/> ENS PARIS <input type="checkbox"/> EHESS <input type="checkbox"/> COMUE PSL		organisme(s) de recherche <small>(sélectionner l'organisme souhaité dans les menus déroulants)</small> organisme : <input type="checkbox"/> CNRS <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		
<small>institut, département, commission de rattachement : INSHS</small>				
préciser l'établissement ou organisme responsable du dépôt du dossier : <small>(sauf exception, le dossier est déposé par l'hébergeur de l'unité de recherche)</small> ENS PARIS				
préciser le cas échéant le délégataire unique de gestion :				
Autres partenaires de l'unité (hors tutelles) <small>(sélectionner l'établissement ou l'organisme souhaité dans les menus déroulants)</small> établissement(s) d'enseignement supérieur et de recherche : <input type="checkbox"/> COLL DE FRANCE <input type="checkbox"/> U BREST <input type="checkbox"/> U AIX-MARSEILLE organisme(s) de recherche : <input type="checkbox"/> entreprise(s) : autres :				
École(s) doctorale(s) de rattachement au 30 juin 2017 (n°, intitulé, responsable, établissement support) EHESS, ED 286, Ecole Doctorale des sciences sociales, Jérôme Dokic UPMC, ED 158, Cerveau, Cognition, Comportement, Alain Trembleau. ENS, ED 158, Ecole Doctorale transdisciplinaire Lettres/Sciences, Sophie Roux.				
Participation à une ou plusieurs structures fédératives au 30 juin 2017 (label et n°, intitulé , responsable, établissement support)				
Périmètre scientifique de l'unité <small>(sélectionner le domaine souhaité dans les menus déroulants situés sous les intitulés)</small> Indiquer les domaines disciplinaires par ordre décroissant d'importance				
Domaine scientifique <input type="checkbox"/> SHS Domaine scientifique 1 <input type="checkbox"/> SVE Domaine scientifique 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Domaine scientifique 3 <input type="checkbox"/>				
mots-clés libres (5 maximum) : Sciences cognitives; philosophie analytique; linguistique.				
Coordonnées de l'unité Localisation et établissement : ENS, Pavillon Jardin Numéro, voie : 29, rue d'Ulm Boîte postale : Code Postal et ville : 75005 Paris				
Téléphone : 01 44 32 26 47 Adresse électronique : evin@ehess.fr				

Vague D : campagne d'évaluation 2017 - 2018 Dossier d'évaluation des unités de recherche

Données du contrat en cours

2 – Composition de l'unité

Composition de l'unité au 30 juin 2017

Renseigner ce tableau en indiquant le nombre de **personnes physiques** correspondant

HCERES

Haut conseil de l'évaluation de la recherche
et de l'enseignement supérieur

Vague D :
campagne d'évaluation 2017 - 2018
Dossier d'évaluation des unités de recherche
Données du contrat en cours

3 – Ressources humaines

3.1. – Équipes et thématiques de recherche et structuration de l'unité au 30 juin 2017

N°	Intitulé de l'équipe interne ou du thème (sous-composante fonctionnelle correspondant à l'organigramme de l'unité, une ligne par équipe)	Responsable	Effectifs Enseignants-chercheurs (1)	Effectifs Chercheurs EPST et cadres scientifiques EPIC permanents (1)	Effectifs doctorants	Effectifs ITA, BIATSS et personnels non- scientifiques des EPIC permanents (2)	Sous-Domaine 1 (3)	Sous-Domaine 2 (3)	Sous-domaine 3 (3)	Sous-domaine 4 (3)	Sous-domaine 5 (3)	Sous-domaine 6 (3)
	Cas d'une unité sans équipes ou thèmes : sélectionner le ou les sous-domaines de recherche ci-contre dans les menus déroulants											

Cas d'une unité comprenant des équipes internes (E) ou des thèmes (Th) : sélectionner le ou les panels disciplinaires ci-dessous dans les menus déroulants						Panel disciplinaire 1 (4)	Panel disciplinaire 2 (4)	Panel disciplinaire 3 (4)	Panel disciplinaire 4 (4)	Panel disciplinaire 5 (4)	Panel disciplinaire 6 (4)
E1											
E2											
E3											
E4											
E5											
Th1											
Th2											
Th3											
Th4											
Th5											
SC	Services d'appuis à la recherche, le cas échéant										

Si nécessaire, insérer des lignes au dessus

0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00

de la ligne SC et compléter les N° : E6, E7 ou Th6, Th5...

(1) Les enseignants-chercheurs et chercheurs intervenant dans plusieurs équipes internes seront décomptés au prorata des temps respectifs.

Exemples : Un EC travaillant dans une seule équipe interne = 1. Un EC travaillant dans deux équipes internes à égalité de temps = 0,5 dans chacune d'entre elles.

Un chercheur travaillant dans une seule équipe interne = 1. Un chercheur travaillant dans deux équipes internes à égalité de temps = 0,5 dans chacune d'entre elles.

(2) Les ITA / BIATSS intervenant dans plusieurs équipes internes sont décomptés au prorata des temps respectifs.

Exemple : un personnel à temps plein dans l'unité qui travaille dans 2 équipes internes à égalité de temps comptera 0,5 dans chacune d'entre elles (0,25 s'il est à mi-temps).

(3) Sélectionner le sous-domaine scientifique dans le menu déroulant.

(4) Sélectionner le panel disciplinaire dans le menu déroulant.

HCERES

Haut conseil de l'évaluation de la recherche
et de l'enseignement supérieur

Vague D :
campagne d'évaluation 2017 - 2018
Dossier d'évaluation des unités de recherche

Données du contrat en cours

3 – Ressources humaines

3.2 - Liste nominative des personnels de l'unité de recherche au 30 juin 2017.

Type d'emploi (1)	Nom	Prénom	H/F	Date de naissance (JJ/MM/AA AA)	Corps-grade (1)	Panels disciplinaires / Branches d'Activités Profession. (BAP) (2)	HDR (3)	Etablissement ou organisme employeur (4)	Code UAI de l'établissement ou organisme employeur (5)	Ministère(s) de tutelle (6)	N° de l'équipe interne de rattachement, le cas échéant (7)	Date d'arrivée dans l'unité (8)	Participation au futur projet de l'unité O / N	Identifiant ORCID (9)
Ch_tit	ATRAN	Scott	H	06/02/1952	DR2	SHS2_3	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/02	O	
Ch_tit	BAUMARD	Nicolas	H	02/06/1979	CR2	SHS4_2	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/10/15	O	
AP_tit	BILARDELLO	Sophie	F	19/06/1973	AI	F	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/12/04	N	
AP_aut	BONETTO	Arthur	H	17/03/1986	IT	D	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		15/03/16	N	
EC_tit	BOURGEOIS-	Sacha	H	15/05/1968	PR2	SHS1_1	oui	U Paris 2	0751718K	MESR		01/06/02	O	0000-0003-1767-
EC_tit	BOUVIER	Alban	H	17/11/1954	PR2	SHS2_4	oui	U AIX	0134009M	MESR		01/09/01	O	
Ch_tit	CASATI	Roberto	H	09/11/1961	DR1	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/02	O	0000-0001-8215-6
Ch_tit	CHAMBON	Valerian	H	08/06/1979	CR2	SHS4_2	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/02/15	O	0000-0002-2401-1906
EC_aut	CORBLIN	Francis	H	19/07/1950	PREM	SHS4_1	oui	U Paris 4	0751720M	MESR			O	
Ch_tit	DE VIGNEMONT	Frédérique	F	12/02/1976	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/02/11	O	
EC_tit	DOKIC	Jérôme	H	19/08/1965	DIRH	SHS5_4	oui	EHESS	0753742K	MESR		01/01/02	O	
Ch_tit	EGRE	Paul	H	30/11/1975	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/10/05	O	
AP_tit	EVIN	Nathalie	F	27/01/1963	IE	J	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/04/14	O	
AP_tit	GAUDEFROY	Vincent	H	31/08/1977	TCH	J	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/04	O	
Ch_tit	GERACI	Carlo	H	10/07/1976	CR2	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/10/11	O	
Ch_aut	JACOB	Pierre	H	01/01/1949	DREM	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/02	O	
Ch_tit	KRIESEL	Uriah	H	25/05/1973	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/10/12	O	
AP_aut	LEVEQUE	Thomas	F	17/01/1990	PATP	D	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/04/17	O	
Ch_tit	MARI	Alda	F	26/11/1973	DR2	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/06	O	
EC_aut	MASCARENHAS	Salvador	H	01/07/1982	ECC	SHS4_1		ENS	0753455Y	MESR		01/09/16	O	
EC_aut	NEF	Frédéric	H	14/09/1947	PREM	SHS5_4	oui	EHESS	0753742K	MESR		01/01/02	O	
Ch_tit	NICOLAS	David	H	23/06/1970	CR1	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/02	O	0000-0001-6689-3508
Ch_tit	ORIGGI	Gloria	F	08/02/1967	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/06	O	
Ch_tit	PACHERIE	Elisabeth	F	30/06/1960	DR1	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/02	O	0000-0003-2337-5
EC_tit	PELLETIER	Jérôme	H	11/07/1960	MCF	SHS5_4	oui	U Brest	0290346U	MESR		01/01/02	O	
Ch_aut	PROUST	Joëlle	F	03/02/1947	DREM	SHS5_4/SHS4_2	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/02	O	
AP_aut	RAVAUX	Lucie	F	28/09/1982	CTA	D	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		15/02/14	O	
Ch_tit	RECANATI	François	H	08/07/1952	DREX	SHS4_1/SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/02	O	0000-0002-8642-2954
Ch_tit	SCHLENKER	Philippe	H	19/06/1971	DR1	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		02/12/02	O	
Ch_tit	SPECTOR	Benjamin	H	02/10/1974	DR2	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/10/08	O	
Ch_aut	SPERBER	Dan	H	20/06/1942	DREM	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/01/02	O	
Ch_tit	STOJANOVIC	Isidora	F	11/11/1973	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/10/14	O	
Ch_tit	STRICKLAND	Brent	H	08/08/1981	CR2	SHS4_2	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/10/16	O	
EC_tit	TIERCELIN	Claudine	F	02/06/1952	PR1	SHS5_4	oui	COLL de France	0753480A	MESR		01/01/02	O	
Ch_tit	ZALLA	Tiziana	F	01/07/1963	DR2	SHS4_2	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		01/11/05	O	

Données du contrat en cours

3 – Ressources humaines

3.3 - Liste des docteurs ayant soutenu depuis le 1er janvier 2012 (ou depuis la date de création de l'unité si celle-ci est postérieure) et des doctorants présents dans l'unité au 30 juin 2017

Nom	Prénom	H/F	Date de naissance	Établissement ayant délivré le master (ou diplôme équivalent) du doctorant (1)	Directeur(s) de thèse (2)	Date de début de thèse (3)	Date de soutenance (pour les diplômés) (3)	Financement du doctorant (4)	N° de l'équipe interne de rattachement, le cas échéant (5)
ACHOUR BENALLEGUE	Amel	H	01/01/1985	Ecole nationale supérieure de Physique, Electronique et Matériaux (PHEMMA)	Jérôme Pelletier	01-10-2015		AUCUN	
ANSELIN	Gautier	H	16/01/1988	Paris-1	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2012		CDENSX	
ANVARI	Amir	H	06/06/1987	EHESS	Philippe Schlenker	01-10-2016		LABEX IEC	
AVERI	Tom	H	01/10/1981	Université d'Oxford	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2010		AUCUN	
BA	Mouhamadou El Hady	H	08/12/1976	Université Paris 11	François Recanati	01-10-2006	09/03/2012	AUCUN	
BACCELLI	Jean	H	27/04/1986	EHESS	Jérôme Dokic/P. Mongin	01-10-2011	04/03/2016	CDENSX	
BANTEGNIE	Brice	H	30/05/1983	EHESS	Roberto Casati	01-10-2012	03/09/2015	LABEX IEC	
BERLIOZ	Sophie	F	03/11/1982	U PARIS 4	Frédéric Nef	01-10-2010	26/09/2015	AUCUN	
BONNIER	Pierre	H	24/01/1991	EHESS	Roberto Casati	01-10-2015		CDENSX	
BOURMAYAN	Anouch	F	03/01/1984	EHESS	François Recanati	01-10-2010	15/11/2013	CDENSX	
BURNETT	Heather	F	20/12/1984	UCLA	Dominique Sportiche	01-10-2009	04/03/2012	ETR	
CAHEN	Muriel	F	21/06/1905	EHESS	Frédéric Nef	01-10-2011	04/11/2015	AUCUN	
CARRANANTE	Géraldine	F	23/11/1989	ENS	Uriah Kriegel	01-10-2016		CD	
CEPOLLARO	Biancamaria	F	17/02/1989	Università degli Studi di Milano	Isidora Stojanovic	01-10-2014	21/01/2017	ETR	
CHOI	Lyoung	H	01/01/1974	Université Paris 4	Francis Corblin	01-10-2008		AUCUN	
CIRANNA	Serena	F	14/06/1986	EHESS	Gloria Origgi	01-10-2016		CDO	
DE JESUS	Cleopatra	F	20/05/1977	Université Paris 12	Claudine Tiercelin	01-10-2010		ETR	
DEBOVE	Stéphane	H	19/07/1988	Université Paris 5 Descartes	Nicolas Baumard	01-10-2012	29/10/2015	COLLTERR	
De LASTIC	Adélaïde	F	24/09/1985	Université de Reims	Gloria Origgi	01-10-2010	29/04/2014	CIFRE	
DENIC	Milica	F	28/01/1992	ENS	Benjamin Spector	01-10-2016		CDENSX	
DESGAULT	Andrea	H	21/02/1982	EHESS	Roberto Casati	01-10-2009	19/11/2012	CDE	

DI BONA	Elvira	F	15/04/1982	Université Vita-Salute San Raffaele, Milan	Roberto Casati	01-10-2012	01/07/2013	ETR	
DUMONT	Aurelien	H	30/04/1980	CNSMDP	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2012	04/04/2016	CD	
EBRAHIMI DINANI	Maryam	F	21/09/1988	Université Paris 4	François Recanati	01-10-2016		CDENSX	
ELAMRANI RAOULT	Aïda	F	21/09/1986	Aix Marseille Université	Uriah Kriegel	01-10-2016		AUCUN	
FAUCHEUX	Amelie	F	17/11/1985	UPMF de Grenoble	Gloria Origgi	01-10-2012		SECD	
FONTAINE	Julie	F	19/11/1987	EHESS	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2011	01/12/2016	CD	
FORTIER	Martin	H	15/06/1989	EHESS	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2013		CDO	
GASPARRI	Luca	H	03/10/1985	Università Vita-Salute San Raffaele, Milan,	François Recanati	01-10-2014		CD	
GIRAUD	Thibaut	H	06/08/1986	EHESS	Frédéric Nef	01-10-2010	13/02/2016	CD	
GIUSTINA	Anna	F	16/02/1989	EHESS	Uriah Kriegel	01-10-2015		CD	
GORULET	François	H	01/01/1980	Université Paris 6	Francis Corblin	01-10-2007	23/10/2015	SECD	
HACHMANN	Elena	F	25/03/1987	Université Ludwig-Maximilien, Munich	Alban Bouvier	01-10-2012		AUCUN	
HAUSER	Charlotte	F	17/02/1993	EHESS	Carlo Geraci	01-10-2016		CD	
HEISE	Andreas	H	30/01/1982	Université de Bern	François Recanati	01-10-2016		ETR	
ICARD	Benjamin	H	09/05/1989	ENS	Paul Egré	01-10-2015		DGA	
KNEER	Markus	H	20/04/1982	EHESS	François Recanati	01-10-2007	24/11/2015	DGA	
LAFRAIRE	Jérémie	H	21/02/1985	EHESS	François Recanati	01-10-2008	12/05/2012	CDE	
LANDAIS	David	H	05/12/1979	ENS	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2006		AUCUN	
LANGLOIS-BERTHEL	Jean	H	30/07/1987	EHESS	Paul Egré	01-10-2015		(Emploi Ministère de la Défense)	
LAVALLE TERRON	Gabriel Armando	H	30/09/1983	Universidad National Autónoma de México.	François Recanati	01-10-2015		ETR	
LASRY	Sandra	F	12/10/1991	EHESS	Elisabeth Pacherie	01-10-2016		CD	
LATIMIER	Alice	F	21/10/1990	UPMC	Roberto Casati	01-10-2016		CD	
LE CORRE	François	H	01/01/1982	Université Paris 6	Roberto Casati	01-10-2011	11/12/2014	CD	
LE GOFF	Bernard	H	05/03/1958	Université de Rennes 1	Frédéric Nef	01-10-2011		AUCUN	
LIPEDÉ	Gabi	H	01/09/1979	EHESS	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2012		AUCUN	
LOEV	Wjatscheslaw	H	11/08/1989	Université d'Osnabrück	François Recanati	01-10-2016		CD	
MAGALOTTI	Tricia	F	30/08/1989	University of Massachusetts	Uriah Kriegel	01-10-2016		CD	
MALDONADO	Mora	F	11/05/1988	EHESS	Benjamin Spector	01-10-2015		CD	
MARTIN	Jean-Rémy	H	14/11/1985	EHESS/Université Paris1	Elisabeth Pacherie	01-10-2011	24/02/2014	CD	
MAURER	Cornelius	H	24/09/1982	Université de Cologne	Sacha Bourgeois-Gironde	01-10-2012		AUCUN	
MEGIER	Jacques	H	09/10/1936	PARIS 4	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2008		AUCUN	
MELL	Hugo	H	16/04/1991	UPMC	Nicolas Baumard	01-10-2015		CD	
MISHCHENKO	Marina	F	25/09/1989	Université Paris 5 Descartes	Tiziana Zalla	01-10-2014		CDE	
MUREZ	Michael	H	23/04/1985	EHESS	François Recanati	01-10-2009	24/06/2016	CDENSX	
NAJIB	Mohamed	H	01/01/1981	ENS	Gloria Origgi	01-10-2015		AUCUN	
NIOCHE	Aurélien	H	25/07/1986	EHESS	Sacha Bourgeois-Gironde	01-10-2014		CD	

NOGUEIRA DE CARVALHO	Felipe	H	31/01/1979	EHESS	François Recanati	01-10-2008	13/05/2013	CDO	
ORVOEN	Hadrien	H	06/01/1988	EHESS	Sacha Bourgeois-Gironde	01-10-2010	04/01/2017	CD	
PALAIOLOGOU	Eleni	F	05/08/1982	EHESS	Jérôme Pelletier	01-10-2016		AUCUN	
PELLET	Florian	H	12/06/1990	École nationale supérieure de Cognitique, Bordeaux.	Brent Strickland	01-10-2015		COLLTERR	
PEREIRA BENTO	Paulo	H	10/07/1971	Université Paris 12	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2010		ETR	
PEREZ	Alejandro	H	24/10/1987	Université Paris 4	Frédéric Nef	01-10-2013		AUCUN	
PEREZ	Margaux	F	22/05/1989	EHESS	Pierre Jacob	01-10-2011	16/12/2014	CD	
PIERON	Marie	F	08/07/1981	UPMC	Tiziana Zalla	01-10-2009	30/09/2013	CDO	
PITRON	Victor	H	10/11/1986	Université Paris 5	Frédérique de Vignemont	01-10-2015		(APHP)	
ROUILLE	Louis	H	01/01/1991	Université Paris 1	François Recanati/ Paul Egré	01-10-2016		CDENSX	
SAHAI	Aïsha	F	10/10/1992	Université Lille 3	Elisabeth Pacherie	01-10-2016		CD	
SALIMBENI	Michele	H	03/08/1966	EHESS	Frédéric Nef	01-10-2011		SECD	
SALOMONE	Jules	H	04/06/1987	EHESS/Université Paris 1	Sacha Bourgeois-Gironde	01-10-2014		CDENSX	
SANTORO	Mirko	H	18/06/1981	Université Paris 8	Philippe Schlenker	01-10-2013		CD	
SANTORO	Vita	F	22/03/1981	Université Ca Foscari Venise	Philippe Schlenker	01-10-2013		CD	
SMORTCHKOVA	Joulia	F	18/04/1985	ENS	Pierre Jacob	01-10-2010	12/05/2014	CDENSX	
SORIA RUIZ	Andres	H	14/11/1988	New York University	Isidora Stojanovic	01-10-2016		CD	
THOMMEN	Tristan	H	06/03/1990	ENS/EHESS/Université Paris 5	François Recanati	01-10-2014		CDE	
TOSI BRANDI	Elena	F	02/02/1973	EHESS	Roberto Casati	01-10-2013		CD	
TRAKAS	Marina	F	15/02/1983	EHESS	Jérôme Dokic	01-10-2010	20/02/2015	ETR	
VAZARD	Juliette	F	30/01/1989	King's College London	Jérôme Dokic	10-01-2017		CD	
VIDAL	Mathieu	H	17/11/1973	Université d'Amsterdam	Frédéric Nef	01-10-2010	23/06/2012	AUCUN	
VINCON	Denys	H	02/04/1982	EHESS	Roberto Casati	01-10-2010	03/06/2014	ETR	
YASAEI	Samaneh	F	19/07/1987	Université d'art de Sooreh.Téhéran.Iran	Roberto Casati	01-10-2016		AUCUN	
ZEHR	Jérémie	H	19/08/1987	ENS	Paul Egré	01-10-2012	18/12/2014	CD	
Total des doctorants au 30 juin 2017 : 52,00									
Total des docteurs au 30 juin 2017 : 30,00									
dont thèses avec publications ou brevets : 3									

HCERES

Haut conseil de l'évaluation de la recherche
et de l'enseignement supérieur

Vague D :
campagne d'évaluation 2017 - 2018
Dossier d'évaluation des unités de
recherche

3 – Ressources humaines

3.4 - Liste des post-doctorants et chercheurs invités depuis le 1er janvier 2012 (ou depuis la date de création de l'unité si celle-ci est postérieure)

Nom	Prénom	H/F	Date de naissance (1)	Date d'arrivée dans l'unité (1)	Date de départ de l'unité (1)	N° de l'équipe interne de rattachement, le cas échéant (2)
ABRUSAN	Marta	F				
ANDRE	Jean-Baptiste	H	né en 76			
ARAUJO	Ana Leda	F		1/10/12	30/9/13	
ARCANGELI	Margherita	F		04/12	12/13	
ARNOLD	Gabriel	H	06/08/1983	1/4/13	31/12/14	
AZUELOS-ATIAS	Sol	F		22/1/14	22/2/14	
BACCHINI	Fabio	H	né en 71	02/10/2013	21/4/13	
BAGHRAMIAN	Maria	F		10/01/2014	10/10/2014	
BAGLINI	Rebekah	F	24/5/86	26/8/13	23/9/13	
BENDTSEN	Egeberg Sarah	F		10/01/2014	01/01/2015	
BERG	Jonathan	H		05/05/2014	31/7/14	
BERMUDEZ	José Luis	H		04/01/2016	30/4/16	
BERNAL-VELASQUEZ	Reinaldo	H	26/5/74	1/3/15	30/11/15	
BERVOETS	Melanie	F				
BILLON	Alexandre	H		12/01/2012	02/01/2013	
BLOCK	Ned	H		05/01/2013	31/5/13	
BOGOHSSIAN	Paul	H	06/04/1957	05/01/2012	31/5/2012	
BORDINI	Davide	H		01/12/2013	30/6/13	
BOSWELL	Paul	H				
BURNETT	Heather	F		09/12	08/14	
CAIN	Todd	H			6/14	
CASTON	Victor	H	02/02/1963	15/8/13	20/8/13	
CAZALIS	Fabienne	F	29/12/72	10/5/14	31/8/14	
CHALMERS	David	H	20/4/66	06/01/2015	30/6/15	

CHASIOTIS	Athanasiос	H				
CHEVALIER	Jean-Marie	H		09/11	08/13	
CHUDNOFF	Eli	H		06/17	06/17	
COHEN	Ariel	H				
COLIVA	Anna Lisa	F				
COLLINS	John	H	04/06/1969	06/01/2013	31/6/13	
CONTESI	Filippo	H	04/11/1979	1/9/15	30/8/16	
CRESPO	Maria Inés	F	12/11/1982	18/5/15		
CSIBRA	Gergely	H		11/11	11/11	
CURRIE	Grégory	H				
DEZECACHE	Guillaume	H	29/6/1987	1/9/16	1/9/17	
DICKIE	Imogen	F		10/14	11/14	
DOLAN	Jeremy	H				
DORSAZ	Coralie	F		07/01/2013	31/12/14	
DROZDZOWICZ	Anna	F		4/14	6/14	
DUPORTIC	Jean-François	H				
DYKE	Michelle	F			12/16	
FALCK	Andreas	H	31/8/78	21/3/17	31/12/20	
FAROLDI	Federico	H				
FATTOLAHİ	Alireza	H			15/5/17	
FEINMAN	Diego	H				
FERREIRA-COSTA	Claudio	H		15/9/16	12/07/2016	
FINE	Kit	H	26/3/46			
FIOLLA	Marcello Oreste	H				
FRIEND	Stacie	F	28/8/73	05/08/2017	06/04/2017	
FRITH	Utah	F	25/5/41	11/14	11/14	
FRITH	Chris	H	16/3/42	11/14	11/14	
GALLOTTI	Mattia Luca	H	04/02/1980	09/11	08/12	
GARCIA-CARPINTERO	Manuel	H	16/8/57	04/07/2017	05/02/2017	
GARIAZZO	Matias	H				
GASPARRI	Luca	H	10/03/1985	10/03/14	23/10/14	
GAULTIER	Benoît	H		09/11	08/13	
GEOFFROY	Félix	H				
GERGELY	Gyorgy	H		11/11	11/11	
GIANAKIDOU	Anastasia	F				
GIRARDI	Giovanna	F		10/13	06/15	
GOODMAN	Rachel	F				
GRAY	Aidan	H	10/06/1980	26/5/17	23/6/17	
GROSSO	Enrico	H	05/09/1989	03/01/2017	31/7/17	
GUILLOT	Marie	F		01/11	12/12	
HAHM	Jong-on	F		15/9/15	16/10/15	
HAKGUDER	Emre	H				
HALL	Nicole	F	08/08/1974	1/4/15	31/12/15	

HANKS	Peter	H				
HATCHER O'BRIEN	Jessica	F		01/13	12/14	
HEIM	Irene	F				
HELMING	Katharina Anna	F				
HOEK	Daniel	H	13/10/88	23/1/17	07/01/2017	
HORTA	Ricardo	H				
IVLIEVA	Natalia	F		10/13	09/15	
JASTER	Romy	F				
JESHION	Robin	H				
JRAISSATI	Yasmina	F				
KARCZEWSKA	Natalia	F				
KIJANIA-PLACEK	Katarzyna	F		IN 2014	IN 2014	
KIJANIA-PLACEK	Katarzyna	F		IN 2017	IN 2017	
KING	Jeffrey	H		3/15	4/15	
KNAPPIK	Franz	H	14/4/80	1/9/15	31/8/16	
KOKSVIK	Ole	H				
KÖLBEL	Max	H		11/06/2015	13/1/16	
KORIAT	Asher	H				
KOROTKOVA	Natalia	F		30/9/13	28/12/13	
KRIZ	Manuel	H	01/05/1989	10/01/2013	11/01/2013	
KRIZ	Manuel	H	01/05/1989	1/1/17	30/9/18	
KUHN	Jeremy	H	05/07/1988	1/10/15	1/8/17	
KYLE	Duarte	H				
LEE	Andrew	H	12/08/1989	26/1/17		
LEMAIRE	Stéphane	H		09/01/2013	31/8/14	
LETH	Palle	H	08/01/1976	03/15	12/17	
LEVENSTON	Harriet	F				
LEVINSON	Jerrold	H		01/14	08/14	
LINZEN	Tal	H	09/12/1982	15/09/15	30/09/17	
LOPEZ-SILVA	Pablo	H		02/01/2017	20/4/17	
MACFARLANE	John	H				
MANDELBAUM	Eric	H		06/07/2014	14/6/14	
MARBER	Deborah	F				
MASSIN	Olivier	H		01/15	02/16	
MAURER	Cornelius	H				
MAY	Robert	H				
MAY	Robert	H				
MAZZEI	Daniele	H	13/06/82	03/05/2014	31/3/14	
MELKONIAN	Suzana	F				
MONTALAN	Benoît	H		09/11	08/12	
MORATO	Edwige	F				
MORRISON	John	H		07/17	07/17	
MUNIZ	Pedro	H		09/14	09/15	

MUNUERA	Jérôme	H				
MURILLO	Alejandro	H				
MYLOPOULOS	Myrto	F	16/09/81	1/9/14	1/9/15	
NUNBERG	Geoff	H				
O'MADAGAIN	Cathal	H	09/09/1977	1/9/13	31/5/14	
ORILIA	Francesco	H				
ORLANDI	Nico	H		15/12/15	25/3/16	
PACKALEN	Sara	F				
PALMIRA	Michele	H	08/10/1984	06/12/2017	07/01/2017	
PALMIRA	Michele	H	08/10/1984	17/3/16	31/7/16	
PANCHEVA	Roumyana	F				
PASSINSKY	Asya	F				
PASTERNAK	Robert	H				
PEREZ CARBALLO	Alejandro	H		06/14	06/14	
PEREZ NAVARRO	Eduardo	H	04/04/1992	04/03/2017	07/01/2017	
PERRY	John	H	16/1/43	06/16	06/16	
PHILLIPS	Ben	H		09/14	01/15	
PICAZO	Jacque Claudia	F		09/01/2014	28/12/15	
PIETROSKI	Paul	H				
PODOBRYAEV	Alexander	H		25/2/14	31/8/14	
POROT	Nicolas	H				
POWER	Sean	H		05/17	06/17	
PREDELLI	Stefano	H		03/16	04/16	
PREScott-COUCH	Alexander	H		24/6/13	30/8/13	
PRODOEHL	Christopher	H		09/08/2015	25/1/16	
PRZYJEMSKI	Katrina	F				
RAFFMAN	Diana	F				
RAYO	Agustin	H		21/6/14	21/7/14	
REGNIER	Denis	H		05/12	04/14	
REILAND	Indrek	H	18/1/84	1/12/15	31/06/16	
REY	David	H		09/02/2013	20/12/13	
RICHARD	Mark	H				
RIZZI	Luigi	H				
ROCHAT	Philippe	H				
ROSENBLATT	Lucas	H				
SALJE	Léa-Cécile	F		03/15	04/15	
SALZMANN	Martin	H				
SCHEL	Anne Marijke	F	23/2/78	1/6/13	1/5/15	
SCHROEREN	David	H				
SEEGER	Max	H		28/1/13	31/7/13	

SERRAHIMA	Carlota	F	20/4/88	15/6/17	07/05/2017	
SHESKIN	Mark	H	05/03/1985	1/9/13	1/8/15	
SIDARUS	Mura	F	25/8/88	1/10/16	30/9/18	
SIEGEL	Susanna	F	15/1/70	06/09/2017	07/01/2017	
SIEWERT	Charles	H		10/15	10/15	
SKRZYPULEC	Bzazej	H			3/15	
SPECK	Jönne	H		12/01/2013	31/8/14	
SPENCER	Jack	H				
STANG	Nicholas	H	02/07/1979	06/02/2017	08/10/2017	
STANLEY	Jason	H		01/15	01/15	
STEINERT-THRELKELD	Shane	H	12/12/1989	03/06/2017	29/4/17	
STRAWSON	Galen	H				
STRICKLAND	Brent	H		09/13	09/15	
SUDO	Yasutada	H		09/12	09/13	
TAGLIAFICO	Daniela	F	13/6/77	1/10/14	30/6/15	
TAYEBI	Sajed	H				
THOMAS	Guillaume	H	18/11/81	09/01/2012	09/01/2013	
TICINI	Luca	H		05/12	06/13	
TOMSIC	Martina	F		17/10/15	27/11/15	
TREMBLAY	Frédéric	H	12/05/1976	15/03/15	15/12/15	
TSOMPANIDIS	Vasilis	H	09/08/1977	01/02/13	01/02/14	
UEDA	Tomoo	H	30/4/80	1/9/14	1/3/16	
ULLOA FULGERI	José-Luis	H	17/6/82	16/12/13	15/6/14	
UMBRES	Radu	H	18/8/80	23/9/12	23/9/13	
VAVOVA	Katia	F		06/14	06/14	
VEILLET	Benedicte	F		06/08/2015	15/7/15	
VERHEYEN	Steven	H	18/3/82	1/10/15	30/9/17	
VESELINOVIC	Dunja	F				
VIEBAHN	Emanuel	H				
VIKESDAL	Sara	F	23/02/91	29/5/17	06/03/2017	
VITASOVIC	Daria	F				
WEHINGER	Daniel	H	10/04/1985	03/12/2017	31/4/17	
WILKINSON	Sam	H				
YALCIN	Seth	H				
YASHIMA	Jun	H				
ZAKKOU	Julia	F		27/9/16	31/12/16	
ZEIMBEKIS	John	H				
ZEMAN	Dan	H		09/11	09/13	
ZIMMERMAN	Dean	H		05/16	05/16	
Total des post-doctorants au 30 juin 2017 : 33						
Total des chercheurs-visiteurs au 30 juin 2017 : 155						

Données du contrat en cours

4 – Ressources financières de l'unité pour les années 2012 à 2016, en euros HT

I. Crédits provenant des établissements de rattachement ou partenaires de l'unité *(2)	2012		2013		2014		2015		2016	
	Fonctionnement (1)	Investissement (1)								
cnrs	47 000	0	81 000	0	75 000	0	54 000	0	58 500	0
ens	20 000	0	30 000	0	26 150	0	25 830	0	30 000	0
ehess	29 600	0	23 410	0	28 330	0	23 690	0	20 500	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Autres (préciser) :	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	96 600	0	134 410	0	129 480	0	103 520	0	109 000	0

* Ajuster le nombre de lignes à la structure de l'unité

II. Crédits sur programmes, sur contrats ou opérations particulières (3)	2012		2013		2014		2015		2016	
	Fonctionnement (1)	Investissement (1)								
II.1 Appels à projets internationaux										
Programmes internationaux	15 500		5 000							
Programmes Européens hors ERC	188 000		137 000		130 000				46 400	
Subventions ERC	79 000		121 400		958 500		581 000		2 553 500	
sous-total appels à projets internationaux	282 500	0	263 400	0	1 088 500	0	581 000	0	2 599 900	0
II.2 Appels à projets nationaux										
Appels à projet ANR	268 790		291 800		35 000		142 000		455 300	
Autres financements sur appels à projets nationaux du MESR	20 000		20 000		20 000		15 000			
Appels à projets des ministères hors MESR									6 000	
Programmes Investisements d'Avenir										
sous-total appels à projet nationaux	288 790	0	311 800	0	55 000	0	157 000	0	461 300	0
II.3 Autres sources de financement										
Financement public hors tutelles	15 000				21 000					
Fondations, associations caritatives, Institut Carnot, RTRA, RTRS	8 000		23 200		83 000		15 800			
Collectivités territoriales										
Contrats de recherche industriels										
Licences d'exploitation des brevets, certificat d'obtention végétale										
Prestations d'expertise										
Autres									20 000	
sous-total autres sources de financement	23 000	0	23 200	0	104 000	0	15 800	0	0	20 000
Total	594 290	0	598 400	0	1 247 500	0	753 800	0	3 061 200	20 000

HCERES

Haut conseil de l'évaluation de la recherche
et de l'enseignement supérieur

Vague D :
campagne d'évaluation 2017 - 2018
Dossier d'évaluation des unités de recherche

Données du contrat en cours

5 – Produits et activités de la recherche - Données chiffrées

		Équipe 1	Équipe 2	Équipe ...
	Nombre	Nombre	Nombre	Nombre
Journaux / Revues	Articles scientifiques (pour le domaine SVE, préciser le nombre d'articles en premier et/ou dernier auteur entre parenthèses)	315		
	Articles de synthèse / revues bibliographiques	8		
	Autres articles (articles publiés dans des revues professionnelles ou techniques, etc.)			
Ouvrages	Monographies et ouvrages scientifiques, éditions critiques, traductions	21		
	Direction / édition scientifique	22		
	Chapitres d'ouvrage	175		
	Thèses publiées / éditées	3		
Colloques / congrès, séminaires de recherche	Éditions d'actes de colloques / congrès			
	Articles publiés dans des actes de colloques / congrès	33		
	Autres produits présentés dans des colloques / congrès et des séminaires de recherche	60		
Développements instrumentaux et méthodologiques	Prototypes et démonstrateurs (1)			
	Plateformes et observatoires (1)			
	...			
Produits et outils informatiques	Logiciels	1		
	Bases de données / Cohortes			
	Corpus (1)			
	Outils présentés dans le cadre de compétitions de solveurs			
	Outils d'aide à la décision			
	...			
Brevets, licences, déclarations d'invention				
Rapports d'expertises techniques, produits des instances de normalisation				
6 Produits des activités didactiques	Ouvrages	1		
	E-learning, moocs, cours multimédia, etc.			

Produits destinés au grand public	Émissions radio, TV, presse écrite	1			
	Produits de vulgarisation : articles, interviews, éditions, vidéos, etc.	64			
	Produits de médiation scientifique (1)				
	Débats science et société	6			
Autres produits propres à une discipline	Créations artistiques théorisées				
	Mises en scènes				
	Films				
	...				
Activités éditoriales	Participation à des comités éditoriaux (revues, collections)	17			
	Direction de collections et de séries	2			
Activités d'évaluation (1)	Responsabilités au sein d'instances d'évaluation	oui			
	Évaluation d'articles et d'ouvrages scientifiques	oui			
	Évaluation de laboratoires (type Hceres)	oui			
	Évaluation de projets de recherche	oui			
Activités d'expertise scientifique (1)	Activités de consultant	oui			
	Participation à des instances d'expertises (type Anses) ou de normalisation	oui			
	Expertise juridique				
Organisation de colloques / congrès		105			
Post-doctorants et chercheurs accueillis		188			
Interactions avec les acteurs socio-économiques	Contrats de R&D avec des industriels				
	Bourses Cifre	1			
	Créations de laboratoires communs avec une / des entreprise(s)				
	Création de réseaux ou d'unités mixtes technologiques	1			
	Création d'entreprise, de start-up	1			
Contrats de recherche financés par des institutions publiques ou caritatives	Contrats européens (ERC, H2020, etc.) et internationaux (NSF, JSPS, NIH, banque mondiale, FAO, etc.)	8			
	Contrats nationaux (ANR, PHRC, FUI, INCA, etc.)	29			
	Contrats avec les collectivités territoriales				
	Contrats financés dans le cadre du PIA				
	Contrats financés par des associations caritatives et des fondations (ARC, FMR, FRM, etc.)	6			
Indices de reconnaissance	Prix	6			
	Distinctions	12			
	Invitations à des colloques / congrès à l'étranger, séjours dans des laboratoires étrangers	214			
	Responsabilités dans des sociétés savantes (1)	oui			

HCERES

Haut conseil de l'évaluation de la recherche
et de l'enseignement supérieur

Vague D :
campagne d'évaluation 2017 - 2018
Dossier d'évaluation des unités de recherche
Données du prochain contrat

Description de la structure de l'unité au 1er janvier 2019

Cas 1 : Renouvellement sans restructuration (1)

Situation actuelle	
Contrat 2013-2014 / 2018	Nom de l'unité Nom du responsable de l'unité N° de l'unité
	Institut Jean-Nicod François Recanati UMR 8129

Situation proposée pour le prochain contrat	
Contrat 2019 / 2023	Nom de l'unité Nom du responsable N° de l'unité
	Institut Jean-Nicod Roberto Casati UMR 8129

Équipes ou thèmes de l'unité durant le contrat 2013-2014 / 2018

N° équipe ou thème	Nom du Responsable	Nom de l'équipe ou du thème

Ajuster le nombre de lignes au nombre d'équipes

Équipes ou thèmes de l'unité pour le contrat 2019 / 2023

N° équipe ou thème	Nom du Responsable	Nom de l'équipe ou du thème

Ajuster le nombre de lignes au nombre d'équipes

HCERES

Haut conseil de l'évaluation de la recherche
et de l'enseignement supérieur

Vague D :
campagne d'évaluation 2017 - 2018
Dossier d'évaluation des unités de recherche

Données du prochain contrat

Liste prévisionnelle des personnels de l'unité au 1er janvier 2019, hors doctorants.

Type d'emploi (1)	Nom	Prénom	H/F	Date de naissance (JJ/MM/AAAA)	Corps-grade (1)	Disciplines HCERES / Branches d'Activités Profession (BAP) (2)	HDR (3)	Etablissement ou organisme employeur (4)	Code UAI de l'établissement ou organisme employeur (5)	Ministère(s) de tutelle (6)	N° de l'équipe interne du prochain contrat, le cas échéant (7)	N° de l'unité d'origine, le cas échéant (8)
Ch_tit	ABRUSAN	Marta	F	11/03/1978	CR2	SHS4_1	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		UMR 5505
Ch_tit	ANDRE	Jean-Baptiste	H	17/09/1976	CR1	SHS4_2	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		UMR5554
Ch_tit	ATRAN	Scott	H	06/02/1952	DR2	SHS2_3	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	BAUMARD	Nicolas	H	02/06/1979	CR2	SHS4_2	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
AP_aut	BONETTO	Arthur	H	17/03/1986	IT	D	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
EC_tit	BOUVIER	Alban	H	17/11/1954	PR2	SHS2_4	oui	U AIX MARSEILLE	0134009M	MESR		
EC_tit	CASATI	Roberto	H	09/11/1961	DR1	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	CHAMBON	Valerian	H	08/06/1979	CR2	SHS4_2	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
EC_aut	CORBLIN	Francis	H	19/07/1950	PREM	SHS4_1	oui	U Paris 4	0751720M	MESR		
Ch_tit	DE VIGNEMONT	Frédérique	F	12/02/1976	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
EC_tit	DOKIC	Jérôme	H	19/08/1965	DIRH	SHS5_4	oui	EHESS	0753742K	MESR		
Ch_tit	EGRE	Paul	H	30/11/1975	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
AP_tit	EVIN	Nathalie	F	27/01/1963	IE	J	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
AP_tit	GAUDEFROY	Vincent	H	31/08/1977	TCH	J	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	GERACI	Carlo	H	10/07/1976	CR2	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	HOMER	Vincent	H	08/08/1975	CR2	SHS4_1	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_aut	JACOB	Pierre	H	01/01/1949	DREM	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	KRIESEL	Uriah	H	25/05/1973	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
AP_tit	LESGUILLONS	Tassnim	F	22/02/1973	TCH	F	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
AP_aut	LEVEQUE	Thomas	F	17/01/1990	PATP	D	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	MARI	Alda	F	26/11/1973	DR2	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
EC_aut	MASCARENHAS	Salvador	H	01/07/1982	ECC	SHS4_1	non	ENS	0753455Y	MESR		
CH_tit	MASSIN	Olivier	H	07/03/1977	CR	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	MERCIER	Hugo	H	15/01/1980	CR2	SHS4_2	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		UMR5304
EC_aut	NEF	Frédéric	H	14/09/1947	PREM	SHS5_4	oui	EHESS	0753742K	MESR		
Ch_tit	NICOLAS	David	H	23/06/1970	CR1	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	ORIGGI	Gloria	F	08/02/1967	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	PACHERIE	Elisabeth	F	30/06/1960	DR1	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
EC_tit	PELLETIER	Jérôme	H	11/07/1960	MCF	SHS5_4	oui	U Brest	0290346U	MESR		
Ch_aut	PROUST	Joëlle	F	03/02/1947	DREM	SHS5_4 / SHS4_2	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	RECANATI	François	H	08/07/1952	DREX	SHS4_1 / SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	SCHLENKER	Philippe	H	19/06/1971	DR1	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	SPECTOR	Benjamin	H	02/10/1974	DR2	SHS4_1	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_aut	SPERBER	Dan	H	20/06/1942	DREM	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	STOJANOVIC	Isidora	F	11/11/1973	DR2	SHS5_4	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
Ch_tit	STRICKLAND	Brent	H	08/08/1981	CR2	SHS4_2	non	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		
EC_tit	TIERCELIN	Claudine	F	02/06/1952	PR1	SHS5_4	oui	COLL de France	0753480A	MESR		
Ch_tit	ZALLA	Tiziana	F	01/07/1963	DR2	SHS4_2	oui	CNRS	0753639Y	MESR		

Self-presentations of members
June 2017



1] Case-based Influence in Conflict Management (USA, France, Turkey). Aimed to provide theoretical and experimental tools for identifying, analyzing and coping with conflicting cultural frames, paying special attention to framing a situation in terms of particular cases, which holds good promise for a formalized treatment of the frame problem in general. The product was a “Theory of Case-Based Influence” useful not only in understanding the past, but, through assessing how choices are actually made, is also useful in influencing the future.

2] Culture, Psychological Distance and Modes of Moral Decision Making (Palestine, Israel, Kurds in Turkey, Northern Ireland, native Americans in USA). The aim was to understand how sacred values, framing and psychological distance interact to influence moral cognition and judgments relevant to real-world political and environmental conflicts. This involved broadening theoretical and empirical analyses of moral cognition, including how protected or sacred values (SVs) affect people’s lives and play out in diverse cultural contexts.

3] Dynamics of Sacred Values in Action: A multi-Cultural Study of Real-World Decision Challenges (Chile, Guatemala, Panama, Iran, Palestine, Israel, Morocco, Spain, France, USA). Perceived compromise over core values can undermine personal and collective sense of identity and worth, but practical compromise may be necessary to ensure people’s economic and social welfare. How do people balance their ideals with practical needs?

Next steps: 1] Motivational, ideological and Social Processes in Political Violence The project brings together an interdisciplinary team to increase understanding how ordinary people turn to political violence and what to do about it. Our approach addresses issues at different, interdependent, levels of analysis, namely: (1) psychological (including motivational and cognitive processes underlying action, brain mechanisms mediating moral and utilitarian decision making), (2) socio-psychological (including attributes and dynamics of social networks and processes of persuasion and social influence), (3) cultural-anthropological focused on the unique systems of values and meanings that anchor terrorism-justifying ideologies. The research is intended to weave them into a coherent whole to gain insights into processes of radicalization and deradicalization.

2) Fragmentation Factors in the Potential Unraveling of the European Union and World Order (Institutions of political and economic globalization). Before the Napoleonic wars the nation-state system was quasi-anarchic, with each nation playing a zero sum game with all competitors and neighbors. This international order began to unravel, when nations started breaking the rules (Russia in the Balkans, Italy in N. Africa, etc.) and anarchism became the scourge of the great powers. This project will explore somewhat similar processes threatening the post-WWII world order, but also focusing on the novel role of cyber conflict.

Nicolas Baumard



Nicolas Baumard's research project draws on evolutionary biology and cognitive science to better understand social phenomena. In the last 5 years, he has focused on moral judgments and moral behaviors using evolutionary game theory, experimental psychology, behavioural economics and moral philosophy. His approach is based on the idea that morality is an adaptation to an environment in which individuals were in competition to be chosen and recruited in mutually advantageous cooperative interactions. In this environment, the best strategy is to treat others with impartiality and to share the costs and benefits of cooperation equally. Those who offer less than others will be left out of cooperation; conversely, those who offer more will be exploited by their partners. In line with this mutualistic approach, the study of a range of economic games involving property rights, collective actions, mutual help and punishment shows that participants' distributions aim at sharing the costs and benefits of interactions in an impartial way. In particular, the distribution of resources is influenced by effort and talent, and the perception of each participant's rights on the resources to be distributed.

In the next 5 years, Nicolas Baumard aims to focus on cultural evolution. This new research program is based on recent works in evolutionary biology ecology and experimental psychology demonstrating that individual preferences, which have long been seen as fixed, actually respond adaptively to environmental pressures such as resources scarcity, violence or stress. More specifically, when individuals experience better conditions of living, they shift from a present-oriented behaviour toward a future-oriented behaviour. Combining the method of economic history and behavioural ecology, Nicolas Baumard aims to use a range of newly digitalized historical data (narrative literature, portraits, and hagiographies) to explain long-term changes in psychological domains such as self-discipline, romantic love, social trust and creativity.

Alban Bouvier



Alban Bouvier has worked in three closely connected directions: a) analytical sociology, b) social epistemology of argumentation and c) the philosophy of social sciences and social phenomena. His main aim has been to enlighten the role played by argumentative procedures in the transformation of collective beliefs. This task requires adequate concepts a) of collective belief (and collective acceptance, joint commitment, etc.), b) of social mechanism (such as: triggering event, crucial event, threshold effect, informational cascades, etc.), c) of argumentative procedures and rhetorical tricks. Bouvier has worked on these three sets of concepts. In parallel, he has investigated three lengthy case studies: a) the emergence of heresies (as generally logically more rational than orthodoxy) at the beginning of Christianity, b) the unexpected effects of apparently innocuous rhetorical tricks used both

by Abraham Lincoln and Stephen Douglas in their famous 1858 Debates (on the legitimacy of extending slavery to new states) on the triggering of the American Civil War two years later and c) the unequal accessibility of contemporary Islamic terrorists to argumentation, depending on their epistemic mental states (belief, epistemic acceptance, pragmatic acceptance, joint commitment, etc.).

In the next few years, Bouvier plans a) to achieve a treatise on argumentation replacing Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca's treatise (1958), b) to achieve a shorter book entitled: "What is a scientific school?" (about joint commitment in sciences), based on previous conceptual analyses and case studies and c) to carry on writing papers on specific issues related to the three directions.

Roberto Casati



Roberto Casati has worked mainly on the computational properties of shadow representations (in collaboration with visual neuroscientist Patrick Cavanagh). Shadows are ubiquitous and rich informational ecological items, clearly targeted by the evolution of visual systems. The project has unearthed new informational properties of shadows and proposed a five-stage decomposition of shadow vision. Shadows must be first labeled as such (as opposed to permanent surface areas) and must be assigned to their owners. Shadow-owner representational pairs are processed by a number of algorithms (accomplishing shadow missions: shape from shadow, distance from shadow...) some of which are highly idiosyncratic and produce awkward solutions (and illusions). Shadows are then edited out the visual scene that they typically reenter only thanks to visual attention. Shadow perception proves thus to be a tight microcosm of visual computations. The work draws from an extremely large and variate corpus of stimuli that include artworks - this allows, in passing, reframing some of the key issues of the cognitive study of art.

More generally, Casati has worked on theoretical problems related to cognitive artifacts, publishing on maps, pictograms, drawings, perspectival models, queues, music notation, and educational (in particular digital) tools, in the framework of an extension and generalization of the "two modes" account of reasoning, that is meant to be an alternative to "extended mind" theories. Pointing out a third mode (instrument assisted cognition) and a fourth mode (instrument delegated cognition), he will mainly pursue the study of instrumental navigation without delegation (pre-GPS wayfinding). A number of training- and field- projects are ongoing or planned. The main aim is to dovetail the cognitive mechanics underlying the use of artifacts (shifting, bridging, recycling, contracting...) in an unitary framework centered on the tradeoffs between representational advantages.



Valérian Chambon recently joined the Institut Jean Nicod as a junior researcher (CR2) in cognitive neuroscience. His research interests focus on action control, action phenomenology, fMRI and computational modelling. In collaboration with Etienne Koechlin, V. Chambon has first investigated the implementation of cognitive control in the prefrontal lobes, before gaining interest in the relationship between cognitive control and human agency, i.e. in how individuals explore and exploit environmental changes to make decision about their own agency, and to adjust their behaviours accordingly. V. Chambon has also investigated the contribution of premotor fluency (i.e., the subjective experience of ease associated with planning an action) to the sense of individual agency, combining psychophysical analyses of human choice behaviour with non-invasive recording of human brain activity (fMRI) in both healthy and psychiatric participants (schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorders).

In collaboration with Elisabeth Pacherie, Valerian Chambon is currently developing a theoretical and empirical framework to better understand the dynamics of learning in social situations, and to capture the phenomenological properties of joint actions (i.e., “joint agency”). He’s also interested in the basic computational mechanisms underlying the sense of instrumental causality. V. Chambon plans to elaborate on recent advances in computational modeling to build a new theoretic model of causal inference, which can account for the influence of various informational metrics to causal inference (mutual information, value, variability) in open-ended environments. Finally, using model-based fMRI, he aims to clarify the implementation of these various metrics in the human brain, in both healthy participants and schizophrenic patients suffering from delusion of control.



The main focus of Francis Corblin 2012-2017 work is the theory of weak-definiteness. An apparent paradox is that the very same linguistic category (definiteness) has in many languages both indexical-like interpretations and generic-like ones. The latter, exemplified by expressions like « to take the train » is classically labelled « weak definiteness ». Corblin has introduced a theory of weak definites as bound relational definites, i.e. definites denoting entities related to a point of reference to be found in their own sentence, and has given argument supporting this view (e.g. pay-check sentences). The research is grounded on comparative studies (collaboration with Tijana Asic), and theoretical investigations regarding the bases of the analyses: definiteness, genericity and indexicality. It has lead to a new approach of the classical opposition between *ici* and *là* establishing that if *ici* is a true indexical, *là* is a definite NP (exhibiting even typical “weak” interpretations).

Francis Corblin in the next period will work on linguistic indexicals and demonstratives considered as disjoint categories. In the more common approach, notions like “pure indexicals” (prototype I) and “true demonstratives” (prototype this) are viewed more or less as members of the same category commonly labelled “indexicals”. Which blurs the contrast and prevents to recognize the crucial difference between the two categories is the non-defined notion of “demonstrative use” of a (non-demonstrative) expression. The research first tried to provide an analysis of demonstrative uses of linguistic expression then plans to give a comprehensive theory of the difference between indexicals and demonstratives, conceived as two distinct primitive ways for connecting language and reality embodied and distinguished in the basic lexicon of many natural languages.



Jérôme Dokic is a philosopher of mind and cognitive science working on various topics including indexicality and situated cognition, perception, memory and imagination. One strand of his current research concerns the affective phenomenology accompanying our ordinary experience of the world, which involves feelings of presence, familiarity and confidence and their opposites, such as feelings of absence, or Freud's feeling of uncanniness.

He studies these affective phenomena in ordinary perception, but also in pathological cases (derealisation, Parkinson's disease, Capgras's and Fregoli's syndromes) and with respect to modified, displaced or extended perception (virtual reality, sensory substitution and augmentation).

A second strand is about the relationship between mental states and the self. In particular, he is interested in the perspectival dimension of perception, imagination and memory, i.e., the nature and role of the "point of view" involved in our mental states (for instance, the perspectival differences between field and observer memories).

A third strand of his research is the study of the social significance of perception, as it manifests itself for instance in "social affordances", i.e., perceptible or perceptually detectable opportunities to act together.

Paul Egré



Paul Egré's main research concerns the phenomenon of vagueness in language and in perception. Vague concepts include adjectives such as "tall", "yellow", "many", but also common nouns such as "heap", "house" or "chair". Those concepts have in common that they do not impose a fixed or determinate boundary between the objects they subsume and those that they don't: instead, they come with vague or fuzzy boundaries, which vary across competent speakers and within-subject depending on the context of use. In collaboration with P. Cobreros, D. Ripley and R. van Rooij, P. Egré has proposed an influential semantic framework for the representation of the meaning and use of such predicates, the so-called strict-tolerant framework (Cobreros, Egré, Ripley, van Rooij 2012). The framework has been applied to the resolution of the notorious sorites paradox and to model various aspects of the ordinary use of vague concepts. Egré has conducted several experimental studies on vague concepts, on the interpretation of color adjectives (Egré, Ripley and Gardelle 2013), the vague determiner "many" (Egré and Cova 2015), or the role of prototypes in gradable adjectives (Verheyen, Douven, Egré in progress). Within IEC, Egré has worked with D. Pressnitzer on the relation between perceptual ambiguity and vagueness (Pelofi, Gardelle, Egré, Pressnitzer 2017), a collaboration they will pursue by hiring a postdoc. With B. Spector, Egré is Co-PI of the ANR funded project "Trivalent logics and natural language meaning" (2014-18).

New lines of research have emerged from that program, which Egré is planning to extend during the next five years. One of them is a systematic investigation of the notion of logical consequence in many-valued logic (Chemla, Egré, Spector 2017). Another is an effort to narrow the gap between inductive and deductive patterns of inference. It has recently appeared to Egré that soritical reasoning is best described as a form of inductive inference (Egré, Ripley, Verheyen submitted), and that inductive inference may be seen as relaxing constraints on deductive consequence rather than as being altogether incommensurate. Part of Egré's recent work thus draws bridges between logical and probabilistic models of vague predicates, extending pioneering ideas from the work of E. Borel (Egré and Barberousse 2014, Egré 2016).

Carlo Geraci



Carlo Geraci is a sign language linguist. The main goal of his research is addressing the significance of sign languages in discovering the properties of the human ability for language. He is particularly interested in how sign languages manage complexity in the processing of the linguistic message both at the phono-morphological level and at the syntactic level.

His research develops along different paths trying to find empirical answers to the following questions: Linguistic theory: what do the grammars of sign languages have to say about the Faculty of language? Sociolinguistics: How are sign languages in use? Cognition: what is the impact of sign language use on (language-related) cognitive abilities? Artificial intelligence: Can we develop tools to increase accessibility for Deaf people? Working within the generativist approach, Geraci's theoretical works focused on the domains of syntax, phonology and the interface syntax/phonology. As for the relationship between SL use and

other cognitive abilities, he focused on short-term memory limitations in singers, tactile perception and numerical processing. More recently and in collaboration of Brent Strickland, Geraci has begun to study what, if any, features of individual languages may be universally accessible, by looking at what aspects of the visual modality can be recovered by non-signers.

Geraci's research is currently oriented towards the comparative study of the grammars of sign language. Specifically, his interest is on the spatial properties of sign language, how space is used to convey argument structure and definiteness, and how manipulation of spatial features may impact on working memory. Finally in the domain of artificial intelligence, Geraci has started collaborations with Politecnico of Turin and Centro Ricerche RAI (Italian public broadcasting television) focusing on automatic translation from Italian into Italian sign language and the creation of an haptic hand for Deaf-Blind signers.

Pierre Jacob



Pierre Jacob is a philosopher of mind and the cognitive sciences. His earlier work was devoted to the metaphysics of intentionality from a naturalistic standpoint: can mental representations of the world be part of the represented world? In later work, in collaboration with the cognitive neuroscientist Marc Jeannerod, he has examined experimental findings providing evidence for sharp dissociations between visual perception and visually guided action.

Much of his more recent work has been devoted to the study of human mindreading or theory of mind, i.e. the ability to ascribe psychological states to self and others. In particular, he has addressed the significance of the discovery of mirror neurons and mirroring processes in the brains of non-human primates for human mindreading. More recently, he has advocated a pragmatic resolution of the puzzle generated by discrepant developmental findings about false-belief understanding in human children and preverbal infants, according to whether this ability is being probed with explicit or implicit tests.

Uriah Kriegel



Since 2012, Uriah Kriegel has worked on two main projects. The first concerned the structure of the sphere of conscious phenomena that go beyond perceptual consciousness and involve more neglected modes of conscious experience, such as cognitive, agentive, emotional, and moral consciousness. This project culminated in a 2015 Oxford University Press monograph entitled *The Varieties of Consciousness*. The second project concerned the philosophy of Franz Brentano, and the manner in which it cast the philosophy of consciousness as a basis for a complete system of metaphysics and value theory. This project culminated in a 2017 Oxford University Press monograph entitled *Mind and Reality in Brentano's Philosophical System*.

Kriegel's next project is to explore the case for potential mind-dependent dimensions in our most fundamental metaphysical notions, such as composition, grounding, and structure. These notions have been ascendant in recent metaphysics, and the mainstream approach to them has been thoroughly realist, treating them as entirely mind-independent. Kriegel wishes to consider whether this thoroughly realist approach can withstand close examination. Indeed, he wants to argue for an alternative antirealist approach, starting with the notion of grounding. According to the view envisaged, first, we consider that *p* grounds *q* just when we find that '*p*' provides a metaphysical explanation of '*q*', and second, the notion of metaphysical explanation can be given a purely epistemic account that invokes neither grounding nor other ontological notions. This would cast an epistemic notion of metaphysical explanation as prior to the ontological notion of grounding and effectively cast the grounding relation as response-dependent: a pair of states of affairs (*p*, *q*) entertain the grounding relation iff the pair is disposed to elicit in the right subjects under right conditions the warranted impression that '*p*' metaphysically explains.

Alda Mari



Modalizing a sentence e.g. *Joan is obviously smart* makes, at a very fundamental level, a comment by the speaker on the proposition Joan be smart. Modality thus affects the truthiness of the sentence. Using the label ‘modality’ in a broad sense, Alda Mari has been targeting an empirical domain that encompasses those expressions that account for the attitude of an epistemic agent toward the truth of a proposition and has understood modality as ‘evaluation’ of the propositional content. Alda Mari has studied a variety of expressions of modality cross-linguistically focusing on (i) universal epistemic modals, (ii) future as a manifestation of epistemic modality and (iii) modal adverbs in a variety of languages including Italian, French, Greek and English. She has developed a model based on non-veridicality, where universal modals are biased and convey preferences. She has studied how these preferences determine the meanings and the distributions of modal expressions, also in relation with predicates of personal taste and time. Her work is now moving to the relation between epistemic modals and epistemic attitudes.

In the next five years, Alda Mari intends to study mood choice as determined by the meaning of propositional attitudes. Newly considering the relations between phrasal and verbal mood as a unified phenomenon, she intends to understand verbal mood in the light of interactional dimensions through which phrasal mood has been analyzed. Existing studies of propositional attitudes building on possible world semantics have been faithful to the foundational concepts inherited from Hintikka (1962) and Bolinger (1968). At the semantic/pragmatic interface, studies on non-assertive utterances have instead provided a more diverse set of solutions, which, around a core notion of modality, have considered non at-issue components and have allowed disentangling different levels of semantic representation. Extending these results, Alda Mari will integrate commitments, expectations and more broadly non at-issue components besides the more monolithic traditional analysis of propositional attitudes. Alda Mari intends to develop a multilayered approach to reconsider verbal mood, by focusing not exclusively on possible worlds, but also on the notions of agent, perspective and interaction.

Salvador Mascarenhas



Salvador Mascarenhas was hired by ENS as assistant professor of linguistics, attached to Institut Jean Nicod, in 2016, after two years as a Junior Research Fellow at the University of Oxford. His doctoral dissertation (New York University, 2014) is on the relation between linguistic semantics and the psychology of reasoning. The two fields overlap significantly, but they have progressed almost completely in parallel, with little interaction. Mascarenhas's approach has two main components. The first involves recasting Mental Models theory of reasoning in a formally explicit system, incorporating relevant insights from his work on question semantics. This research has produced a version of Mental Model Theory that locates the origin of reasoning failures in a question asking and answering process. Simplistically put, the theory argues that human desire to resolve questions as quickly as possible is at the core of many representative classes of reasoning failures. The second component of Mascarenhas's approach is an interpretation-based theory of (some) reasoning failures that explains these failures in terms of modern theories of pragmatic processes. Mascarenhas has argued that, in many well-known cases, an illusion of irrationality emerges, whereby experimental subjects appear to reason faultily, but are in fact reasoning soundly based on non-obvious but independently understood interpretations of the premises.

From these two rigorously defined theories, different predictions emerge, allowing for a comparison between the two theories, and providing a principled way to tease apart the contributions of general-purpose reasoning mechanisms and of interpretive processes in our failures of reasoning. Most recently, Mascarenhas has been working on ways to capitalize on the dependence of many of our failures of reasoning in interpretive processes, by studying subtle linguistic manipulations that can reliably improve performance in certain classes of reasoning problems.


David Nicolas



David Nicolas has worked mainly at the intersection of natural language semantics and philosophical logic. He has characterized the logic underlying our use of mass nouns. He has analyzed how the semantics of these nouns constrains what can be thought about the ontology of matter and mixtures. In collaboration with Salvatore Florio (University of Birmingham), he has examined whether plural logic should be enriched in light of the existence of order-sensitive expressions like "respectively". Replying to David Liebesman's work, he has shown that the special behavior of expressions like "two and a half apples" doesn't warrant abandoning our understanding of counting as a one-to-one mapping. Together with Li Xuping (Zhejiang University), he has examined how abstract nouns are interpreted in Mandarin Chinese.

David Nicolas will continue his collaboration with Salvatore Florio. Together they will examine how plural logic can be integrated with the rest of formal semantics, which is based on sets and additional notions like sums and events. In particular, they will examine whether the conjunction "and" should be given a flat or a structured semantics.

David Nicolas will also study counting and measuring across languages. How do classifier languages, like Mandarin Chinese and Korean, express counting and measuring? What are the different types of classifiers and what are associated semantic and logical mechanisms? And what does quantification amount to in the case of abstract nouns?



One may think that metaphysics and even ontology are foreign to the cognitive sciences. One has even been able to speak of cognitive ontology as a combination of ontology and the science of mind and cognition. Some ontological concepts such as object, property, or relationship are of paramount importance for researchers working on perception. Frédéric Nef devoted himself for the last twenty years to the development of an ontology which privileges these concepts. In particular, he has worked on incomplete or non-existent objects, which play a crucial role in the intentional sphere, on singular properties (or tropes) which are often used for the study of perception, and more recently on connections, understood as a type of entities necessary for ontological composition.

Frédéric Nef is currently seeking to clarify the role of connections in the conceptual schemes of causality, and, on the other hand, to set up a temporal ontology that admits tropes. We know that the Russellian theory of relations endorses next to external relations, internal or necessary relations (Wittgenstein, Mulligan, Clementz). Generally it is argued that these two types of relationships exhaust their universe. However, one may wonder whether connections are irreducible to relations, especially internal ones, as argued in idealistic philosophy of relations (Spridge, Bradley). Can an idealistic theory of relations apply to a naturalistic cognitive philosophy? Temporal ontology requires to decide the question of the temporal nature of the quantifiers (Sider). Moreover, and more generally, temporal ontology comes up against the question of the nature of the facts: are there any temporal facts (Kit Fine)? Finally, in a more general way, our ontology will be that of a reality (whatever it is) unified, or fragmented (Kit Fine), in order to solve the problem of contradictory propositions over time. All these questions lead to questioning the metaphysics of humans (D. Lewis).

Gloria Origgi



Gloria Origgi's research project draws on social epistemology, philosophy of social science and the study of social cognition in order to better understand how people create, store and use social information to make sense of themselves and of the social world. She has also worked on situated social cognition, especially on Internet-mediated epistemic practices and on gendered cognition. In the last 5 years her research has focused on the mechanisms of trust that underlie epistemic practices such as choosing a doctor, evaluating the accuracy of an information and deferring to experts. The complex bundle of reasons, affective reactions, emotional attachments and dependences that make people trust others and acquire new beliefs are at the core of her research on the epistemology of trust. She has focused on the role of reputation as a source of epistemic warrant. Reputation is social information created by each social interaction we have. In her research, she explains how reputation can be a motivation for action (to "gain" or modify our social image) and how it can be a "rational" justification to acquire a belief. The epistemology of reputation, that is, the way in which people use social information to confer epistemic authority, is still at the core of her research and is articulated in various research strands, from the role of gender biases in granting credibility and the role of social networks and other web based interactions on the way in which we filter social information.

In the next 5 years she will focus on alternative motivations for action that are not reducible to interest, as for example, symbolic rewards such as reputation. A widespread thesis sees the transition to modernity embedded in the moral transition from the aristocratic heroic ideal of the Feudal Age to the bourgeois mentality and the Protestant ethics (Hirschman 1977) based on interest instead of passions. Yet, the resurgence of passions in contemporary politics and international conflicts, the role of symbolic struggle in the public debate and in social mobilisation challenge this thesis. It seems impossible nowadays to understand the motivations of human action without reference to social passions like revenge, humiliation, recognition. In her research Origgi will explore contemporary knowledge on social passions as motives for action and try to articulate the causes and effects of passions with contemporary theories of social action.

Elisabeth Pacherie



Elisabeth Pacherie is a philosopher of mind and action. Her methodological proclivities are naturalistic: she believes that progress on philosophical issues depends on sustained interaction with empirical science. In the earlier part of her career, she progressively extended her work from issues concerning intentionality and mental causation to consciousness, perception, action, and their disruptions in mental pathologies. For the last 15 years, action has been her main area of investigation. She has worked extensively on intentions, action understanding, sense of agency and joint action and has a strong record of international interdisciplinary collaborations in these fields.

Her current research program extends her work in three directions. Her first project aims to contribute to a more unified and robust theoretical understanding of self-control by bringing together two separate frameworks for understanding self-control, motivational and executive, and characterizing the complementary roles of motivational and executive processes. The objective of her second project is to investigate both theoretically and empirically how our sense of agency for joint action relates to, and differs from, our sense of agency for individual actions. Her third project, in collaboration with psychologists and roboticists, concerns human-robot interactions and aims investigating whether and under what conditions commitments, that play a crucial role in human cooperative interactions, could play a similar role in human-robot interactions.

Jérôme Pelletier



Jérôme Pelletier has worked on some distinctive emotional properties of artifacts such as paintings and moving or fixed photographic images. In collaboration with neuroscientist Stéphanie Dubal, Pelletier has investigated whether pointillist-style paintings are perceived as more aesthetically pleasing, when the paintings were primed with actions compatible to the artists painting style, compared to incompatible movements. In collaboration with neuroscientist Pascale Piolino, Pelletier has investigated how to characterize our emotion-like responses to silent clips or fixed photographic images presented as depicting fictional scenes in relation to ordinary emotional responses. Both researches were part of two ANR projects initiated and coordinated by Pelletier. The main results are that motor priming can enhance the aesthetic experience of paintings and that fiction priming modifies the emotional experience of the video clips and photographs.

In the next years, Pelletier will investigate the “distance hypothesis” and its philosophical consequences. The distance hypothesis is that cognitive distance contributes to explain a number of effects of our interaction with fictions, including emotional and aesthetic effects.



Is metacognition, i.e. the capacity to form epistemic self-evaluations about one's own current cognitive performance, derived from humans' possession of a "theory of mind" or in part independent from it? From a dual-process viewpoint, procedural metacognition is shared with non-humans with no theory of mind; this feeling-based, nonconceptual evaluation of ongoing epistemic activity depends on subpersonal feedback from the task. Human adults, however, often ignore feedback, and rather evaluate capacities on the basis of folk theories. In the past five years, Proust's ERC-funded multidisciplinary research group has studied variations across culture of metacognitive performance in young children and adults. The experimental and anthropological evidence collected suggests that there are different patterns of epistemic development in European, Japanese and Mayan children, mapping into variations in adults' epistemic sensitivity.

Joëlle Proust now aims to examine how nonconceptual and conceptual metacognition interact. This study will require exploring various epistemological, anthropological and psychological issues. First, what is the role of "critical feelings" - i.e. metacognitive feelings willfully trained to enhance performance - in shaping the cultural variations observed? Are there systematic relations between critical feelings and associated social norms and practices, on the one hand, and normative outcomes, on the other hand? Second, is "intuition" a reliable guide for truth? Or is it entirely dependent on fluency, i.e. habitual practices, and hence, exposed to illusions? Thirdly, is cognitive phenomenology constituted by sensory markers of emotion, or rather "proprietary"? Is such phenomenology inherently "cognitive" (depending on the contents of thought), or inherently "metacognitive" (depending on how thoughts are processed)?

François Recanati



François Recanati's research work pertains to three main areas. The first one is speech act theory construed as providing use-theoretical foundations for semantics. This trend, present since the beginning of Recanati's career, has become prominent again recently (especially through Recanati's work on the act-based conception of propositional content, polyphony and the force/content distinction). The second area is context-dependence in language and thought. In his influential research on contextualism and the semantics/pragmatics interface Recanati has argued for the existence of a pragmatic process of 'modulation' distinct both from saturation (indexical resolution) and from implicatures. He has also argued for a two-level analysis of content which applies to thought and language alike. Recanati has emphasized the potential of that approach for the analysis of experiential states (memory, perception, imagination) and phenomena like immunity to error through misidentification or so-called pre-reflective self-consciousness. Third: In the past decade Recanati has worked extensively on the theory of reference and the analysis of singular concepts, construed as mental files. Like their perceptual ancestors (object files), mental files are based on 'epistemically rewarding' relations to objects in the environment. Standing in such relations to objects

puts the subject in a position to gain information regarding them, information that goes into the files based on the relevant relations. Files do not merely store information about objects, however. They refer to them and serve as singular terms in the language of thought, with a relational (nondescriptivist) semantics. In this framework, the reference of linguistic expressions is inherited from that of the files we associate with them. Crucially, files also play the role of 'modes of presentation'. They are used to account for cognitive significance phenomena illustrated by so-called 'Frege cases'.

Recanati's plans for the future involve, *inter alia*, an extension of the mental file framework beyond singular concepts (in several directions) and an analysis of various phenomena characterized by the fact that the apparatus of direct reference is exploited even though the epistemological constraint on reference (the existence of epistemically rewarding relations) is not satisfied: descriptive names, donkey anaphora, arbitrary reference, modal subordination, etc. They will be analysed, within the mental file framework, as involving a form of pretense (also at work in fictional discourse).



Philippe Schlenker has worked on the study of meaning with a special focus on the application of semantic methods to new empirical areas. This program of 'super semantics' is funded by an ERC grant (2013-2018), and it has the following three components. First, Schlenker investigates the semantics of sign languages, with two goals: first, exploring cases in which sign languages display overtly aspects of the Logical Form of sentences that are covert in spoken languages; second, understanding how rich iconic conditions interact with logical ones in sign languages. The second question has led to an emerging program comparing types of iconic enrichments in signed and in spoken languages, with a special focus on co-speech gestures in spoken language - a new frontier in formal semantics. The second area of Schlenker's research concerns the emerging field of primate linguistics: field research in primatology has yielded very rich data on primate alarm calls and gestures, which are now ripe for modelization using the general techniques of formal linguistics. Focusing on the semantics, Schlenker and a large team of co-authors have produced several case studies (pertaining to Old World and New Word monkeys) that have been published in some of the main linguistics and cognitive science journals. The third area of Schlenker's research pertains to meaning in music. In ongoing work, Schlenker has developed formal and analytical methods to study rigorously the inferences triggered by various kinds of music. While the program is only at its inception, it has been well received by specialized audiences.

Schlenker's project for the coming years is to continue developing 'super semantics', but to add to it a new question pertaining to the cognitive origins of meaning. In traditional formal semantics, the question is not asked, in part because the 'arbitrariness of the sign' makes it very difficult to draw inferences from the form of expressions to their cognitive underpinnings. But all the extensions explored in 'super semantics' call for a reconsideration of this assumption: sign language and gestures have extremely rich iconic components which are anything but arbitrary; primate calls and gestures have a rich innate component whose evolutionary origin can often be reconstructed; and semantic effects in music are based according to Schlenker's theory on inferences about 'virtual sources' using codes that are found in extra-musical domains, including 'biological codes' that are found in language and in animal signals.

Benjamin Spector



Benjamin Spector's areas of research include formal and experimental semantics and pragmatics, and to a lesser extent philosophy of language and logic. On the formal side, his main research contributions from 2012-2017 consisted of:

- The development of a formally explicit theory of scalar implicatures and related exhaustivity effects. In this context, Benjamin Spector contributed to a theory of the interactions between presuppositions and quantity implicatures, as well as to an account of the properties of so-called positive polarity items such as the French complex disjunction *soit...soit...* or the work *almost*. Some of this work involved collaborations with Danny Fox (MIT) and Yasutada Sudo (now at UCL, then an IJN postdoc).
 - A unified theory of the semantics of question embedding (joint work with Paul Egré)
 - A formal model of the interactions between vagueness and presuppositions.
 - A detailed investigation of the semantics and pragmatics of plural expressions, and, in particular, numerals and modified numerals (partly in collaboration with Brian Buccola, currently a postdoc at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem). On the experimental side, Benjamin Spector has published a number of studies (in collaboration with master's and PhD students and Emmanuel Chemla) pertaining to quantity implicatures and the interpretation of numerals.
- With Paul Egré, Benjamin Spector is currently responsible for an ANR project on the linguistic applications

of trivalent logics. This project includes an investigation of vague expressions, plural expressions, and presuppositions, and some of the work reported on above belongs to it.

Part of Benjamin Spector's on-going work consists in developing this project further, in several directions, including:

- developing on-going experimental work on the semantics and pragmatics of plural expressions (with Mora Maldonado, a PhD student co-advised by Spector and Emmanuel Chemla)
- developing, in collaboration with Manuel Kriz (a postdoc in the ANR project) a new theory of plural reference which has formal similarities with supervaluationist approaches to vagueness
- investigating the formal properties of various notions of logical consequence within trivalent logic, with the goal of determining natural constraints on the definition of logical consequence (collaboration with Paul Egré and Emmanuel Chemla)
- comparing probabilistic and game-theoretic accounts of vagueness with trivalent approaches.

In the near future, Benjamin Spector hopes to contribute to the emerging field of probabilistic semantics and pragmatics, as well as to an explicit theory of the acquisition of semantics (focusing on logical operators).



Dan Sperber is a cognitive and social scientist working on communication and in cultural transmission, the psychological mechanisms involved, and their aggregated effect at the population scale. His recent work has focused on (1) “epistemic vigilance,” a suite of mechanisms with the help of which humans filter communicated information, (2) the role of reasoning in epistemic vigilance both as a means to evaluate information and of helping one’s audience perform this evaluation, and (3) experimental and theoretical developments of relevance theory.

In the coming years, while pursuing his work on communication, vigilance, and reason, Sperber will focus on population scale phenomena and, more specifically, on (1) a naturalistic ontology of the social, (2) the role of cognitive and ecological factors of attraction in cultural evolution, (3) linguistic meanings as cultural attractors.

Isidora Stojanovic



Isidora Stojanovic has been working at the interface of semantics, pragmatics and philosophy of language. Her research has addressed four sets of issues: (1) How does language encode logical relations? Are functional, as opposed to lexical, categories, the bearers of logicality in natural language? (2) What is the best way to characterize the boundary between semantics and pragmatics? (3) Does first-personal discourse display any peculiar features? Do ‘de se’ attitudes also raise a challenge for semantics? (4) Are there dedicated expressions or constructions for expressing subjective evaluation? Is there a unified class of evaluative predicates, of which moral, aesthetic and taste predicates form subclasses? Stojanovic has defended a novel conception of our linguistic architecture, and has argued that the traditional binary distinction between semantics and pragmatics had better be replaced by (at least) a tripartite distinction that makes room for ‘prepragmatics’. She showed that this novel conception explains better several important phenomena, such as demonstrative reference, quantifier domain restriction, or the context-sensitivity of gradable adjectives.

Her current project aims to understand the linguistic mechanisms that underscore evaluative discourse. In collaboration with Louise McNally, she proposes a battery of criteria that provide a more accurate classification of adjectives and suggest that subjectivity and evaluativity often fall apart (contrary to certain widely shared assumptions). A question that remains is what it is for an expression (or construction) to be linguistically tagged as evaluative. Stojanovic seeks an account that combines the familiar mechanism of presupposition with a novel kind of context-sensitivity that allows for the valence of an evaluative judgment to depend on the context. This research has a significant impact on the debate over thick terms in metaethics, as well as aesthetics and epistemology.



"Core knowledge" refers to a set of cognitive systems that appear very early in human development, universally across cultures, and are often preserved to some degree by natural selection (thus appearing in primate species). Some examples are an understanding of basic object mechanics, numbers, other people's mental states, and even social categories (e.g. "my group" vs. "outsider"). Brent Strickland's research asks how core knowledge continues to operate into adulthood in automatic and unconscious ways. He made a range of recent discoveries showing, in particular, that core knowledge structures automatically guide adult processes of language, memory, visual attention, and higher level reasoning. For example, he shown that core distinctions such as the distinction between animate objects and inanimate objects or males vs. females (which are both mastered by pre-verbal infants) make certain grammatical categories easier to learn (e.g. grammatical gender), potentially explaining why these are so common across the world's languages.

In addition to these interests in core knowledge, Brent is also interested in fundamental biases (i.e. those shared across the human species) in reasoning and decision making, and how these biases influence cultural activities such as policy making or science.

Frederique de Vignemont



Frederique de Vignemont pursues conceptual and empirical investigation of the notion of self-awareness, in its relation both to social awareness and to bodily awareness. Questions about the notion of *embodiment* have been at the core of her research. She argues that in order to assess its importance for cognition, one needs first to understand how the body is represented in the mind. In particular, she has explored the sensorimotor and the cognitive achievements required for empathy and for the sense of bodily ownership.

Her new project investigates the perceptual peculiarities of *peripersonal space*. Theories of perception have often been accused to analyse it exclusively on the model of vision. But they are also guilty of focusing on the perception of far space, neglecting the possibility that perception of the space immediately surrounding the body displays different properties. The aim of this project is to assess their implications for larger issues, including questions about the relationship between perception, action and evaluation, and questions about the relationship between self and others.

Claudine Tiercelin



Claudine Tiercelin is a philosopher, professor at the Collège de France (Chair in metaphysics and philosophy of knowledge). During the last five years she has been working on issues related to metaphysics, viewed from a twofold perspective. From an epistemological point of view, she has examined the conditions of possibility of metaphysical knowledge and proposed a new model for it. From a more metaphysical and systematic point of view, she has presented a realistic and scientific metaphysics, in which real dispositions play a central role. She has also worked on the status of natural kinds and defended a new form of essentialism in keeping with recent results from the empirical sciences.

Her current research is oriented towards the refinement of the model of knowledge she has tried to defend for many years, much inspired by the pragmatist (Peircian) tradition, in which knowledge is mainly viewed as an inquiry, in which the distinction between practical knowledge (knowing how) and propositional or theoretical knowledge (knowing that) tends to disappear, and in which epistemic and social virtues come to play a major role. In metaphysics, she intends to pursue her work on natural kinds (mainly examined, in her previous work, in light of the natural sciences: biology and chemistry) from a more and more cognitive and anthropological perspective.

Tiziana Zalla

Tiziana Zalla is a cognitive scientist working on social cognition in typical and atypical populations. Her works mainly focuses on how people encode, store and use information about one-self and others for social reasoning and interaction. She has been conducting studies on the cognitive processes underlying the attribution of mental states and moral reasoning in individuals with schizophrenia and autism. More recently, she has applied eye-tracking technology to study how visual attention can be modulated by (internal) prior knowledge and (external) contextual and sensory information in adults with and without autism. Her research is characterized by a multidisciplinary approach bringing together concepts and methodologies from different academic disciplines, such as philosophy of mind, social psychology, neuropsychology, neuroscience, and biological psychiatry.

Zalla's current research is oriented towards the development of a comprehensive model of information integration subserving social reasoning and decision making. In particular, the project aims to investigate the impact of the executive functions (i.e., cognitive control and working memory) on social abilities and decision making in typical population and in individuals with autism.

Nathalie Evin

Vincent Gaudefroy

Sophie Bilardello/Tassnim Lesguillons

A graduate of Sciences Po Bordeaux, Nathalie Evin is ingénieur d"études with CNRS. She is responsible for administrative management and assistance with lab direction. Since April 1, 2014, she is the lab manager of Institut Nicod, and as such she assists the director in the administration of the laboratory.



New Members (as of 2018)

Marta Abrusan



Marta Abrusan is a linguist specialising in formal semantics and pragmatics. Her current research focuses on two problems. First, she studies why and how certain preconditions on meaning, called presuppositions, arise and how they behave in complex sentences. Second, in collaboration with colleagues in Toulouse N. Asher and T. van de Cruys, she studies ways of combining formal semantics with a computational approach to meaning called distributional semantics.

In the past, Marta Abrusan has also worked on showing that certain apparent syntactic violations can be explained by the semantics and pragmatics of these ill-formed sentences. Her book on the topic, entitled "Weak Island Semantics" was published by OUP in 2014.

Jean-Baptiste André



Jean-Baptiste André was initially trained as a theoretician in evolutionary biology, with a PhD on the evolution of life history traits and mutation rate. His research interests now focus on evolutionary psychology, and evolutionary game theory. More specifically he is interested in modeling the evolution of cooperation, as well as the evolution of culture. He developed mathematical models on the evolution of reciprocity, in order to explain why this mechanism is highly developed in the human species but so rare outside humans. In collaboration with Stefano Nolfi and Nicolas Bredeche, he developed evolutionary robotics simulations on reciprocity and mutualistic cooperation. In collaboration with Nicolas Baumard he showed how the mechanism of partner choice can explain the evolution of the human sense of fairness. In collaboration with Olivier Morin and Nicolas Claidière he developed models to study the role of culture in the evolution of altruism.

In collaboration with Nicolas Baumard and a PhD student, he is currently developing further models on the evolution of fairness and cooperation, where they aim to understand better the evolutionary mechanisms of partner choice and to account for more subtle properties of human fairness (e.g. the proportionality principle). He is also developing computational models based on artificial neural networks in order to understand the role of cognitive generalization in the evolution of human cooperation. In collaboration with Nicolas Bredeche, he will develop evolutionary robotics simulations to study the effect of partner choice with embodied agents. In collaboration with Nicolas Baumard, Coralie Chevallier and a PhD student he is developing models on the evolution of life history strategies in order to explain the variability of life history traits found across humans. In collaboration with Nicolas Baumard and a master student he is currently developing a model to understand how small psychological changes can have important macroscopic effects on culture.

Olivier Massin



Olivier Massin is a philosopher whose works lie at the confluence of metaphysics, philosophy of mind, value theory and social ontology. He has developed answers to questions such as: What are forces? What are desires? What are economic exchanges? What is ownership? What are determinable properties? What are tryings? What is optimism? How do forces/colours/emotions compose with each other? Is pleasantness an axiological property? How do pain and suffering relate to each other? In what sense is touch more objective than other senses? Is indifference a mental episode or is it an absence thereof?

Massin is now pursuing three connected research projects. The first project, «Experiencing Stuff», assesses the hypothesis that a significant category of perceptual and affective experiences bear not so much on countable objects than on uncountable stuffs. The second project, «The Nature and Value of Efforts» purports to uncover the nature of efforts, ubiquitously appealed to in philosophy, psychology or economics but rarely ever defined. The third project, «Formal Dynamics», develops the hypothesis that dynamical concepts such as forces, cohesion, and resistance... have —like the concepts of part or distance— a formal kernel that allows them to be applied to non-mechanical phenomena such as biological mental, social, or linguistic phenomena.

Hugo Mercier

Hugo Mercier is an experimental psychologist whose past work has focused on reasoning and argumentation. With Dan Sperber—emeritus member of the Jean Nicod Institute—he has developed a new theory of human reason. This theory postulates that the main function of human reason is social: to exchange justifications and arguments with others. With students and colleagues, he has tested this theory using a variety of tools: standard psychology experiments with adults, but also experiments with young children, and experiments in other cultures, including small-scale traditional societies. Mercier and Sperber have recently written a book summarizing this research.

Mercier's interests are now extending to other cognitive mechanisms involved in the evaluation of communicated information—mechanisms of epistemic vigilance. He has started a series of experiments on how people use consensus as a cue to information validity, as well as writing a book on the mechanisms of epistemic vigilance and their consequences for the cultural spread of information.

The Jean Nicod Handbook of Cognition 2012-2017 Edition

(An aggregator of encyclopedia, handbook and textbook entries written by Jean Nicod members)

Lanman, J., Whitehouse, H. & Atran, S. 2017, Intergroup conflict. H. Callan (ed.), The International Encyclopedia of Anthropology. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2017.

Baumard, N., Sperber, D. (2012). Evolutionary and cognitive issues in the anthropology of morality. in D. Fassin (ed.) A Companion to Moral Anthropology. Wiley-Blackwell. 611-627.

Boyer, P. & Baumard, N., (2016) The diversity of religious systems: An evolutionary and cognitive framework, in Liddle and Shackelford (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Evolutionary Perspectives on Religion

Umbres, R. & Baumard, N., (forthcoming) Cooperation in Hilary Callan (Ed.) Wiley-Blackwell's International Encyclopedia of Anthropology

Baumard, N., (2015) Evolutionary Psychology and Public Policy, in D. Buss (Ed.) The Handbook of Evolutionary Psychology, John Wiley & Sons

Bouvier, A. "Contemporary French Philosophy and the Social Sciences", in Encyclopedia of Philosophy and the Social Sciences, Sage Publications, 2013. 2013 BC

Bouvier, A. « Argumentation » in Encyclopedia of Philosophy and the Social Sciences, Sage Publications, 2013 2013 BC

Bouvier, A. « Plural Subject » in Encyclopedia of Philosophy and the Social Sciences, Sage Publications, 2013. 2013 BC

Casati, R., Dokic, J. Sounds. The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (2013 rev.).

Casati. R., Varzi, A.C., Events. The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (2013 rev.).

Casati, R., 2014, "Object Perception". In Matthen, M., ed., The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception.

Casati, R., Bertamini, M. 2014, "Figures and Holes". In Johan Wagemans, ed., Handbook of Perceptual Organization. Oxford University Press. 2014

de Vignemont, F. (2017). The extended body hypothesis. In Oxford handbook of 4E cognition, edited by A. Newen, L. de Bruin, S. Gallagher, Oxford University Press.

Jacob, P. and de Vignemont, F. (2017). Vicarious experiences: perception, mirroring or imagination? In Routledge handbook of the social mind, edited by Julian Kiverstein, 498-514.

de Vignemont, F. (2015). Bodily awareness. Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy.
<http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/bodily-awareness/>

de Vignemont, F. & Massin, O. (2015). Touch. In M. Matthen (ed), Oxford Handbook of philosophy of perception, Oxford University Press.

de Vignemont, F. (2014). Acting for bodily awareness. In Routledge handbook of embodied cognition, edited by L. Shapiro. Routledge, 287-295.

Egré, P. and Cozic M. (2016). "Conditionals". In Maria Aloni and Paul Dekker, editors, *The Cambridge Handbook of Formal Semantics*, pages 490-524. Cambridge University press, 2016.

Egré, P., "Epistemic Logic", 2011, *The Bloomsbury Companion to Philosophical Logic*, R. Pettigrew and L. Horsten eds, Bloomsbury Press, chap. 16, 503-542.

Egré, P. "Philosophie de la linguistique", 2011, *Précis de philosophie des sciences*, A. Barberousse, D. Bonnay et M. Cozic eds, Vuibert, chap. 16, 572-642

Gasparri, L. Marconi, D., 2015. "Word Meaning". In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Summer 2015 Edition), ed. Edward N. Zalta. URL =
<https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2015/entries/word-meaning/>

Jacob, P. (2011) Meaning, intentionality and communication. In von Heusinger, K., Maienborn, C., Portner, P. (eds.) *Semantics: an International Handbook of Natural Language Semantics*, Mouton de Gruyter.

Jacob, P. (2014) Intentionality. In *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/intentionality/>

Jacob, P. (2015) Action-based accounts. In M. Matthen (ed.) *The Oxford Handbook of the Philosophy of Perception*, Oxford University Press.

Jacob, P. & de Vignemont, F. (2016) Vicarious pain: imagination, mirroring or perception? In Kiverstein, J. (ed.) *Routledge Handbook of Philosophy of the Social Mind*.

Jacob, P. (2017) Mental representations. In H. Callan (ed.) *The International Encyclopedia of Anthropology*, Wiley.

Kriegel, U., 2013, "Moral Phenomenology." In H. Lafollette (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of Ethics*. London: Wiley-Blackwell, 2013.

Kriegel, U., 2017, "Brentano on Judgment." In U. Kriegel (ed.), *Routledge Handbook of Franz Brentano and the Brentano School*. London and New York: Routledge (2017).

Kriegel, U., 2017, "Brentano's Classification of Mental Phenomena." In U. Kriegel (ed.), *Routledge Handbook of Franz Brentano and the Brentano School*. London and New York: Routledge (2017).

Nef, F., Berlioz, D., 2015, Locke's mereology, *Handbook of Mereology*, Hans Burkhardt et alii eds., Philosophia Verlag, München

Nicolas, D. (2013). The logic of mass expressions. In E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring 2013 edition).

Origgi, G. (2017) "Reputation as a motivation for action and as a justification for belief" in F. Giardini, R. Wittek (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Gossip and Reputation*.

Origgi, G. (2017) "Trust and Reputation" in J. Simon (eds.) *The Routledge Handbook of Philosophy of Trust*.

Origgi, G., Ciranna, S. (2017) "Epistemic Injustice in Digital Environments" in I. Kidd, J. Medina, G. Polhaus (eds.) *The Routledge Handbook of Epistemic Injustice*, Routledge 2017.

Proust, J., (forthcoming) Metacognition, In: T. Crane (ed.), *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.

Proust, J. (forthcoming) Non-human Metacognition, in: J. Beck and C. Andrews (eds.), *Routledge Companion to the Philosophy of Animal Minds*.

Proust, J., 2012, Amelioration Cognitive in G. Hottois & J.-N. Missa (Eds). *L'Humain et ses préfixes : une encyclopédie de l'humanisme, du transhumanisme et du posthumanisme*. Paris: Vrin (2012).

Recanati, F. "Pragmatics", in M. Kölbl & M. García-Carpintero (eds.) *Continuum Companion to the Philosophy of Language*, Routledge, 2012, pp. 185-202.

Recanati, F. "Reference through Mental Files: Indexicals and Definite Descriptions", in C. Penco et F. Domanechi (dir.) *What Is Said and What Is Not*, Stanford: CSLI, 2013, pp. 159-173.

Recanati, F. Compositionality, Flexibility and Context-Dependence. In W. Hinzen, E. Machery & M. Werning (dir.), *Handbook of Compositionality*, 175-91. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

Recanati, F. Contextualism: Some Varieties. In K. Allen et K. Jaszolt (eds.) *Cambridge Handbook of Pragmatics*, 135-149. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012.

Recanati, F., Pragmatic Enrichment. In G. Russell & D. Graff Fara (eds.) *Routledge Companion to the Philosophy of Language*, 67-78. Londres: Routledge, 2012.

Schlenker, Philippe: 2016, The Semantics/Pragmatics Interface. In: Aloni, Maria and Dekker, Paul (eds), *The Cambridge Handbook of Formal Semantics*, pp. 664-727
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139236157.023>

Schlenker, Philippe; Chemla, Emmanuel; Zuberbühler, Klaus: accepted with minor revisions. *Semantics and Pragmatics of Monkey Communication*. Final version to appear in *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Linguistics*.

Zuberbühler, Klaus; Chemla, Emmanuel; Schlenker, Philippe: to appear, Stereotyped vocalizations. *Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Psychological Science*, Springer.

Spector, B. (2013) Maxims of Conversation. *Oxford Bibliographies in Linguistics*. Ed. Mark Aronoff. New York:
[on line access: <http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199772810/obo-9780199772810-0129.xml>]

Ruys E., & Spector, B. (in press). Unexpected Wide Scope Phenomena. In *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Syntax*, Second Edition. Ed. Martin Everaert & Henk van Riemsdijk. John Wiley & Sons

Stojanovic, I., Relativism, In David Plunkett & Tristram McPherson (eds.), *The Handbook of Metaethics*. Routledge